Molecular basis of coiled-coil formation
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Coiled coils have attracted considerable interest as design templates in a wide range of applications. Successful coiled-coil design strategies therefore require a detailed understanding of coiled-coil folding. One common feature shared by coiled coils is the presence of a short autonomous helical folding unit, termed “trigger sequence,” that is indispensable for folding. Detailed knowledge of trigger sequences at the molecular level is thus key to a general understanding of coiled-coil formation. Using a multidisciplinary approach, we identify and characterize here the molecular determinants that specify the helical conformation of the monomeric early folding intermediate of the GCN4 coiled coil. We demonstrate that a network of hydrogen-bonding and electrostatic interactions stabilizes the trigger-sequence helix. This network is rearranged in the final dimeric coiled-coil structure, and its destabilization significantly slows down GCN4 leucine zipper folding. Our findings provide a general explanation for the molecular mechanism of coiled-coil formation.
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Although coiled coils have been used traditionally as model systems for protein folding studies, the molecular basis of how they fold is still largely unknown. A need for a detailed understanding of coiled-coil folding is relevant, particularly in light of the important functions these structures play in almost all biological processes, as well as the considerable attention coiled coils have recently garnered in a wide range of applications, including basic research, nanomaterials, protein engineering, biotechnology, and medicine (1–13). Furthermore, understanding the folding mechanisms of coiled coils is of fundamental interest to experimentalists and theoreticians challenged by the question of how the sequence of a protein defines its specific 3D structure. Along these lines, we have been interested particularly in coiled-coil “trigger sequences,” which encode stable monomeric α-helices that are indispensable for coiled-coil formation (14–18). Although there are a few examples of synthetic peptides that fold either into heterodimers (19) or at conditions of extremes of pH (20) without an apparent trigger sequence, the “trigger site” concept is generally accepted because these short autonomous helical folding units are structurally and functionally conserved in a large number of native coiled-coil proteins (reviewed in refs. 21–24). Detailed knowledge of the properties of trigger sequences at the molecular level is therefore key to an understanding of coiled-coil formation and function in general.

The parallel two-stranded leucine zipper of the yeast transcriptional activator GCN4 is the best-characterized coiled coil and thus represents an excellent paradigm for a comprehensive analysis of the roles of trigger sequences in coiled-coil folding. Our current understanding of the folding kinetics of the GCN4 leucine zipper is based on extensive stopped-flow studies and temperature-jump experiments (25–28). Most of these studies reported simple bimolecular folding kinetics indicating two-state folding behavior without detectable kinetic intermediates. A recent study, however, indicates a more complicated mechanism in which at least one intermediate becomes populated after the main rate-limiting step in folding (28). Consensus holds that partial α-helix structure is present in the transition state of GCN4 coiled-coil folding in which dimerization is promoted through interactions between monomers having preformed helices. These helices consist of a few turns in length and are encoded by the trigger sequence (15, 18, 25, 26, 29).

Crucial determinants of both monomer helix and coiled-coil formation are electrostatic interactions between charged residue side chains (30–35). The importance of such interactions in determining the specificity of GCN4 coiled-coil formation has been extensively studied and is well documented (reviewed in ref. 31). By contrast, the role of electrostatic interactions in general, and of salt bridges in particular, in coiled coil stability and folding remains a matter of debate. A number of experimental studies indicate favorable contributions to stability from electrostatic interactions (36), whereas others do not (37). We and others have suggested that an intramolecular Glu-22 to Arg-25 salt bridge within the trigger sequence plays a critical role in stabilizing the nascent α-helix structure at the C terminus that nucleates coiled-coil formation (18, 19, 38). The remaining salt bridges appear to have roles in stabilizing the native state of the GCN4 leucine zipper rather than affecting the kinetics of coiled-coil folding (38). Here, we use a multidisciplinary approach including NMR, isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC), time-resolved CD spectroscopy, and mutagenesis to identify and characterize the features of the GCN4 trigger sequence that determine coiled-coil formation. Our findings provide a molecular basis for understanding coiled-coil formation in general.

Results

A Network of Interactions Stabilizes the Helical Structure of the GCN4 Coiled-Coil Trigger Sequence. To obtain insights into the interactions that initiate GCN4 leucine zipper formation we determined the NMR solution structure of a 16-residue peptide, denoted p16-31. This peptide contains the trigger sequence and thus serves as a tool to investigate the conformation of an early folding intermediate of coiled-coil formation (39). Helix formation by p16-31 is a monomolecular process and is not the result of dimerization, as judged from the lack of concentration dependence of CD and NMR spectra as well as analytical
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Fig. 1. The NMR solution structure of p16-31 reveals a network of side-chain interactions. (A) Best-fit superposition of the 20 lowest-energy NMR structures.
The main chain is shown in black (carbons, nitrogens) and red (carbonyl oxygens). Selected side chains are shown in different colors and labeled according to their position in the GCN4 coiled-coil sequence (42). (B) Stereo side view of the p16-31 structure closest to the NMR ensemble average. Atoms of side chains forming the network of polar interactions are connected by dashed black lines.

ultracentrifugation at different peptide concentrations (15, 18,
40). NMR structures of the p16-31 peptide were calculated by using the restraints listed in supporting information (SI Table 2.
The trigger peptide adopts a regular α-helical conformation extending from residues His-18 to Lys-28 (Fig. 1A and SI Fig. 5). At the N terminus the α-helix is terminated by a nonclassical cap structure (41) involving a bifurcated hydrogen bond from the main-chain amide of Glu-20 to the carboxyl groups of Asn-16 and Tyr-17. A hydrogen bond between the aromatic groups of Tyr-17 and His-18 completes the cap (Fig. 1B). Notably, the main-chain structure of the trigger peptide between His-18 and Lys-28 is virtually identical to the corresponding regions of the two-stranded GCN4 coiled-coil crystal structure (42) with a backbone rmsd of only 0.6 ± 0.1 Å.

The most conspicuous feature seen in the NMR structure of the monomeric p16-31 trigger sequence peptide is a network of polar contacts involving residues Tyr-17, His-18, Glu-20, Asn-21, Glu-22, and Arg-25 (Fig. 1B). The critical role of Arg-25 in GCN4 leucine zipper formation (18, 38) can be explained by its central position within the network. The side chain of Arg-25 forms a hydrogen bond with Asn-21 and an ion pair with Glu-22, interactions that appear essential for establishing the network. The network is completed by side-chain contacts between His-18 and Glu-22, Glu-20 and Asn-21, and Tyr-17 and His-18. It should be noted that not all interactions are simultaneously seen in the 20 NMR structures of p16-31, suggesting a network involving several interchanging side-chain contacts (Fig. 1A). Consistent with this conclusion, a dynamic interplay of hydrogen-bonding and salt bridge interactions has also been observed in explicit solvent molecular dynamics simulations of p16-31 (40). Remarkably, several rearrangements of these interactions are seen in the dimeric GCN4 coiled-coil structure (Protein Data Bank ID code 2ZTA) and only those formed between Arg-25 and Glu-22, and between Glu-22 and His-18, are conserved. In addition to these intrahelical interactions, Glu-22 forms an interhelical salt bridge to Lys-27 of the neighboring GCN4 coiled-coil chain. The side chains of Tyr-17, Glu-20, and Asn-21 are not involved in interactions with other residues in the dimer.

To test the hypothesis that multiple charged groups contribute to the α-helix-stabilizing network of the trigger peptide, we further characterized the pH dependence of helical structure in p16-31 by following 1Hα and 13Cα chemical shifts in 10 1H-13C heteronuclear single quantum correlation (HSQC) spectra collected between pH 1.5 and 12.5. The secondary 13Cα chemical shifts of the peptide averaged over residues His-18 to Lys-28 indicate a progressive loss of α-helix structure from ~60% at neutral pH to <10% with decreasing pH (Fig. 2A). This finding is quantitatively similar to the trend seen by CD spectroscopy (15, 18). The pH denaturation curve obtained from the averaged Cα chemical shifts of p16-31 is broad and appears slightly biphasic (Fig. 2B). The data can be fit to a single pKα,app of 5.6. The Hill coefficient, which gives a measure of the cooperativity of the apparent ionization equilibrium, has a value of n = 0.38, suggesting the involvement of multiple groups in the titration (43). Accordingly, the electrostatic interactions involving one or both of the negatively charged forms of Glu-20 and Glu-22 and the uncharged form of His-18 modulate the α-helical structure at neutral pH. An electrostatic interaction between Glu-22 and Arg-25 is also supported by the lesser pKα of 4.14 ± 0.04 for Glu-22 compared with 4.30 ± 0.05 for Glu-20 (Fig. 2C), or random-coil-model compound values (ref. 44 and references therein). Further evidence for an interaction between Arg-25 and the glutamate residues is provided by the observation that the side-chain delta protons of Arg-25 sense the titration of the acidic residues (Fig. 2D) and that NOEs between the two residues appear at neutral, but not acidic, pH (SI Fig. 6).

On the whole, these data suggest that rather than a single interaction a interplay of multiple interactions contributes to helical stability of the monomeric early folding intermediate of GCN4 under conditions that otherwise promote leucine zipper folding.

Arg-25 Stabilizes Helical Structure of the Trigger Sequence and Accelerates GCN4 Coiled-Coil Folding. To analyze further the role of Arg-25 in the monomeric helix formation of p16-31 and the folding and stability of the GCN4 leucine zipper, denoted GCN4-pWT, the following mutant peptides were prepared. (i) Arg-25 was mutated to alanine in a control trigger peptide and leucine zipper variant, denoted p16-31R25A and GCN4-pR25A, respectively, to exclude helix destabilization resulting from a lower helical propensity of the mutant residue compared with arginine. Alanine is reported to have the highest helix propensity in several monomeric peptides, at solvent-exposed positions in coiled coils and at exposed helical sites in proteins (reviewed
(ii) Lysine mutant peptides, denoted p16-31R25K and GCN4-pR25K, were produced to probe the functional significance of the arginine guanidinium group with an alternative positively charged residue. (iii) To further assess the importance of H9251-helix propensity for coiled-coil formation, the threonine mutant GCN4-pR25T was prepared. Threonine has a low H9251-helical propensity because the residue’s β-branched polar side chain is difficult to accommodate in a H9251-helix. (iv) To test whether the guanidinium group is important for stabilizing H9251-helix structure, Arg-25 was replaced by its isostere norleucine, which mimics the hydrophobic moiety of the arginine side chain. None of the GCN4 leucine zipper mutants are expected to compromise coiled-coil formation because Arg-25 is located at a solvent-exposed exterior position remote from the dimer interface.

In initial experiments, the wild-type and mutant trigger sequence peptides were analyzed and compared by CD spectroscopy. As shown in Fig. 3A, the far-UV CD spectrum of the wild-type p16-31 peptide obtained at low temperature and physiological pH is characteristic of a polypeptide with ~50% helical structure, consistent with the NMR analysis (Fig. 2A). The mutant peptides, p16-31R25A and p16-31R25K, show a shift of the minima from 205 to 201 nm in the CD spectrum (Fig. 3A), suggesting a substantial perturbation of the equilibrium from helix to random coil. This observation emphasizes the importance of the guanidinium group for the stability of the monomeric H9251-helix at neutral pH. As shown in Fig. 3B, the helix content of wild-type and mutant peptides is also markedly decreased at acidic pH. Consistent with the NMR data (Fig. 2A), the titration profile of p16-31 measured from the change in ellipticity at 222 nm reveals a broad pH denaturation transition spanning ~4 pH units that can be described by a single apparent pKₐ app of 6.0 ± 0.2 (n = 0.33 ± 0.04; Fig. 3B). The pH titration data for p16-31R25K and p16-31R25A mutant peptides yielded apparent pKₐ app values and Hill coefficients of 6.8 ± 0.1 (n = 0.39 ± 0.04) and 7.3 ± 0.1 (n = 0.46 ± 0.05), respectively, thus shifting the midpoints of acid-induced denaturation to higher pHs (Fig. 3B). A similar loss of H9251-helix structure at neutral pH was also observed in the 1H-13C HSQC NMR spectrum of p16-31R25A. The amount of residual helix content of the mutant is comparable to the one obtained for the wild-type peptide at acidic pH (SI Fig. 7). Together, these findings underscore the important role of Arg-25 in establishing the network of interactions required for GCN4 leucine zipper monomer helix stability.

To assess the significance of Arg-25 in the native coiled-coil dimer, the stabilities of the GCN4-pWT, GCN4-pR25A, GCN4-pR25T, GCN4-pR25Nle, and GCN4-pR25K leucine zipper variants were determined by following the change in ellipticity at 222 nm as a function of total peptide concentration, by urea-induced unfolding or ITC dilution experiments (Table 1). Analyzing the data in terms of a two-state equilibrium between a dimer and monomer yielded the calculated free energies of unfolding, $\Delta G_{U, eq}$, listed in Table 1. The data indicate that the...
mutations destabilize the GCN4-pWT by 4–8 kJ mol⁻¹, the arginine-to-threonine replacement being the most destabilizing as expected.

To dissect the contribution of Arg-25 to folding kinetics, the rate constants of refolding and urea unfolding were measured for wild-type and mutant GCN4 leucine zipper peptides by stopped-flow CD at 222 nm (Fig. 4 and Table 1). For all of the variants \( \Delta G_{U,\text{kin}} \) calculated from kinetic data and \( \Delta G_{U,\text{eq}} \) obtained from equilibrium unfolding are in excellent agreement, supporting the validity of two-state folding. A comparison of the kinetic parameters listed in Table 1 reveals that the mutations decrease the refolding rate by factors ranging from 5 to 17. In contrast, the unfolding rates are conserved within a factor of 2 to 3. The mutations do not perturb the position of the folding transition state, as judged from the constancy of the Tanford’s \( \beta_f \) value within the set. Rather the replacement of Arg-25 increases the activation energy barrier for folding. Surprisingly, the alanine and lysine mutations slow down folding to the same extent. This result, together with the structural data (Figs. 1–3), suggests that the characteristic features of the guanidinium group and not the positive charge per se is mainly responsible for stabilizing the network of interactions seen in the monomeric \( \alpha \)-helix of the trigger sequence.

**Discussion**

Detailed knowledge of the folding mechanisms of coiled coils is crucial for exploiting their potential in a wide range of applications and understanding their functions in a large number of biological processes (1–13, 21–24). Here, we use the GCN4 leucine zipper as a model system to investigate fundamental principles underlying coiled-coil formation. The major aim of the present study was to identify and characterize in detail the molecular determinants that specify the \( \alpha \)-helical conformation of the early monomeric GCN4 leucine zipper folding intermediate that drives coiled-coil formation. Previous work by us and others demonstrated that under physiological conditions partial \( \alpha \)-helix formation in the trigger sequence monomer precedes coiled-coil assembly (14, 15, 18, 25, 26, 29). It is intuitive to assume that two such preformed and folding competent helices encompassing a few turns may form a nucleation site, which

**Table 1. Kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of GCN4 leucine zipper peptides and Arg-25 mutants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>( \Delta G_{U,\text{eq}} )</th>
<th>( k_f \times 10^{-4} )</th>
<th>( k_u )</th>
<th>( \Delta G_{U,\text{kin}}^\dagger )</th>
<th>( \Delta G_{U,\text{eq}}^\dagger )</th>
<th>( \Delta G_{U,\text{eq}}^\ddagger )</th>
<th>( \Delta G_{U,\text{eq}}^\ddagger )</th>
<th>( \beta_f^\ddagger )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>33.3±</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36.6±</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R25A</td>
<td>27.8±</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.1±</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R25T</td>
<td>25.5±</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.8±</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R25Nle</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R25K</td>
<td>29.2±</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Measurements were performed at 5°C (except ITC experiments, which were carried out at 20°C) in 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl. n.d., not determined.

±From the concentration dependence of [\( \theta \)]₂₂₂. Errors in \( \Delta G_{U,\text{eq}} \) are ± 10%.

²From urea-induced unfolding.

³From dilution experiments by ITC.

⁴Units of M⁻¹ s⁻¹. Errors are smaller than ± 10%.

⁵Units of s⁻¹. Errors are ± 10–20% depending on the length of extrapolation.

⁶From dilution experiments by ITC.

⁷Units of M⁻¹ s⁻¹. Errors are ± 10%.

⁸From urea-induced unfolding.

⁹Units of M⁻¹ s⁻¹. Errors are ± 10%.

¹⁰Units of M⁻¹. Errors are ± 10–20% depending on the length of extrapolation.

¹¹Errors in \( \Delta G_U \) are ± 10%.

¹²Assumed.

¹³From dilution experiments by ITC.

¹⁴From the concentration dependence of [\( \theta \)]₂₂₂. Errors in \( \Delta G_{U,\text{eq}} \) are ± 10%.

¹⁵From urea-induced unfolding.

¹⁶From dilution experiments by ITC.

¹⁷Units of M⁻¹ s⁻¹. Errors are ± 10%.

¹⁸From urea-induced unfolding.

¹⁹Units of M⁻¹. Errors are ± 10%.

²⁰From dilution experiments by ITC.

²¹From the concentration dependence of [\( \theta \)]₂₂₂. Errors in \( \Delta G_{U,\text{eq}} \) are ± 10%.
promotes productive in-register chain association. Interacting helices then “zip up” along the molecule to form the stable coiled-coil structure. Notably, this hierarchical folding mechanism (18, 45, 46) also provides a rational explanation for the efficient assembly of extensive coiled-coil domains (47) where chains are unlikely to align in-register if coiled-coil interactions form randomly along the sequence.

Our present findings reveal the important role of a dynamic network of interactions that includes Tyr-17, His-18, Glu-20, Asn-21, Glu-22, and Arg-25 in GCN4 coiled-coil folding by stabilizing the trigger sequence α-helix. This network is a characteristic feature of the monomeric GCN4 leucine zipper folding intermediate that is rearranged in the final dimeric coiled-coil structure. Notably, networks of intrahelical and interhelical electrostatic interactions are also the hallmark that distinguishes trigger sequences from other heptad repeat regions (17, 42). It is interesting to note that GCN4 coiled-coil folding markedly depends on solution conditions. The network of interactions in the GCN4 trigger sequence is pH sensitive, and helix structure is nearly abolished at acidic pH. This observation provides a possible explanation for the finding that the GCN4 coiled coil folds along multiple routes at pH 5.5 (25) where helix content within the trigger sequence is reduced significantly compared with neutral pH. Our present data together with the kinetic patterns of short-range NOEs consistent with terminal chemical shifts (17) were included for residues 17–24 based on lowered 3JHNH coupling constants in primitive exclusive correlation spectroscopy experiments, secondary Cα, Hα chemical shifts, and patterns of short-range NOEs consistent with α-helix structure (SI Fig. 5). NMR structure calculations started from 200 models with randomized dihedral angles. Structures were calculated with the program X-PLOR (version 3.851) according to a protocol (53). The 20 lowest energy structures were kept for analyses. The final structures had no distance violations >0.3 Å or dihedral violations >3°.

NMR Spectroscopy. Samples for NMR work contained 3 mM p16-31 peptide and 10 mM sodium phosphate in 90%/10% D2O. An Inova 600-MHz machine (Varian, Palo Alto, CA) fitted with a cryoprobe was used for all NMR experiments. Data were collected at 5°C. NMR resonances were assigned by the sequential walk method (51) using 2D NOESY (τm = 150 ms, 200 ms), total correlation spectroscopy (τm = 70 ms), primitive exclusive correlation spectroscopy, and natural abundance 1H-13C-HSQC and 1H-15N-HSQC spectra. Chemical shifts were referenced to internal 2,2-dimethyl-2-silapentane-5-sulfonate (52).

Restrains for structure calculations were collected on a peptide sample at pH 7.5. Distance restraints were grouped into three ranges (1.8–2.7, 1.8–3.5, and 1.8–5.0 Å) based on the intensities of NOE cross-peaks. Standard α-helix hydrogen bonds ON(i, i + 4) and φ dihedral angle restraints (−60 ± 30°) were included for residues 17–24 based on lowered 3JHNH coupling constants in primitive exclusive correlation spectroscopy experiments, secondary Cα, Hα chemical shifts, and patterns of short-range NOEs consistent with α-helix structure (SI Fig. 5). NMR structure calculations started from 200 models with randomized dihedral angles. Structures were calculated with the program X-PLOR (version 3.851) according to a published protocol (53). The 20 lowest energy structures were kept for analyses. The final structures had no distance violations >0.3 Å or dihedral violations >3°.

Natural abundance sensitivity-enhanced 2D 13C-HSQC and 1D 15C-edited experiments were used to obtain pH titration data. Random coil reference values for calculations of helix content were taken from the literature (54). The parameters pKa (ionization constant), δlow (low pH chemical shift plateau), δhigh (high pH chemical shift plateau), and n (Hill coefficient) were determined from nonlinear least-squares fits of the chemical shift (δ) data as a function of pH to a modified Henderson–Hasselbach equation (55).

Other Biophysical Methods. CD experiments were performed on a J-715 instrument (Jasco, Easton, MD). Conformational transitions were followed at 222 nm and 5°C. The concentration dependence of the CD signal was measured for 1–500 μM peptide, and the data were processed to obtain KU and ΔΔGU as described (56). Urea denaturation curves were measured with 25 μM peptide. Data were analyzed by nonlinear regression according to well established procedures (57).

ITC dilution experiments were performed at 20°C with a VP-ITC microcalorimeter (MicroCal, Amherst, MA). Peptide...
concentration in the injection syringe was 500–600 μM. Injection volume was 10 or 20 μL. The resulting dilution isotherm was processed as described (58).

Kinetic experiments were performed with the π*×180 instrument (Applied Photophysics, Surrey, U.K.) at 5°C. Mixing ratios of protein/buffer of 1:10 or 1:25 were used. Final protein concentration was 15–35 μM. At least 10 firings were averaged for each kinetic trace. The rates of folding and unfolding were calculated by numerical integration of the differential equations describing the time course of dimer and monomer concentration change, as implemented in the program DynaFit.

All experiments were performed in 10 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4. Urea concentrations were verified by measuring the refractive index.
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