PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT

ELECTROCHEMISTRY LABORATORY

BATTERIES

Combined *in situ* Raman and IR microscopy of electrode materials for lithium-ion batteries

P. Lanz, S. Pérez-Villar, C. Villevieille and P. Novák

Paul Scherrer Institute, Electrochemistry Laboratory, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

patrick.lanz@psi.ch, +41 56 310 24 74

In situ cell / Principle / Approach



1 Objective (Raman or IR)
2 Window (CaF_2)
3 Working electrode (stainless steel mesh)
4 Separator (glass fibre)
5 Counter/reference electrode (Li)
6 Cell body (stainless steel)
7 Current collector (Ti in PEEK)
(PEEK = polyether ether ketone)



Combined *in situ* microscopic approach

- **Raman:** Particularly sensitive to structural changes in the electrode material
- **IR:** Particularly sensitive to the interface with the organic electrolyte
- ***In situ:*** Avoids relaxation & determines the exact potential of electrochemical processes
- **Microscopy:** Allows lateral resolution
- **Automation:** Switches between Raman & IR

Positive electrodes (Raman microscopy)

Li_2MnO_3



- Component of HE-NCM (domains)
- Activated during initial charging

$Li_{1+x}(Ni_aCo_bMn_{1-a-b})O_{2+x}$ (NCM)



- Promising electrode material
- Also called HE-NCM for x > 0

Electrochemical activation of Li_2MnO_3 at 50 °C [3]

Complete spectra



Charge
3.0-4.8 V vs. Li⁺/Li, 5 mA/g

Expanded view



- **Observation:** Shift of A_g to higher wavenumbers starting at 4.4 V

☞ **Electrochemical activation of Li_2MnO_3 above 4.4 V**
In agreement with the potential plateau observed in HE-NCM

Comparison of stoichiometric and HE-NCM

Stoichiometric NCM



Charge
3.0-4.8 V vs. Li⁺/Li, 5 mA/g

HE-NCM



- **Observation 1:** Disappearance of A_{1g} (reversible, due to intercalation)
- **Observation 2:** New band at 545 cm^{-1} (more pronounced in HE-NCM)

☞ **New band at 545 cm^{-1} possibly due to activation products (*e.g.* Li_2O) of Li_2MnO_3 integrated in the NCM structure**
(Small amounts of Li_2MnO_3 may be present in stoichiometric NCM)

Negative electrodes (Combined microscopy)

Glassy carbon disc (GC)



- Model system with high reflectivity
- No Li-intercalation

Polished graphite particle



- Better reflectivity than powders
- Li-intercalation possible

Electrochemical charging of glassy carbon [4]

In situ Raman spectra



Charge
3.0-0.2 V vs. Li⁺/Li, potentiostatic

In situ IR difference spectra



- **Raman:** No significant D and G shifts/splitting

☞ **No significant lithium intercalation**

- **IR:** Bipolar bands (separated by a few cm^{-1}) & positive band at 1650 cm^{-1}

☞ **Increase in free and decrease in coord. EC/DMC & SEI formation**

Electrochemical charging of a polished graphite particle

In situ Raman spectra



In situ IR difference spectra



- **Raman:** G shifts/splitting (due to staging)

☞ **Reversible Li-intercalation**

- **IR 1:** Decrease/increase in free and coord. EC/DMC on charge/discharge
- **IR 2:** Pronounced jump in absorbance at the transition 0.4 V / 0.7 V

☞ **Potential-dependent solvation effects & Li-intercalation**

Free = not coordinated to Li^+, coord. = coordinated to Li^+

Conclusions & Outlook

- Li_2MnO_3 is activated at 4.4 V *vs.* Li^+/Li when charged at 50 °C. Charging of NCM leads to the evolution of a new band at 545 cm^{-1} (stronger in HE-NCM).
- Unlike GC, graphite intercalates Li. Strong solvation effects in both carbons. SEI products were detected on GC (band at 1650 cm^{-1} due to EC reduction).
- Further *in situ* IR experiments to identify SEI products on polished graphite particles are planned.
- Combined *in situ* Raman and IR microscopy is a powerful method for the characterization of Li-ion batteries (electrode materials and electrolyte).

Acknowledgements

- Financial support from BASF SE is gratefully acknowledged.
- The authors are also grateful to Hermann Kaiser and Christoph Junker for technical assistance, for designing the *in situ* cells and for building the automation mechanism.

References

[1] M.M. Thackeray et al., J. Mater. Chem., 2007, 17, 3115
[2] G.M. Jenkins, K. Kawamura, Nature, 1971, 231, 175
[3] P. Lanz et al., Electrochim. Acta, 2013, 109, 426-432
[4] S. Perez-Villar et al., Electrochim. Acta, 2013, 106, 506-515

Scan me!