



Martin Densing, Evangelos Panos

Energy Economics Group, Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland

Electricity Market Prices under Long-Term Policy Scenarios

41st IAEE International Conference, Groningen, 12. June 2018



Main research question

Can electricity prices rise again?

Especially under implementation of EC's "Clean Energy for all Europeans Package"



Electricity price (weekly avg.)



Cross-Border Electricity Market (BEM) model

Nash-Cournot game to understand price formation & investments

Optimization	Optimization	Optimization	Optimization
Player 1	Player 2	Player 3	Player N
Investment	Investment		Investment
in supply	in supply		in supply
technologies	technologies		technologies
Quantity	Quantity	Market clearing of TSO	Quantity
bidding	bidding	under transmission	bidding
(4*24hours)	(4*24hours)	constraints (pricetaker)	(4*24hours)

• The model can also run in different modes: (i) Deterministic or Stochastic; (ii) Social welfare maximization



01

02

Other main features of the BEM model

High intra-annual resolution

Each modelling period is divided into 96 typical operating hours, corresponding to 1 typical day per season; the framework is flexible allowing for defining more types of days within a season



Grid Transmission constraints between the players

A DC power flow approximation is modelled for representing the grid transmission constraints between the nodes/players; in each node power plants can be located belonging to player(s); in the current setup of the model the players are Switzerland and its neighbouring countries





Main features of the BEM model



Operating constraints for power plants

A linearized approximation of the unit commitment problem is formulated based on clustering of similar units to represent: part load efficiency losses, ramping constraints, minimum operating levels, online/offline times, start-up costs, etc.





Representation of RES variability & storage

Based on a historical sample of solar and wind generation the model ensures that there is enough storage and dispatchable capacity to accommodate residual load curve variations and curtailment.

Elastic and inelastic electricity markets

05

The model can represent both elastic (i.e. traded) electricity demand and inelastic (i.e. over the counter - OTC) demand; the OTC demand is considered to be perfect competitive to avoid an exponential demand function representing both markets



s.t.

Stylised formulation of BEM model

For each player* *i*:

max expected total profit = (profit from selling power – capital costs)

- capacity_i ≤ max_capacity_i
- constraint on player's risk
- production-, imports-amounts, and prices given by: max total profit of player *i*':

 - s.t.
 production_{i'} ≤ capacity_{i'}
 dispatching constraints (ramping rates, online/offline times, part load efficiency losses, minimum operating levels)
 price_{i'} = f_i (production_{i'} + net import_i)

* In the current model setup the players are Switzerland and its neighboring countries



Why still Nash-Cournot modeling?

Market Power?

- Market power in CWE market is diminishing over time (e.g. Willems, 2009; Graf, 2013; Moutinho, 2014; Mulder, 2015) by transparency measures (e.g. blind auction, caps)
- Non-market factors of electricity price influence include: (i) Plant outages, (ii) Unforseen load variations, (iii) Share of power market day-ahead volume of total load
- ightarrow Shortage in market supply is not only caused by **deliberate** market power
- How to diminish difference between modelled marginal cost and observed prices?
 - 1. Model of all plants (1000+), heating days, outages, etc. \rightarrow Commercial software
 - 2. Nash-Cournot with "as-if" market power \rightarrow Countries as players, for simplicity

Combined investment and production equilibrium?

- Electricity investment & production in wholesale markets seems to be an iterative game, with heterogeneous and time varying players → Bi-level may not be realistic
- Moreover: Bi-level game of interest (EPEC) is computationally difficult



Calibration within the BEM model

• The model has an estimation mode for the conjecture of a player regarding the aggregated reaction of its rivals, which is used to reproduce the historical prices

In a quantity offering setting q_i , each producer i tries to maximise its own profit (sales at price $p(q_i, q_{-i})$ minus production costs $C_i(q_i)$):

 $\max_{q_i \in R^+} p(q_{tot}) \cdot q_i - C_i(q_i)$

The first order condition of the above problem is:

$$p(q_{tot}) - \frac{\frac{\partial q_{tot}}{\partial q_i}}{\frac{\partial q_{tot}}{\partial q_{tot}}} \cdot q_i - C'_i(q_i) \le 0 \perp q_i \ge 0$$

 $\theta_i \coloneqq \frac{\partial q_{tot}}{\partial q_i}$ conjecture of producer *i*

 $\theta_i = 0$ perfect competition conjecture

 $\theta_i = 1$ Nash conjecture

 $\theta_i \in (0, 1)$ Intermediate imperfect competition conjecture





Calibration of the BEM model to 2015/6 prices



Average wholesale day-ahead price 2015/6

BEM model price 2015/2016 (Game-theoretic formulation)

BEM model price 2015/2016 (Social Welfare formulation)

1 std. dev. of the historical prices 2015/2016



Definition of the scenarios

• Two core scenarios for year 2030 are assessed:

	Base	Low Carbon		
Description		Climate scenario -40% reduction of CO ₂ in 2030 from 1990 levels ("Clean Energy for All Europeans")		
Fuel prices in 2030 ⁽¹⁾	Gas: 28 €/MWh, Coal: 12 €/MWh (in EUR ₂₀₁₅)			
CO ₂ price in 2030	30 €/tCO ₂	80 €/tCO ₂ ⁽²⁾		

¹ IEA World Energy Outlook 2017, New Policies Scenario

² IEA World Energy Outlook 2017, Sustainable Scenario

Today's gas price (2015/6) 14 €/MWh, today's coal price 9 €/MWh

• Two additional variants:

- a) Enabling investment in batteries (transmission level) for additional flexibility
- b) Maintaining the fuel costs and CO₂ prices of today ("TodayCost")



Scenarios: Marginal production costs

Marginal costs (EUR/MWh)

Scenario	Lignite	Coal	Nuclear	Gas CC	Biomass/Waste		
including CO ₂ price:							
Today	17	27 – 34	18	38 – 42	23 – 30		
Base	40	54 – 61	18	80 - 84	23 – 30		
Low Carbon	83	96 – 102	18	104 – 108	23 – 30		
excluding CO ₂ price:							
Today	13	23 – 30	18	36 - 40	23 – 30		
Base & Low Carbon	15	30 – 36	18	66 – 70	23 – 30		

The increase of the fossil and CO₂ prices in 2030 from today's level leads to approx. 2x and 4x increase in marginal electricity production cost of fossils
 → additional scenario variant «TodayCost» (fuel and CO₂ prices as today, i.e. 2015/16)



Results: Electricity generation mix today & in 2030



• new renewables given by scenario assumption (lower bounds)

Results: Electricity prices today and in 2030

PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT





• e.g. Germany: Prices driven by CO_2 and gas prices (despite more deployment of PV + wind)

Variant of Base Scenario: 2015/16 fuel prices



PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT



Electricity price increase key factors: (1) Fossil fuel price, especially gas (indirectly CO₂ prices), (2) Load levels, (3) penetration of wind and solar, (4) decommissioning of the existing capacity (mainly nuclear power)



Results: Electricity prices and storage in 2030

• Scenario variant: Low Carbon scenario with battery investments allowed





- If gas and CO₂ prices are rising then electricity prices may raise again (despite new renewables)
 - In Germany, CO₂ prices have higher impact on electricity prices than in the other countries due to the (still remaining) solid-based generation in the domestic supply mix
 - In France, prices follow those of the neighbors; in the Low Carbon scenario the increased wind power pushes the more expensive gas-based generation further out of the merit order curve and resulting in lower prices
 - Italy remains a country with high prices due to the high domestic gas share; the high capacity factor of solar PV accentuates price dampening during noon
 - In Switzerland, prices closely follow the increase in gas price (even though the country does not build gas power plants; the country is a hub influenced by its neighbors)
- Intra-day storage helps in mitigating peak prices and reduces volatility, and in large scales can complement hydro storage (and participates in arbitrage trade)



Wir schaffen Wissen – heute für morgen

Publication (as of June 2018):

Project "Oligopolistic capacity expansion with subsequent market-bidding under transmission constraints" sponsored by the Swiss Federal Office for Energy

https://www.aramis.admin.ch/Default.aspx?DocumentID=46075

