



#### Wir schaffen Wissen – heute für morgen

### **Paul Scherrer Institut**

Evangelos Panos, Kannan Ramachandran

Can the decentralised CHP generation provide the flexibility required to integrate intermittent RES in the electricity system?



Swiss energy system & Swiss energy strategy to 2050 objectives

□ The concept of dispatchable biogenic CHPs (electricity-driven)

Extensions on Swiss Times Electricity Model (STEM-E)

Challenges in modelling

Preliminary results from model testing

Conclusions

Swiss energy system in 2013

Electricity production: 66 TWh





**CO**<sub>2</sub> emissions 41 Mtn:





- Energy Strategy 2050 key objectives:
  - Enhancement of energy efficiency
  - Unlocking new RES (wind, solar, biomass)
  - Withdrawal from nuclear energy
  - Imports and fossil to meet residual
    - electricity demand
  - Extension of electricity grid



# Kraftwerke in der Schweiz



Quelle: VSE, BFE (Statistik der Wasserkraftanlagen), Suisse Eole © VSE 2014

# Swiss grid congested lines



2/3 of the grid was built between 1950 and 60s with focus on ensuring regional supplies from nearby plants

# The concept of biogenic CHP Swarm

- Running project ETH/PSI sponsored by BFE and Swisselectric Research
- Combined Heat and Power plant
- Specifications for grid stabilisation:
  - □ Fast response power generation
  - Temporal independent production of electricity and heat
- A contractor (electricity utility)
   could operate a CHP swarm by
   remote:
  - Dispatchable, scalable and decentralised power plant
  - Balancing power is sold at high price levels on the market



# Assessing the potential of CHP Swarms

- □ A biogenic flexible CHP can participate in the following markets:
  - a) Electricity supply, on-site or distributed
    - $\rightarrow$  competition with power plants
    - $\rightarrow$  competition with electricity grid price
  - b) Heat supply for space and water heating, on-site or distributed
    - $\rightarrow$  competition with boilers and heat pumps
    - $\rightarrow$  competition with district heating networks
  - c) Provision of grid balancing services at a grid distribution level:
    - $\rightarrow$  competition with on-site solutions e.g. batteries
    - $\rightarrow$  competition with services provided by pump hydro, PtG, etc.
  - □ However, the technology is resource-constrained:
    - $\rightarrow$  it uses biogas or upgraded biogas injected to gas grid
    - $\rightarrow$  needs access to gas pipelines
    - $\rightarrow$  competition with other biogas uses

**Challenges in TIMES modelling** 

- To implement biogenic flexible CHPs in TIMES, to assess its potential and to identify barriers and competitors we need in the model at least:
  - Representation of **decentralised power generation**
  - **High time resolution** to account for demand and resource fluctuations
  - □ Introduction of **dispatchability features** (e.g. ramp-up constraints)
  - Representation of heat supply and demand sectors
  - Representation of electricity & heat storage technologies
  - **Representation of alternatives**, such as power-to-gas pathways
  - □ Representation of **bio-methane production options**
  - Representation of demand for balancing services



### **Swiss Times Electricity Model**

#### 2012 FROM STEM-E to STEM-HE

#### > 2014

#### STEM-E:

- Swiss Electricity Model
- 288 time slices:
  - 4 seasons X 3 days X 24h
- Exogenous electricity demand linked to economic activity
- Electricity load profiles
- Different types of power plants
- Resource potentials

#### **STEM-HE:**

- □ All STEM-E plus:
- Decentralised generation
- Heat demands & load profiles
- Heat supply options
- Storage for electricity & heat
- Power-to-gas / gas-to-power
- Upgraded biogas production
- Ramping constraints
- Balancing services



### **Representation of decentralised sector**



- □ 4 different grid voltage levels are represented
- Allows for compensation of RES generation that is fed into grid
- Similar structure with TIMES-PET (and perhaps with other models)
- Not always straightforward assignment of power plants to each level



- To reduce complexity the focus is on heat that can be supplied by CHPs
- Space and water heating in buildings and commercial sectors
  - Differentiation between different types of houses
- □ Two classes of heat in industrial sectors: <500 °C, >500 °C



## Heat demands and load profiles

#### Statistical estimation of consumer behaviour from surveys [1]



Data reconciliation to minimise the deviation between actual and calculated annual heat demand from the profiles:

$$\min \sum_{t} \left( w_{1,t} (D_t - F_t)^2 + \sum_{ts} w_{2,t} (x_{ts} - y_{ts})^2 \right)$$
$$F_t (x_1 \dots x_n) = 0$$
$$C_k (x_1 \dots x_n) = 0$$

- w weights, user defined
- y Initial hourly profiles
- $\boldsymbol{x}$  Adjusted hourly profiles
- F Calculated annual heat demand
- **D** Actual annual heat demand
- *C* Other constraints that must hold

### **Examples of obtained heat profiles**



All households- Water heating in PJ

PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT





- Space heating: morning peak
   followed by a long day-time
   plateau and a smaller evening
   peak
- Water heating: sharp variations depending on use

### **Representation of heat systems** <sup>[2]</sup>

Combinations of primary and secondary heating systems is possible via

#### user constraints:



# Avoiding technology mix in heat supply



- Wood boiler
  Solar thermal
  Gas boiler
  District heating
  Heat from CHP on-site
  Oil boiler
  Heat Pump
  Electric boiler
  Coal boiler
- Wood boiler
  Solar thermal
  Gas boiler
  District heating
  Heat from CHP on-site
  Oil boiler
  Heat Pump
  Electric boiler
  Coal boiler

- No individual technology optimisation is applicable for heat supply systems:
- a) Go for MIP by ensuring that only one heat system will supply a heat demand class <sup>[2]</sup> (not directly supported in TIMES)
   OR:
- b) Introduce a utilisation curve for each heat supply system with NCAP\_AF(UP) and ACT\_UPS(FX) in accordance with the demand curve

# Introducing balancing services

- Primary reserves react to frequency deviations within 30 seconds
- Secondary reserves are activated just slightly after the primary reserves and maintain a balance between generation and demand within each balancing area (duration from 1 to 60 minutes)
- Tertiary reserves are called only after secondary control has been used for a certain duration, to free the secondary reserves for other purposes





- Each power plant is producing 4 additional commodities related to the primary & secondary upward and downward reserves
- □ The set of the attributes of a power plant is augmented by:
  - Its minimum stable operation
  - The % of total capacity available for primary and secondary upward and downward reserves
  - The ramp-up and ramp-down rates
- We can also provide the % of upward reserve met by online plants to avoid unrealistically provisions of upward reserves from offline technologies
- □ The additional equations for balancing services:
  - □ Can be introduced as UC (takes time to enter the constraints in EXCEL)
  - Can be implemented as TIMES extension in GAMS (prone to errors)



### **Porting OSEMOSYS methodology**



Each power plant eligible for participating in the balancing markets is divided into two parts

□ A capacity transfer UC ensures that the capacity-related costs are paid only once:  $X_{p,t}^{CAP} = X_{pp,t}^{CAP}$ 

**Demand for balancing services:**  $3 * \sqrt{\sigma_D^2 + \sigma_G^2 + K}$ 

# **Key equations for balancing services** <sup>[3]</sup>

 $X_{p,t,ts}^{CAPON} = X_{p,t,ts}^{ELC} / (capact_p \cdot yrfr_{t,ts})$  :online capacity of process p

#### **Downward reserve:**

 $X_{pp,t,ts}^k \leq X_{p,t,ts}^{CAPON} \cdot max_{k,pp} \cdot capact_{pp} : k \in \{PD, SD\}$ 

#### Upward reserve for a fast ramping plant: $(max_{k,pp} \ge stableop_{pp})$

$$\begin{split} X_{pp,t,ts}^{k} &\leq X_{pp,t}^{CAP} \cdot af_{pp,t,ts} \cdot max_{k,pp} \cdot capact_{pp} \colon k \in \{PU, SU\} \\ X_{pp,t,ts}^{PD} &+ X_{pp,t,ts}^{SU} \leq X_{p,t,ts}^{ELC} \\ X_{p,t,ts}^{ELC} &\leq X_{p,t,ts}^{CAPON} \cdot capact_{p} \end{split}$$

#### Upward reserve for a slow ramping plant: ( $max_{k,pp} \leq stableop_{pp}$ )

$$\begin{split} X_{pp,t,ts}^{k} &\leq X_{p,t}^{CAPON} \cdot af_{pp,t,ts} \cdot max_{k,pp} \cdot capact_{pp} \colon k \in \{PU,SU\} \\ X_{pp,t,ts}^{PD} &+ X_{pp,t,ts}^{SU} + X_{p,t}^{CAPON} \cdot stableop_{pp} \cdot capact_{p} \leq X_{p,t,ts}^{ELC} \\ X_{p,t,ts}^{ELC} &+ X_{pp,t,ts}^{PD} + X_{pp,t,ts}^{SU} \leq X_{pp,t,ts}^{CAPON} \cdot capact_{pp} \end{split}$$

**Key equations for balancing services** <sup>[3]</sup>

Minimum online upward reserve (  $k \in \{PU, SU\}$  )

 $\sum_{pp} X_{pp,t,ts}^k \ge DEM_{k,t,ts} \cdot minonline_t$ 

All reserve from online plants when  $\max_{k,pp} \leq stableop_{pp}$ :

 $X_{pp,t,ts}^{k} = X_{p,t,ts}^{ONLINE_{k}} \cdot capact_{p}$ 

Share of reserve from online plants when  $\max_{k,pp} \ge stableop_{pp}$ :

 $X_{pp,t,ts}^k \ge X_{p,t,ts}^{ONLINE_k} \cdot capact_p$ 

Upward reserve is limited by online capacity minus power output:

 $X_{p,t,ts}^{CAPON} - X_{p,t,ts}^{ELC} \ge \left(X_{pp,t,ts}^{ONLINE_{PU}} + X_{pp,t,ts}^{ONLINE_{SU}}\right)$ 

Upward reserve by online plants limited by their max contribution to upward reserve:

 $X_{p,t,ts}^{CAPON} \cdot max_{k,pp} \ge X_{p,t,ts}^{ONLINE_k}$ 

# Preliminary results from model testing

- The related to this work project is currently running and we are still integrating information from our partners regarding grid constraints, balancing services, CHP technology characterisation and biomass resource potentials
- □ "Reference" scenario assumptions used to test the model:
  - Based on the "POM" scenario of Swiss energy strategy 2050, implementing strong efficiency measures
  - Fuel prices from IEA ETP 2014, translated to Swiss border pre-tax prices
  - Nuclear phase out to be completed by 2034
  - □ No CCS and no coal in electricity generation
  - CO2 price rises to 58 CHF/t CO2 in 2050
  - □ Solar potential: ~10 TWh, Wind potential: ~3 TWh, Hydro: ~40 TWh

**Forecast of demands** 

#### Energy service demands in PJ



## Final energy consumption in PJ

#### (excl. transport)



### Share of technologies in residential heat



PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT



### **Operational profiles of heat technologies**





### Storage technologies for heat in services



### **Electricity generation sector**





# CHP Swarms (capacity & operation profile)

	2020	2030	2040	2050
Capacity (MW)	109	185	124	56
Electricity production (GWh <sub>e</sub> )	585	1200	522	415
% of total electricity production	1%	2%	1%	1%
% of decentralised thermal only electricity production	39%	80%	35%	28%
% of decentralised total electricity production	17%	16%	5%	3%
Heat production (GWh <sub>th</sub> )	838	1719	748	595



# **Conclusions – Further Challenges**

- CHP Swarms seems a promising technology for providing flexibility to the electric system
- Potential parameters affecting their uptake include:
  - Developments in the large-scale generation
  - Costs of bio-methane and access competition from other uses
  - Feed-in tariffs for biomass
  - Competition in heat supply from heat pumps
  - Storage costs for storing excess heat from CHP Swarms
- Modelling challenges:
  - □ No satisfactory solution for the technology mix effect in heat sectors
  - Improvement of the dispatching of the power plant technologies: crucial factor for the balancing services as well



[1] Main Sources used for obtaining the heat demand profiles:

- BFE, "Analyse des schweizerischen Energieverbrauchs 2000 2012 nach Verwendungszwecken", 2013
- **D** Rossi Alessandro, "Modelling and validation of heat sinks for combined heat and power simulation: Industry", 2013
- Ayer Roman, "Modelling of heat sinks for combined heat and power simulation: Households", 2013
- Federal office of Meteorology and Climatology MeteoSwiss
- □ Mark Hellwig "Entwicklung und Anwendung parametrisierter Standard-Lastprofile", 2003
- Ulrike Jordan, Klaus Vajen, "Realistic Domestic Hot-Water Profiles in Different Time Scales", 2001

[2] Modelling heat systems:

Merkel E., Fehrenbach D., McKenna R., Fichter W., Modelling decentralised heat supply: An application and methodological extension in TIMEs, Energy 73 (2014), 592-605

[3] Modelling balancing services:

Welsch M., Howells M., et al., Supporting security and adequacy in future energy systems: the need to enhance long-term energy system models to better treat issues related to variability, Int. J. Energy Res. 39 (2015), 377-396

PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT

#### Thank you for the attention !

Dr. Evangelos Panos Energy Economics Group in the Laboratory of Energy Analysis evangelos.panos@psi.ch

