

ANITA: Hunting for neutrinos and new physics

Ryan Nichol

PSI 29th November 2018



LEVERHULME TRUST

Brief scientific timeline leading to ANITA **JUCL**



Wolfgang Pauli does "something very bad"... he postulates the neutrino 1930



Wilson and Penzias discover the cosmic microwave background

1965

1912 Victor Hess discovers cosmic rays, by flying balloons up to 3 miles above Austria



1962

Gurgen Askaryan hypothesises coherent radio emission from particle cascades in dielectric media





Berezinksy & Zatsepin realise the GZK effect will produce neutrinos 1969



ANITA-I launches from Williams Field in Antarctica

2006

1966 Greisen, Zatsepin & Kuzmin predict the end of the cosmic ray spectrum



1987

Kamiokande, IMB and Baksan detect neutrinos from a nearby supernova



Complete* History of Neutrino Particle Astrophysics





• SN1987A

- –24 neutrino events
 detected by Kamikande-II,
 IMB and Baksan
- –Learned about
 - Supernova collapse mechanisms
 - Neutrinos feel gravity (similarly to photons)
 - Neutrino mass < 23eV from time of flight dispersion
 - Neutrinos are not charged
 - Limits on non-neutrino weakly interacting particles
 - Axion bounds
 - Neutrino mixing and oscillations
 - Exotic neutrino disappearance

Why High Energy Neutrinos?

For Astronomers: The Pretty Pictures Argument



For Particle Physicists:

The 300 TeV (CoM) Neutrino Beam Argument

1 /	J	
type	L/E	$t_{proper} \sim (L/c)(m_{\nu}/E)$
CERN SpS/WANF	500 m/25 GeV	3 attoseconds
Stopped μ (LAMPF)	30 m/ 40 MeV	130 attoseconds
NUMI	735 km/ 4 GeV	30 femtoseconds
Reactor (KamLAND)	150 km/5 MeV	800 femtoseconds
Atmospheric	10,000 km/1 GeV	2 picoseconds
Sun	150,000,000 km/5 MeV	800 nanoseconds
GZK	1 Gpc/100 PeV	50 milliseconds
SN-1987a	50 kpc/15 MeV	1 hour

Aside: The GZK Effect



 Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuzmin (GZK) calculated cosmic rays above 10^{19.5}eV should be slowed by CMB within 50MPc.

盦

 Berezinksy and Zatsepin realised this would produce a flux of neutrinos

$$\Delta^* \rightarrow n + \pi^+$$

$$\Delta^* \mu^+ + \nu_\mu$$

$$\Delta e^+ + \overline{\nu_\mu} + \nu_e$$

= "Guaranteed" Cosmogenic Neutrino "Beam"!



BREAKTHROUGH OF THE YEAR 2013

ST

physicsworld

IceCube

ICECUBE

lceCube



- Completed in 2010
- 1km³ of ice at the South Pole
- 5160 PMTs
- 86 strings
- 17m vertical spacing
- 125m horizontal spacing
- DeepCore
 - Densely
 instrumented array
 of 8 strings in deep
 good ice



IceCube Results

 IceCube have definitively observed an excess of high energy neutrino events above the atmospheric neutrino prediction





• Some Numbers:

~1 cosmogenic neutrino/km²/yr @ 10^{18} eV the ν -N interaction length ~ 300km

- : 0.003 neutrinos/km³/year
- Need a huge detector
 volume (>>100 km³) to
 ensure detection
- Use naturally occurring medium
 - Transparent (to some signal)
 - Possibilities
 - Air, Ice, Salt, Water, The Moon





Radio Emission Mechanisms



Radio Cherenkov -- The Askaryan Effect AUCL

 In 1962 Gurgen Askaryan hypothesised coherent radio transmission from EM cascades in a dielectric:



Typical Dimensions: L \approx 10 m R_{Moliere} \approx 10 cm

- -20% Negative charge excess:
 - Compton Scattering: $\gamma + e^{-}(rest) \Rightarrow \gamma + e^{-}$
 - Positron Annihilation: $e^+ + e^-(rest) \Rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
- -Excess travelling with, v > c/n

• Cherenkov Radiation: dP $_{\propto} \nu$ d ν

-For λ > R emission is coherent, so P \sim E²_{shower}

Flashy Ice



From PRL 99, 171101 (2007)

Radio Emission from Air Showers

- Air shower emission is complicated
 - -Geomagnetic component from positron-electron separation
 - -Askaryan component
 - -Cherenkov effects from the varying refractive index of air, compresses pulse giving high frequency component



â

-T-510 experiment at SLAC tried to disentangle these

T-510: Phys.Rev.Lett. 116 (2016) no.14, 141103



ANITA Collaboration

Ohio State University

University of Kansas

Washington University in St. Louis

University of Delaware

University of California, Los Angeles



University of Hawaii at Manoa National Taiwan University University College London Jet Propulsion Laboratory Stanford Linear Accelerator Center

University of Chicago

UCLA











SLAC





Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology





Need the world's largest detector

- Let's go to Antarctica!
- It is the coldest, driest, windiest place on Earth
- But...
 - -Lots of Ice
 - Despite our best efforts
 - Over 4km thick in places
 - -Also:
 - The only continent exclusively dedicated to scientific research
 - No indigenous (human) population
 - Home of NASA's longduration balloon program





ANITA

- The ANtarctic Impulsive Transient Antenna
 - –A balloon borne experiment
 - Grown from 32 to 48 dual polarisation antennas
 - Altitude of 37km (120,000 ft)
 - Horizon at 700km
 - Over 1 million km³ of ice visible





Not to scale, angles don't reflect reality









ANITA Electronics and Trigger

 Need a low power (only solar energy), 90 channel, multi-GHz bandwidth oscilloscope.



- Split trigger and waveform paths
- Use left and right circular polarisation for linear polarised trigger
- 'Buffer' waveform data in switched capacitor array



ANITA 1-4



ANITA-3 Flight Path 17th December 2014 - 19 January 2015







Ballooning in Antarctica

 Balloons launched from Williams Field since 1988



'Fits' inside

the balloon

at altitude



R 16 2006



ANITA-1 End of Flight

Image: Dana Braun, Wash. U.

ANITA-2 End of Flight



ANITA-3 End of Flight





Image: Josh F., Australian Antarctic Division

ANITA-4 End of Flight





³⁰ Image: Christian Miki, University of Hawaii

How did we get the data back?





Google

lap data @2015 Google



ANITA Analysis

Image: Dana Braun, Wash. U.

How ANITA sees the world



Narrow band noise

- Satellites and human bases using communications in the bands:
 - -260 MHz
 - -380 MHz
- Frequency (MHz)

• How to get rid of this?

- ANITA 1-3: software
- ANITA 4: hardware

Min Bias Peak Direction



Analysis -- Cross Correlation

mm mm ~3.5m m Mm 2 mm 3 mm ⊮~1m Manth vin 4 1 2 T12 T13 waveform cross-correlation T14 gives baseline delays T23 ↔ T24 → T34

from A. Romero Wolf, Neutrino 2008

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.astropartphys.2014.06.006



Calibration





ELEVATION ANGLE

AZIMUTH ANGLE

from S. Hoover Measured azimuth (degrees)

Thermal Noise

ANITA can "see" the Sun



ANITA-2



Clustering

• From previous cuts, ~500k events



- Look for isolated singlets and doublets
- Remove anything that clusters with human bases
- Remove anything which forms a cluster of 3 or more

What's left?

- One V-POL candidate
- Background estimate: 0.7^{+0.5}-0.3 per polarisation
- No known human activity within 260km



Neutrino limit



• From previous cuts, ~100k events

Limit on all-flavour-sum diffuse UHE neutrino flux



What about Horizontal Polarisation?





- Askaryan signals from neutrinos strongly favour vertical polarisation
 - Only top of Cherenkov cone escapes TIR at surface
 - Fresnel coefficients transmit more V-pol than H-pol
- Reflections from above the horizon sources would favour H-pol over V-pol at the balloon
- What could the signal be?

NO. 4969 January 23, 1965

NATURE

RADIO PULSES FROM EXTENSIVE COSMIC-RAY AIR SHOWERS

By Dr. J. V. JELLEY and J. H. FRUIN Atomic Energy Research Establishment, Harwell

PROF. N. A. PORTER and T. C. WEEKES University College, Dublin AND

UHECR

ANITA1: 16 UHECR 14 reflected + 2 direct ANITA-2: 2 UHECR H-pol trigger was off ANITA-3: 20 UHECR ANITA-4: analysis in progress





arXiv:1803.05088 [astro-ph.HE]

ANITA-1 mystery event



And ANITA-3 mystery event



Chord length: 5500-7000 km (20-30,000km water equivalent) 1600km SM interaction length @ 1 EeV



â | | (

Direct Cosmic Rays

Reflected Cosmic Rays



All news is good news?





f₀



OCTOBER 22, 2018

 If these are tau neutrinos why hasn't IceCube seen them?



arXiv:1803.05088v1 [astro-ph.HE] 47

Problem 2: Why didn't ANITA see more? **JCL**

- Both the ANITA-1 and ANITA-3 events were relatively close to the balloon
- There is much more acceptance close to the horizon
- Where are those tau candidate events?



arXiv:1803.05088v1 [astro-ph.HE]

Solution 1: Sterile Neutrinos?

- Cherry and Shoemaker proposed that the ANITA anomalous events could be explained through sterile neutrino mixing
- To avoid IceCube constraints the source needs to be transient
- To avoid an excess of events in ANITA you need a conspiracy that disfavours events close to the horizon (L/E near the Δm² for the active to sterile)



arXiv:1802.01611v2 49

Solution 2: Supersymmetry?

- Fox *et al* provide further evidence that it is hard to incorporate these anomalous events in the standard model.
 - -Goldilocks scenario
 - Horizon disfavoured by long lived BSM particle
 - Upping disfavoured by energy loss / earth attenuation
- Collins *et al* also suggest a BSM particle explanation
 - "It would be remarkable if weak-scale supersymmetry was discovered in such an unexpected way!"



arXiv:1810.08479



Future?

Image: Dana Braun, Wash. U.

ANITA 1-4: Progress and regress



- ANITA-1 - 2006
 - 2000
 - 32 Antennas
 - Circularly
 polarised
 coincidence
 trigger
 - Frequency
 banded
 trigger

- ANITA-2
 - 2008
 - 40 Antennas
 - Vertically
 polarised
 trigger
 - Frequency
 banded
 trigger

- ANITA-3
 - 2014
 - 48 Antennas
 - Vertically & horizontally polarised triggers
 - Frequency
 banded
 trigger
 - GPU software filter

- ANITA-4
 - -2016
 - 48 Antennas
 - Circularly polarised coincidence trigger
 - Frequency
 banded trigger
 - GPU software filter
 - Tuneable notch filter

Think smarter not harder





Summary

- Radio detection of high energy particles is a vibrant field
- The first three flights of ANITA have been used to set the most stringent limits on the UHE neutrino flux
 - -ANITA has detected over 30 UHECRs
 - -There are two interesting events with flipped polarity
- Still analysing ANITA-4 data
- The next generation of neutrino astronomy facilities may finally realise the ambition of probing the universe with "new eyes".
 - Probing fundamental physics at energies beyond the reach of terrestrial accelerators.
- Hopefully soon we will have the first unambiguous detection of an UHE neutrino.
 - But in the mean time there are the anomalous events and UHECR

Me in front of the Royal Society Range in 2008? or 2006? or 2014? or 2016?

Up, up and away

- The Balloon
 - -Just 0.02mm thick
 - Takes 100 million litres of helium (and several hours) to fill







Calibration





ELEVATION ANGLE

AZIMUTH ANGLE

from S. Hoover Measured azimuth (degrees)

ANITA -- Angular Resolution



- Using signals from multiple antennas it is possible to measure the direction of arrival of radio pulse to ~0.5° in elevation and ~1.5° in azimuth (based on ANITA-lite calibration data)
- The neutrino direction can vary around radio pulse direction but is constrained to ~2° in elevation and by 3-5° in azimuth by polarization angle.

Anthropogenic Backgrounds



- Use clustering algorithms to associate events with known bases and with other events
- Remove all events that cluster leaving only isolated events
- Remaining background is the number of unknown sites of anthropogenic noise which we have not identified... hard to quantify 59

Neutrino Limits

ANITA-2 Results

Isolated v-pol events	1
Expected background events	0.97 ± 0.42

 Use calibration pulser and simulation to determine efficiency and set the best limit on UHE neutrino flux.

<u>10.1103/PhysRevD.85.049901</u> <u>10.1103/PhysRevD.82.022004</u>

Also limits on magnetic monopoles and neutrinos from gamma-ray bursts



ANITA-II

- Additional ring of antennas
- Lower noise amplifiers
- Trigger only on vertical polarisation**
- Directional trigger masking
- Net improvement:
 - –Factor of 1.7 in threshold --> x3 in event rate
 - Up to 30% in exposure (flight path dependent)
 - -Up to 40% in live time
 - -Total factor > 5 in neutrino sensitivity







** Decision made before the ANITA-I analysis was completed

Are they really cosmic ray signals?

- The 14 events that reconstruct to the surface (i.e. are reflections) have very similar waveforms
- The 2 events that reconstruct above the surface have the opposite polarity
- Consistent with some signal that is generated above the surface



Are they really cosmic ray signals?







ANITA-1 mystery event (PRL 117 071101) **JUCL**

- Recent paper from ANITA discussing the direct cosmic ray signals in the 1st flight
- Uncovered one extra event that clearly points to the ice, but looks very similar to the direct h-pol waveforms
- The measured polarisation is consistent with a shower emerging from the ice
 - –Could this be a tau neutrino candidate event?
 - Would be unlikely to survive given standard cross-section extrapolation
 - Should be attenuated by the Earth over the 5500km chord length
 - –Could this be a cosmic ray with inverted polarity?
 - -Could this be anthropogenic noise?



ANITA --- The Calorimeter

٠

The observed voltage V_{obs} is proportional to the neutrino energy E_v :

$$V_{obs} \sim E_{\nu} y h_{eff} R^{-1} exp \left(-\frac{\beta^2}{2\sigma_{\beta^2}} - \alpha d \right)$$

y is the fraction of neutrino energy in the cascade h_{eff} is the effective height of the antenna (gain) R is the range to the cascade Gaussian in β from observer position on Cerenkov cone (estimated from RF spectrum) Exponential is attenuation in ice at depth d. (estimated from RF spectrum and polarization effects)

Gives: $\Delta E_{\nu} / E_{\nu} \sim 1.9$ (60% of which is intrinsic from y)