



Title

PSI/Nagra TDB, effect of temperature and pressure:
I. Selection of molar volumes for solid phases and T-P
dependency for master and selected aqueous species

Author(s) /
Coauthor(s)

George Dan Miron

Composed
24.09.2024, MG44

Classification NOT CLASSIFIED

Subject:

This project investigated the extension of the PSI/Nagra thermodynamic database for improved calculations at different temperatures and pressures. In particular the selection of molar volumes for mineral phases, partial molar heat capacities and molar volumes with temperature and pressure dependence for master aqueous species, and heat capacity temperature function coefficients for gaseous species.

Keywords:

Author:

Signature:

Date:

MG44

14.08.2024

Reviewer:

Signature:

Date:

PO44

23.08.2024

Distributor

Dep.	Addresses	Cop.	Dep.	Addresses	Cop.		Cop.
4400	S. Churakov	1*	Nagra	R. Wüst	1*		
4403	N. Prasianakis	1*				Archiv LES	1*
4403	G. D. Miron	1*				Pages	60
4406	J. Provis	1*		* electronic copy		Attachments	
	TM-Dossier (Sekretariat)	1*				Signature Head of Dep.: 	
						VOLES05	

Version Updates

Revision	Date	Modification
v3	09.08.2025	Added properties of H ₂ O(g)
v2	06.01.2025	Version after comments by R. Wüst
Composed	24.09.2024	Version after review by PO44
	14.08.2024	Draft Version

Contents

0	Terms, definitions, basics and standards	4
0.1	Terms and abbreviations.....	4
1	Introduction	6
2	Molar volumes of minerals	9
3	Temperature and pressure dependence of aqueous species	11
4	Temperature dependence of gaseous species	25
5	References and applicable documents	26
6	Selected data	35

0 Terms, definitions, and standards

Selected thermodynamic data refer to the reference temperature T_r° of 298.15 K (25 °C) and to the standard state, i.e., a reference pressure P_r° of 0.1 MPa (1 bar), for aqueous species, infinite dilution ($I = 0$), for gases, ideal gas and pure solids and water solvent.

0.1 Terms and abbreviations

$\log_{10}K^\circ$	logarithm (base-10) of the equilibrium constant of a reaction
$\Delta_r G_m^\circ$	molar Gibbs free energy of reaction ($\text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$)
$\Delta_r H_m^\circ$	molar enthalpy of reaction ($\text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$)
$\Delta_r S_m^\circ$	molar entropy of reaction ($\text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$)
$\Delta_r C_{p,m}^\circ$	molar heat capacity of reaction ($\text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$)
$\Delta_r V_m^\circ$	molar volume of reaction ($\text{g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$)
$\Delta_f G_m^\circ$	standard partial molar Gibbs free energy of formation ($\text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$)
$\Delta_f H_m^\circ$	standard partial molar enthalpy of formation ($\text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$)
$\Delta_f S_m^\circ$	standard partial molar entropy of formation ($\text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$)
$\Delta_f C_{p,m}^\circ$	standard partial molar heat capacity of formation ($\text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$)
S_m°	standard partial molar entropy ($\text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$)
$C_{p,m}^\circ$	standard partial molar heat capacity ($\text{J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$)
V_m°	standard partial molar volume ($\text{cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$)
E_m°	standard partial molar property
T_r°	reference temperature of 298.15 K (25 °C)
P_r°	reference pressure of $1 \cdot 10^5$ Pa (1 bar)
M	molarity ($\text{mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ solution)
m	molality ($\text{mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \text{H}_2\text{O}$)

I	ionic strength ($\text{mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \text{H}_2\text{O}$)
P_{sat}	water saturated vapor pressure
TDB 2020 and Thoenen, 2023)	update to the PSI/Nagra chemical thermodynamic database (Hummel and Thoenen, 2023)
SIT	specific ion interaction theory aqueous activity model
HKF	Helgeson-Kirkham-Flowers model

1 Introduction

The PSI/Nagra Thermodynamic database project supports the ongoing safety assessments for the planned repositories for low- and intermediate-level (L/ILW) and high-level (HLW) radioactive waste in Switzerland. The database was updated in version TDB 2020 (Hummel and Thoenen, 2023). The TDB 2020 includes thermodynamic data for 53 elements and their aqueous species, solids and gases.

Early versions of the PSI/Nagra chemical thermodynamic database (Hummel et al., 2002) focused on selecting thermodynamic properties for compounds and product species described in reactions from master species at reference temperature (T_r 298.15 K) and pressure (P_r $1 \cdot 10^5$ Pa or 1 bar). Such data is used for chemical thermodynamic calculations with the law of mass action algorithm based on the equilibrium constant ($\log_{10}K^\circ$). Values of reaction constants for all involved aqueous product species, solids, and gases and represents are included. This represents the minimal dataset required for calculating chemical equilibria at 1 bar and 25°C, whereas no thermodynamic data are required for the master species.

The TDB 2020 (Hummel and Thoenen, 2023) update of the PSI/Nagra chemical thermodynamic database considered the effect of temperature on the thermodynamic properties of aqueous species when selecting reference thermodynamic data. When sufficient experimental data at various temperatures were available, they were used in Hummel and Thoenen (2023) to determine values for the standard molar reaction enthalpy ($\Delta_r H_m^\circ$) or entropy ($\Delta_r S_m^\circ$) and heat capacity ($\Delta_r C_{p,m}^\circ$). A complete set of $\Delta_r H_m^\circ$ values and sometimes also $\Delta_r C_{p,m}^\circ$ was retrieved for compounds and complexes involving Li, Na, K, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, Mn, Al, Fe, and Si elements and aqueous complexes with OH^- , F^- , HCO_3^- , CO_3^{2-} , and SO_4^{2-} .

A comprehensive thermodynamic database contains not only reaction properties, but also thermodynamic properties of individual master and product species, and the parameters needed to make temperature and pressure corrections. Such an extensive database provides the means to maintain formal thermodynamic consistency between properties of substances and reactions and extends the ranges of validity for geochemical calculations.

To use a thermodynamic database in GEM (Gibbs energy minimisation) codes (e.g., GEM-Selektor (Kulik et al., 2013)) it must include the standard molar Gibbs energy ($\Delta_r G_m^\circ$) of all substances. These are related to the reaction constant by:

$$\log_{10}K^\circ = \Delta_r G_m^\circ \cdot [R \cdot T^\circ \cdot \ln(10)]^{-1} \quad 1-1$$

For calculations at conditions different from T_r and P_r , the $\Delta_r G_m^\circ$ values need to be corrected to the temperature and pressure of interest. For this, data on standard molar entropy (S_m°) or enthalpy ($\Delta_f H_m^\circ$), heat capacity ($C_{p,m}^\circ$), and volume (V_m°) at T_r and P_r , and data on their temperature and pressure dependencies, are required for each individual species in the chemical system.

Provided information on S_m° or $\Delta_f H_m^\circ$, and $C_{p,m}^\circ$, at T_r and P_r of master species, the S_m° or $\Delta_f H_m^\circ$, and $C_{p,m}^\circ$, of all dependent substances can be calculated, from their respective reaction partial molar properties ($\Delta_r \bar{E}_m^\circ$):

$$\Delta_r \mathcal{E}_m^\circ = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i \cdot \mathcal{E}_{m,i}^\circ \quad 1-2$$

where $\mathcal{E}_m^\circ$ is a partial molar property of a species i , and ν_i represents the stoichiometric coefficients, negative for reactants and positive for products.

In addition to values for $\Delta_f G_m^\circ$, the TDB 2020 contains values for S_m° or $\Delta_f H_m^\circ$ for most master species, while most do not have $C_{p,m}^\circ$ values. No V_m° data were evaluated for any of the compounds selected in the TDB 2020 or in any previous PSI-Nagra database releases.

The missing S_m° or $\Delta_f H_m^\circ$, $C_{p,m}^\circ$, and V_m° values, and lack of data on their temperature and pressure dependency, prevents use of the database for calculations at conditions other than at T_r and P_r . Additionally, data on V_m° of solids are necessary for using the database in reactive transport model calculations where changes in porosity due to mineral dissolution/precipitation are important. For improved modelling calculations applied to deep geological repositories, a consistent and complete set of thermodynamic properties of substances and reactions, including molar volumes as well as their temperature (pressure) dependence, is necessary.

In this report, the standard molar volumes at T_r and P_r of pure solid phases present in the PSI/Nagra database were collected based on literature for measured density, crystal structure data, or estimated based on analogue phases.

For aqueous ions and complexes, the revised Helgeson-Kirkham-Flowers (HKF) (Tanger and Helgeson, 1988) model was selected as the method for calculating the standard partial molar thermodynamic properties at different temperatures and pressures for this report. The missing values for S_m° or $\Delta_f H_m^\circ$, $C_{p,m}^\circ$, and V_m° and HKF model coefficients were selected or derived based on experimental data. If values for S_m° or $\Delta_f H_m^\circ$, $C_{p,m}^\circ$ were already available in TDB 2020 these were taken as such unless a re-evaluation was necessary to make them consistent with the HKF model. The newly determined $C_{p,m}^\circ$ and V_m° (not available previously) are consistent with measured data of electrolyte solutions. Their values are calculated based on the selected HKF coefficients (Table 6-5) and Eq. 3-3 and Eq. 3-4.

The evaluation in this report was conducted for master species and selected dependent species containing H, O, P, C, S, Cl, F, Na, K, Mg, Ca, Al, Fe, and Si elements. These are relevant for calculations related to host rock and model porewaters, as well as for the use of the PSI/Nagra database as a complement to the cemdata database (Lothenbach et al., 2018) for cement phases.

Data for gases were supplemented with $C_{p,m}^\circ$ temperature function coefficients that were not available in previous database releases.

The data will be made available in GEMS and in PHREEQC formats in the upcoming electronic releases. The validity of the selected data is at least within the range 0-150 °C and P_{sat} -300 bar. For gases, the ideal gas model is used, which does not produce significant deviations below 50 bars.

The aim of future work is the compilation of a complete set of thermodynamic properties for all compounds to complement the reaction properties in the PSI/Nagra database in order to optimise temperature and pressure extrapolations. Hence, further updates as well as continuous improvement based on new experimental data and improved understanding of these systems are critical.

Future updates should also include selections of data for other pore water elements (e.g., Li, Ba, Ra, Sr, Mn) and missing properties and HKF model parameters for all master species (including radionuclides) for these elements. Data on heat capacity as a function of temperature for solid phases based on calorimetric measurements should also be selected and their consistency with derived values at T_r and P_r , based on solubility data in TDB 2020 where available, should be assessed. For gas solubility, SIT (Specific ion Interaction Theory) (Guggenheim and Turgeon, 1955) aqueous activity model parameters need to be evaluated. The selected data in this report are consistent with the SIT model and parameters evaluated in TDB 2020

2 Molar volumes of minerals

The molar volumes of minerals are generally derived from both single crystal structure refinements and powder-diffraction data. Although individual lattice parameters can be measured with high accuracy, compositional variation in many minerals implies that provided values may require adjustments to accurately represent the volumetric properties of the phase.

In this report only values for end-member standard molar volumes (V_m° at 1 bar and 25 °C) were evaluated. Molar volumes can be modelled as a function of activity/composition accounting for the volumes of mixing, but such an approach was beyond the scope of this report. In addition, using data on thermal expansion and compressibility, corrections for changes in T and P can be accounted for. For low pressure environments relevant for deep geological repositories up to a few thousand bars, the pressure effect on the molar volume of minerals is negligible.

Selected molar volumes based on measured data are provided in Table 6-1. The selected values in the present report used as basis the extensive review of Robie and Hemingway (1995) and Robie and Bethke (1962) who critically reviewed the molar volumes and densities of several well defined mineral phases based on available unit cell parameters and density measurements. An additional source of molar volumes was the Thermochem database (Blanc et al., 2012) and the original references provided within that database.

The molar volumes for clay minerals were taken as reported from the work of Blanc et al. (2015a, 2015b) while for Na and Ca bearing zeolites, molar volumes were taken from Ma and Lothenbach (2020a, 2020b). These studies were also the original sources for their selected standard thermodynamic properties in TDB 2020 (Hummel and Thoenen, 2023).

For the remaining minerals that did not have molar volumes reported in the aforementioned sources, reference values were determined based on the reported densities and crystallographic unit cell volume values taken from the established online databases: mindat.org, materialsproject.org (Jain et al., 2013), materials.springer.com, chemicalbook.com, webmineral.com, and ruff.geo.arizona.edu.

For some minerals where no density data were available, the molar volume was estimated as being equal to analogous phases of similar elements assuming that they have the same crystal structure. In a few cases the stoichiometric composition differs from the formula in TDB 2020, mainly in the amount of water in the formula. In these cases, the densities were assumed to be equal, and the molar volumes were calculated from the reported densities and respective molar masses.

For several hydrous phosphate and arsenate phases, $AB_2(XO_4)_2 \cdot xH_2O$, specifically those of the autunite or meta-autunite mineral groups, molar volumes were estimated from the available density data of similar phases containing different cations. From the available measured densities, average values are $3.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ and $3.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ for arsenates and phosphates, respectively. Molar volumes based on estimates are provided in Table 6-2.

Molar volumes were calculated from given density values using the formula:

$$V_m^\circ = \frac{M}{\rho}$$

where M is the formula molar mass in $\text{g}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ and ρ is the density in $\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$, or from the unit cell volume values using the formula:

$$V_{\text{m}}^{\circ} = \frac{V_{\text{U}} (\text{unit cell cm}^3) \cdot 6.02322 \cdot 10^{23} (\text{mol}^{-1})}{Z}$$

Where V_{U} is the unit cell volume (cm^3) and Z is the number of formula units per unit cell.

With the exception of major rock-forming minerals, the molar volumes of other solids are generally not relevant for changes in porosity. However, having a complete set of molar volume data can be useful for estimating other thermodynamic properties based on potential correlations with molar volume (e.g., Glasser and Jenkins, 2016). Of the 395 minerals in the PSI/Nagra chemical thermodynamic database, 309 minerals (Table 6-1) have molar volumes derived based on available measured data, 61 minerals (Table 6-2) have estimated molar volumes, while 25 minerals (Table 6-3) have no molar volumes and no volumes could not be estimated in this report.

3 Temperature and pressure dependence of aqueous species

The revised HKF model (Tanger and Helgeson, 1988) is used for calculating the change in the partial molal Gibbs energy of aqueous species as a function of temperature and pressure. The model is a set of equations of state that allow the calculation of the contributions to the thermodynamic properties of dissolved aqueous species for the solvation and non-solvation effects. The solvation contributions are related to the water dielectric constant while the non-solvation contributions are related to semiempirical relations with coefficients a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , a_4 , c_1 , and c_2 that can be retrieved from experimental data or estimated from correlations (Shock and Helgeson, 1988).

For the evaluated species, a set of $\Delta_f G_m^\circ$, $S_m^\circ(\Delta_f H_m^\circ)$, $C_{p,m}^\circ$, and V_m° is selected, together with the HKF model coefficients that describe the temperature dependence of V_m° , a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , a_4 and the temperature dependence of $C_{p,m}^\circ$, c_1 and c_2 . Unless otherwise specified, if already selected in TDB 2020, values for $\Delta_f G_m^\circ$, $S_m^\circ(\Delta_f H_m^\circ)$ are taken as such and were consistent with the $\log_{10} K^\circ$, $\Delta_r S_m^\circ(\Delta_f H_m^\circ)$ values for dependent species in the database.

Values for $C_{p,m}^\circ$ and V_m° and their respective HKF model coefficients were selected from sources that used the HKF model to fit measured data for apparent molar heat capacity and molar volume of electrolyte solutions at different temperatures and pressures. When any two of the three thermodynamic properties ($\Delta_f G_m^\circ$, S_m° , $\Delta_f H_m^\circ$) are available, the third can be derived from:

$$\Delta_f G_m^\circ = \Delta_f H_m^\circ - T^\circ \cdot (S_m^\circ - \sum \nu \cdot S_m^\circ \text{elements}) \quad 3-1$$

Having a complete set of thermodynamic properties and HKF model coefficients enables extrapolation at various temperatures and pressures, and combined with Eq. 1-1 and 1-2, allows for the calculation of the thermodynamic properties of reactions. This complete set of data allows formal thermodynamic consistency to be maintained between the thermodynamic properties of substances and reactions at a given temperature and pressure.

Conventional partial molar volumes and heat capacities of ions can be derived from measurements of apparent molar heat capacity and apparent molar volume of electrolyte solutions, setting the conventional partial molar property of the proton $\mathcal{E}_m^\circ(\text{H}^+) = 0$. The relationship between the partial molar properties of the ions and the electrolytes that share common ions is additive, as follows (Tanger and Helgeson, 1988):

$$\mathcal{E}_k^\circ = \sum_j \nu_{j,k} \mathcal{E}_j^\circ, \quad 3-2$$

where $\nu_{j,k}$ represents the stoichiometric number of moles of the j^{th} ion in one mole of the k^{th} electrolyte. In the conventional form, the values of $\mathcal{E}_m^\circ(\text{H}^+) = \mathcal{E}_m^\circ(\text{HCl})$ and thus the values of cations (X) and anions (Y) may be estimated from $\mathcal{E}_m^\circ(\text{X}) = \mathcal{E}_m^\circ(\text{XCl}) - \mathcal{E}_m^\circ(\text{HCl})$ and $\mathcal{E}_m^\circ(\text{Y}) = \mathcal{E}_m^\circ(\text{NaY}) - \mathcal{E}_m^\circ(\text{NaCl})$, respectively (Millero, 2014).

Selected $C_{p,m}^\circ$ and V_m° are calculated at T_r and P_r using the HKF model coefficients:

$$C_{p,m}^\circ = c_1 + c_2 \cdot (T_r - \theta)^{-2} + \omega^\circ \cdot T_r \cdot X^\circ \quad 3-3$$

$$V_m^\circ = 41.84 \cdot (a_1 + a_2 \cdot (1 + \psi)^{-1} + a_3 \cdot (T_r - \theta)^{-1} + a_4 \cdot ((1 + \psi) \cdot (T_r - \theta))^{-1} - \omega^\circ \cdot Q^\circ) \quad 3-4$$

where $\theta = 228$ (K) and $\psi = 2600$ (bars) are solvent parameters, ω° is the conventional Born coefficient of the ion ($\text{cal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$), and $X^\circ = -3.09\text{e-}07$ (bar^{-1}) and $Q^\circ = 5.903\text{e-}7$ (K^{-2}) are Born functions.

When the values at T_r and P_r of V_m° , $C_{p,m}^\circ$ are known and but no data for electrolyte solutions at different temperatures and pressures are available, the HKF parameters can be estimated using the following relationships (Shvarov, 2015; Walker et al., 2024):

$$a_1 = 0.013684 \cdot (V_m^\circ + 41.84 \cdot \omega^\circ \cdot Q^\circ) + 0.1765 \quad 3-5$$

$$a_2 = 33.4115 \cdot (V_m^\circ + 41.84 \cdot \omega^\circ \cdot Q^\circ) - 347.179 \quad 3-6$$

$$a_3 = -0.13132 \cdot (V_m^\circ + 41.84 \cdot \omega^\circ \cdot Q^\circ) + 7.11465 \quad 3-7$$

$$a_4 = -4.134 \cdot a_2 - 27790 \text{ (empirical relation, } -4.134 \text{ unit of K)} \quad 3-8$$

$$c_1 = 0.58606 \cdot C_{p,m}^\circ - \omega^\circ \cdot T_r \cdot X^\circ + 6.1666 \quad 3-9$$

$$c_2 = 2037 \cdot C_{p,m}^\circ - 30346 \quad 3-10$$

$$\omega^\circ = Z^2 \cdot \eta / r_e - \omega H^{+}_{\text{abs}}^\circ Z \quad 3-11$$

where Z is the charge number of the ion (unitless), $\eta = 166027$ ($\text{\AA} \cdot \text{cal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$) is a dimensional constant, $\omega H^{+}_{\text{abs}}^\circ = 53870$ ($\text{cal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$) is the absolute Born coefficient of the hydrogen ion, $r_e = r_x + (0.0 \text{ \AA for anions})$ or $(0.94 \text{ \AA for cations})$ at T_r is the effective electrostatic radius, and r_x is the crystallographic radius (Pauling) (deterrence given in tables in the appendix).

The selected partial molar heat capacities and volumes of ions are compared against measured data (not exhaustive). Studies of Marcus and Millero (Marcus, 1993; Millero, 1971) provide important compilations on molar volumes of ions. Values for ions were derived using the additivity relation (Eq. 3-2) from measured values for electrolyte solutions reported in the literature. To keep consistency with previous fits of the HKF model coefficients from the team of Helgeson are preferred and selected in this work. The $C_{p,m}^\circ$ and V_m° values are calculated based on the selected HKF coefficients (Table 6-5) and Eq. 3-3 and Eq. 3-4. Other values for $C_{p,m}^\circ$ and V_m° and HKF coefficients (Table 6-5) are estimated (Eqs. 3-5 to 3-11). This is done when previous values were based on estimates limited to data at 25°C , or differ from new electrolyte data or selections in the NEA reviews (see details below).

Cl⁻

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{HCl}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 17.82 \pm 0.02 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Millero et al., 1972)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{HCl}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -(123.12 \pm 0.6) \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Fortier et al., 1974a).}$$

$$\text{Tremaine (1986) report } C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{HCl}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -(126.6 \pm 0.22) \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}.$$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° and $C_{p,m}^\circ$ from Tanger and Helgeson (1988), based on fits to several datasets, calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{Cl}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{17.79} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{Cl}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-123.12} \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

These values were used in the following part to derive the properties of ions from values of electrolytes for comparison with the selected values. By convention the properties of H⁺ are equal to zero, therefore the properties of Cl⁻ are equal to that of HCl.

K⁺

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{KCl}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 26.97 \pm 0.04 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Hnedkovsky and Hefter, 2021)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{KCl}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -116.2 \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Archer, 1999)}$$

using Eq. 3-2:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{K}^+, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 9.18 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{K}^+, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 6.92 \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° and $C_{p,m}^\circ$ from Tanger and Helgeson (1988), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{K}^+, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{9.07} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{K}^+, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{8.31} \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

These values for K⁺ were used in the following to derive other values of ions from measurements.

Na⁺

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{NaCl}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 16.6 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Archer, 1992)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{NaCl}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -85.3 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Archer, 1992)}$$

using Eq. 3-2:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{Na}^+, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -1.19 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{Na}^+, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 37.82 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° and $C_{p,m}^\circ$ from Tanger and Helgeson (1988), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{Na}^+, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-1.11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{Na}^+, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{37.98} \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

These values for Na^+ were used to derive the values of ions from measurements, using Eq. 3-2, for comparison.

Ca²⁺

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{CaCl}_2, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 18.35 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Perron et al., 1981)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{CaCl}_2, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -278.33 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Perron et al., 1981)}$$

using Eq. 3-2:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{Ca}^{2+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -17.23 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{Ca}^{2+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -32.09 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° from and Shock and Helgeson (1988) and $C_{p,m}^\circ$ from Tanger and Helgeson (1988), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{Ca}^{2+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-18.06} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{Ca}^{2+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-31.47} \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

Fe²⁺

Thermodynamic properties of iron were updated in TDB 2020 based on measured data to derive a consistent set of values for Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} . Values for $\Delta_f H_m^\circ$, S_m° , and $C_{p,m}^\circ$ were selected in TDB 2020.

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{FeCl}_2, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 12.88 \pm 0.09 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Pogue and Atkinson, 1988)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{FeCl}_2, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -(256 \pm 30) \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Pogue and Atkinson, 1988)}$$

using Eq. 3-2:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{Fe}^{2+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -22.7 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{Fe}^{2+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -9.76 \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° from Shock et al. (1997) and $C_{p,m}^\circ$ from Lemire et al. (2020) (HKF coefficients estimated Table 6-5), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{Fe}^{2+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-22.25 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{Fe}^{2+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-(23.0 \pm 10) \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}}$$

The selected $C_{p,m}^\circ$ in this work, from Lemire et al. (2020), is more negative than the one obtained from FeCl_2 electrolyte measurements, but is within the uncertainty, and is consistent with the evaluation of solubility data for magnetite and $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ redox reaction data. The HKF parameters c_1 and c_2 were estimated from Eqs. 3-9 and 3-10, the coefficients in Shock et al. (1997) were also estimates.

Fe^{3+}

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{Fe}(\text{ClO}_4)_3, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 95.9 \pm 0.5 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Swaddle and Mak, 1983)}$$

No measured data for $C_{p,m}^\circ$ was found.

Using Eq. 3-2 and ClO_4^- data below:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{Fe}^{3+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -36.77 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° from Shock et al. (1997) and $C_{p,m}^\circ$ from Lemire et al. (2020) (HKF coefficients estimated Table 6-5), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{Fe}^{3+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-37.0 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{Fe}^{3+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -108.0 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

Mg²⁺

Data for the Mg ion were re-evaluated in the NEA TDB review of thermodynamic data (Rand et al., 2024). This resulted in small changes to the $\Delta_f G_m^{\circ}$ and S_m° which were implemented in this report (Table 6-4).

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^{\circ}(\text{MgCl}_2, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 15 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Perron et al., 1981)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{MgCl}_2, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -252.55 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Perron et al., 1981)}$$

using Eq. 3-2:

$$V_m^{\circ}(\text{Mg}^{2+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -20.58 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{Mg}^{2+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -6.31 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° from and Shock and Helgeson (1988) and $C_{p,m}^{\circ}$ from Tanger and Helgeson (1988), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^{\circ}(\text{Mg}^{2+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -21.54 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{Mg}^{2+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -22.31 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

The selected $C_{p,m}^{\circ}$ value is more negative than the one derived from MgCl_2 above, but is selected as it was derived from fits to multiple temperature data and is consistent with the HKF coefficients (Tanger and Helgeson, 1988).

Al³⁺

Thermodynamic properties of aluminum were updated in TDB 2020 based on available solubility data for aluminum hydroxide phases. These data were selected in TDB 2020 from the review of Brown and Ekberg (2016) based on boehmite solubility experiments. The resulted $C_{p,m}^{\circ}$ of Al^{3+} was $-(96 \pm 30) \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ is less negative than the $C_{p,m}^{\circ}$ that could be retrieved from measurements of the apparent molar volume of AlCl_3 solutions, $C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{Al}^{3+}) = -129.94 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$. The value obtained from AlCl_3 solutions is closer to the selected value in this study, $-134.5 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, which is from Shock and Helgeson (1988). This heat capacity value is in agreement with the temperature dependency of the gibbsite solubility data summarized in Brown and Ekberg (2016) that can be described by a 2-term $\log_{10} K^{\circ}$ temperature extrapolation. The selected thermodynamic properties for Al^{3+} (Table 6-4, Table 6-5) are calculated from the properties of gibbsite (Robie and Hemingway, 1995) $\Delta_f G_m^{\circ} -(1154.905 \pm 1.194) \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta_f H_m^{\circ} -(1293.130 \pm 1.190) \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, $S_m^{\circ} 68.44 \pm 0.3 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$

¹, $C_{p,m}^{\circ}$ $91.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ and the gibbsite solubility reaction properties (Brown and Ekberg, 2016), $\log_{10}K^{\circ} = 7.753 \pm 0.08$, $\Delta_r H_m^{\circ} = -(104.301 \pm 2.317) \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, and $\Delta_r C_{p,m}^{\circ} = 0.0 \pm 10 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$.

Electrolyte data:

$V_m^{\circ}(\text{AlCl}_3, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 9.0 \pm 1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ (Hovey and Tremaine, 1986)

$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{AlCl}_3, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -(499.3 \pm 10) \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ (Hovey and Tremaine, 1986)

using Eq. 3-2:

$V_m^{\circ}(\text{Al}^{3+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -44.37 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$

$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{Al}^{3+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -129.94 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° and $C_{p,m}^{\circ}$ from Shock and Helgeson (1988), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$V_m^{\circ}(\text{Al}^{3+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-44.40 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}}$

$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{Al}^{3+}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-134.5 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}}$

ClO_4^-

Electrolyte data:

$V_m^{\circ}(\text{NaClO}_4, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 43.1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ (Roux et al., 1978)

$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{NaClO}_4, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 3.6 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ (Roux et al., 1978)

$V_m^{\circ}(\text{Mg}(\text{ClO}_4)_2, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 66.5 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ (Spitzer et al., 1978)

$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{Mg}(\text{ClO}_4)_2, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -65.7 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ (Spitzer et al., 1978)

using Eq. 3-2 and NaClO_4 data:

$V_m^{\circ}(\text{ClO}_4^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 44.21 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$

$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{ClO}_4^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -34.38 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$

using Eq. 3-2 and $\text{Mg}(\text{ClO}_4)_2$ data:

$V_m^{\circ}(\text{ClO}_4^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 44.02 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$

$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{ClO}_4^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -21.70 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° and $C_{p,m}^\circ$ from Shock et al. (1997), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{ClO}_4^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{44.21} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{ClO}_4^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-24.42} \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

F⁻

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{NaF}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -2.48 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Fortier et al., 1974b)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{NaF}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -73.2 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Fortier et al., 1974b)}$$

$$V_m^\circ(\text{KF}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 7.78 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Fortier et al., 1974b)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{KF}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -102.6 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Fortier et al., 1974b)}$$

using Eq. 3-2 and NaF data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{F}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -1.37 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{F}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -111.18 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

using Eq. 3-2 and KF data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{F}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -1.29 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{F}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -110.91 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° from and Shock and Helgeson (1988) and $C_{p,m}^\circ$ from Tanger and Helgeson (1988), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{F}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-1.32} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{F}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-113.89} \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

HCO₃⁻

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{NaHCO}_3, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 23.62 \pm 0.02 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Barbero et al., 1983)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{NaHCO}_3, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -(4.1 \pm 0.4) \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Barbero et al., 1983)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{NaHCO}_3, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -7.2 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Desnoyers et al., 1976)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{NaHCO}_3, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -11.6 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Larson et al., 1982)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{NaHCO}_3, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -5.1 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Perron et al., 1975)}$$

$$V_m^{\circ}(\text{KHCO}_3, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 33.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Barbero et al., 1983)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{KHCO}_3, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -(40 \pm 0.8) \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Barbero et al., 1983)}$$

From NaHCO_3 using Eq. 3-2:

$$V_m^{\circ}(\text{HCO}_3^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 24.73 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{HCO}_3^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -42.08 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{HCO}_3^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -45.18 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{HCO}_3^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -49.58 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{HCO}_3^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -43.08 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

From KHCO_3 using Eq. 3-2:

$$V_m^{\circ}(\text{HCO}_3^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 24.83 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{HCO}_3^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -48.31 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° and $C_{p,m}^{\circ}$ from Shock and Helgeson (1988), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^{\circ}(\text{HCO}_3^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{24.6 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{HCO}_3^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -35.4 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

The $C_{p,m}^{\circ}$ value selected in Shock and Helgeson (1988) was based on experimental data at 25 °C and the HKF c_1 and c_2 coefficients were estimated by Shock and Helgeson (1988) from Eqs. 3-9, 3-10. In this study, a new $C_{p,m}^{\circ}$ was selected as the average of data from Barbero et al. (1983), Larson et al. (1982), Perron et al. (1975), and Desnoyers et al. (1976) $C_{p,m}^{\circ} = \mathbf{-(45.6 \pm 6) \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}}$ and the HKF c_1 , c_2 parameters were estimated from Eqs. 3-9 and 3-10.

HS⁻

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{NaHS}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 19.54 \pm 0.3 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Barbero et al., 1982)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{NaHS}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -(50.9 \pm 0.7) \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Barbero et al., 1982)}$$

using Eq. 3-2:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{HS}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 20.65 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{HS}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -88.88 \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° and $C_{p,m}^\circ$ from Tanger and Helgeson (1988), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{HS}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{20.65} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{HS}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-92.68} \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

NO₃⁻

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 43.1 \pm 1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Hovey and Tremaine, 1986)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -(335.3 \pm 10) \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Hovey and Tremaine, 1986)}$$

using Eq. 3-2:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{NO}_3^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 29.16 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{NO}_3^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -68.45 \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° and $C_{p,m}^\circ$ from Shock and Helgeson (1988), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{NO}_3^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{29.00} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{NO}_3^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-67.29} \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

OH⁻

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{NaOH}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -5.6 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Simonson et al., 1989)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{NaOH}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -100.9 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Simonson et al., 1989)}$$

$$V_m^\circ(\text{KOH}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 4.92 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Hovey et al., 1988)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{KOH}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -130.52 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Hovey et al., 1988)}$$

using Eq. 3-2 and NaOH data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{OH}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -4.49 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{OH}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -138.88 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

using Eq. 3-2 and KOH data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{OH}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -4.15 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{OH}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -138.83 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° from and Shock and Helgeson (1988) and $C_{p,m}^\circ$ from Tanger and Helgeson (1988), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{OH}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-4.18 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{OH}^-, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-137.13 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}}$$

Using the $C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{H}_2\text{O(l)}, 298.15 \text{ K})$ of $75.351 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ and $V_m^\circ(\text{H}_2\text{O(l)}, 298.15 \text{ K})$ of $18.0684 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$, values of $-212.481 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ and $22.25 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ were obtained for the $\Delta_r C_{p,m}^\circ$ and $\Delta_r V_m^\circ$ of water ionisation, respectively. These are close to the values $-(216 \pm 3) \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ and $-(22.2 \pm 0.5) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ recommended by Plyasunov and Bugaev (2024) based on the assessment of several experimental datasets.

CO₃²⁻

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -6.8 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Larson et al., 1982)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -215.5 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Larson et al., 1982)}$$

using Eq. 3-2:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{CO}_3^{2-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -4.58 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{CO}_3^{2-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -291.45 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° and $C_{p,m}^{\circ}$ from Shock and Helgeson (1988), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^{\circ}(\text{CO}_3^{2-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-5.02 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{CO}_3^{2-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-290.78 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}}$$

HPO₄²⁻

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^{\circ}(\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 2.8 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Larson et al., 1982)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -168.1 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Larson et al., 1982)}$$

using Eq. 3-2:

$$V_m^{\circ}(\text{HPO}_4^{2-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 5.02 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{HPO}_4^{2-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -244.05 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° and $C_{p,m}^{\circ}$ from Shock and Helgeson (1988), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^{\circ}(\text{HPO}_4^{2-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{5.38 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{HPO}_4^{2-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-244.22 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}}$$

SO₄²⁻

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^{\circ}(\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 11.4 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Olofsson et al., 1978)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -190.1 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Olofsson et al., 1978)}$$

$$V_m^{\circ}(\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 32.8 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Olofsson et al., 1978)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^{\circ}(\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -251 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Olofsson et al., 1978)}$$

From Na₂SO₄ using Eq. 3-2:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{SO}_4^{2-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 13.62 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{SO}_4^{2-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -266.05 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

From K_2SO_4 using Eq. 3-2:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{SO}_4^{2-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = 14.67 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{SO}_4^{2-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -267.61 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

Selected data:

Selected V_m° from and Shock and Helgeson (1988) and $C_{p,m}^\circ$ from Tanger and Helgeson (1988), calculated from Eqs. 3-4 and 3-3 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{SO}_4^{2-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{13.88} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{SO}_4^{2-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-267.45} \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

PO_4^{3-}

Electrolyte data:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{Na}_3\text{PO}_4, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -(28.6 \pm 1.3) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Bianchi and Tremaine, 1995)}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{Na}_3\text{PO}_4, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -(371 \pm 5) \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ (Bianchi and Tremaine, 1995)}$$

$$V_m^\circ(\text{Na}_3\text{PO}_4, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -34.12 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Surdo et al., 1979)}$$

$$V_m^\circ(\text{K}_3\text{PO}_4, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -3.34 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ (Surdo et al., 1979)}$$

From Na_3PO_4 using Eq. 3-2:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{PO}_4^{3-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -25.27 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{PO}_4^{3-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -484.93 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

$$V_m^\circ(\text{PO}_4^{3-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -30.79 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

From K_3PO_4 using Eq. 3-2:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{PO}_4^{3-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -30.54 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

Selected V_m° and $C_{p,m}^\circ$ from Shock and Helgeson (1988) , calculated from Eq. 3-4 using the reported HKF coefficients:

$$V_m^\circ(\text{PO}_4^{3-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-30.6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$$

$$C_{p,m}^\circ(\text{PO}_4^{3-}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = \mathbf{-480.75} \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$$

Shock et al. (1997) estimated $C_{p,m}^\circ$ from correlation with S_m° ($-222 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$) and calculated a value of $-521.35 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$. The $C_{p,m}^\circ$ and V_m° of PO_4^{3-} may require additional scrutiny.

Si(OH)₄(aq)

Thermodynamic properties of $\text{Si(OH)}_4(\text{aq})$ were evaluated in TDB 2020 (Hummel and Thoenen, 2023) based on quartz and amorphous silica solubility data summarized in Gunnarsson and Arnórsson (2000). Values for $\Delta_f G_m^\circ$ ($-1309.183 \pm 1.12 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$), S_m° ($178.851 \pm 2.2 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$), and $C_{p,m}^\circ$ ($237.37 \pm 2.0 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$) were derived based on the dependency of the solubility data on temperature. These are in close agreement with the NEA selection (Rand et al., 2024) of $\Delta_f G_m^\circ$ ($-1309.201 \pm 1.017 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$), S_m° ($181.105 \pm 2.501 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$), and somewhat less positive for $C_{p,m}^\circ$ ($195.0 \pm 3.0 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$). Walker et al. (2024) revised the thermodynamic properties of $\text{Si(OH)}_4(\text{aq})$ based on solubility data within the HKF model framework and evaluated values for $\Delta_f G_m^\circ$ ($-1309.215 \pm 1.76 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$), S_m° ($182.13 \pm 2.092 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$), and even less positive for $C_{p,m}^\circ$ ($-153 \pm 28 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$). In all cases the experimental data are reproduced to within experimental uncertainty and the different $C_{p,m}^\circ$ values obtained depend on the constraints used during data fitting.

In this report the NEA $C_{p,m}^\circ$ value (**$195.0 \pm 20.0 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$**) was selected, assigning it a higher uncertainty, based on the constraint that $\Delta_f C_{p,m}^\circ = 0.0 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ for the isocoulombic reaction (Gu et al., 1994; Miron et al., 2020) $\text{quartz} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) = \text{Si(OH)}_4(\text{aq})$ and properties of α -quartz: from Cox et al. (1989) $C_{p,m}^\circ = 44.602 \pm 0.3 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, and of $\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$: $C_{p,m}^\circ = 75.351 \pm 0.08 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$. The HKF parameters were then fitted to the quartz solubility data at different temperatures and pressures summarized by Walker et al. (2024), together with simultaneously fitting S_m° (**$178.260 \pm 3 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$**) and V_m° (**$55.87 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$**). There are no data independent from solubility for $C_{p,m}^\circ$ and V_m° to compare them with the ones obtained in this work.

4 Temperature dependence of gaseous species

The thermodynamic properties of common gases are well known from measurements of enthalpy, entropy and heat capacity of gases and from highly accurate statistical mechanics calculations. Values for $\Delta_f G_m^\circ$, S_m° , $\Delta_f H_m^\circ$, $C_{p,m}^\circ$ have already been selected in Table 6-6 of the TDB 2020 (Hummel and Thoenen, 2023), and are consistent with the NEA TDB (Grenthe et al., 1992). In this study, additional $C_{p,m}^\circ$ coefficients for the temperature function polynomial, necessary for temperature corrections, were selected from the literature (Table 6-7), primarily from the NIST-JANAF Thermochemical Tables (Chase, 1998). The effect of pressure on gases is approximated using the ideal gas law and will not produce significant deviations up to a pressure of 50 bars. For higher pressures a real gas formulation and respective model parameters are required.

5 References

- Andersen, L., Lindqvist, O. & Moret, J. (1984): The structures of magnesium tellurate(IV) hexahydrate, $\text{MgTeO}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and magnesium selenate(IV) hexahydrate, $\text{MgSeO}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$. *urn:issn:0108-2701* 40, 586–589. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108270184004984>
- Archer, D.G. (1999): Thermodynamic Properties of the $\text{KCl}+\text{H}_2\text{O}$ System. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data* 28, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.556034>
- Archer, D.G. (1992): Thermodynamic Properties of the $\text{NaCl}+\text{H}_2\text{O}$ System. II. Thermodynamic Properties of $\text{NaCl}(\text{aq})$, $\text{NaCl} \cdot 2\text{H}_2(\text{cr})$, and Phase Equilibria. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data* 21, 793–829. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555915>
- Baldinozzi, G., Raulot, J.M. & Petricek, V. (2003): Reinvestigation of the incommensurate structure of αPbO , in: Materials Research Society Symposium - Proceedings. Springer, pp. 465–470. <https://doi.org/10.1557/proc-755-dd12.8>
- Barbero, J.A., Hepler, L.G., McCurdy, K.G. & Tremaine, P.R. (1983): Thermodynamics of aqueous carbon dioxide and sulfur dioxide: heat capacities, volumes, and the temperature dependence of ionization. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* 61, 2509–2519. <https://doi.org/10.1139/v83-433>
- Barbero, J.A., McCurdy, K.G. & Tremaine, P.R. (1982): Apparent molal heat capacities and volumes of aqueous hydrogen sulfide and sodium hydrogen sulfide near 25 °C: the temperature dependence of H_2S ionization. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* 60, 1872–1880. <https://doi.org/10.1139/v82-260>
- Bianchi, H. & Tremaine, P.R. (1995): Thermodynamics of aqueous phosphate solutions: Apparent molar heat capacities and volumes of the sodium and tetramethylammonium salts at 25°C. *Journal of Solution Chemistry* 24, 439–463. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01004477>
- Blanc, P., Gailhanou, H., Rogez, J., Mikaelian, G., Kawaji, H., Warmont, F., Gaboreau, S., Grangeon, S., Grenèche, J.M., Vieillard, P., Fialips, C.I., Giffaut, E., Gaucher, E.C. & Claret, F. (2014): Thermodynamic properties of chlorite and berthierine derived from calorimetric measurements. *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals* 41, 603–615. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00269-014-0683-Z/FIGURES/6>
- Blanc, P., Lassin, A., Piantone, P., Azaroual, M., Jacquemet, N., Fabbri, A. & Gaucher, E.C. (2012): Thermochem: A geochemical database focused on low temperature water/rock interactions and waste materials. *Applied Geochemistry* 27, 2107–2116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2012.06.002>
- Blanc, P., Vieillard, P., Gailhanou, H., Gaboreau, S., Gaucher, E., Fialips, C.I., Madé, B. & Giffaut, E. (2015a): A generalized model for predicting the thermodynamic properties of clay minerals. *American Journal of Science* 315, 734–780. <https://doi.org/10.2475/08.2015.02>
- Blanc, P., Vieillard, P., Gailhanou, H., Gaboreau, S., Marty, N., Claret, F., Madé, B. & Giffaut, E. (2015b): ThermoChimie database developments in the framework of cement/clay interactions. *Applied Geochemistry* 55, 95–107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2014.12.006>
- Brown, P.L. & Ekberg, C. (2016): Hydrolysis of metal ions at 25°C. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH

& Co., Weinheim, Germany.

Chase, M.W. (1998): NIST-JANAF Thermochemical Tables, 4th Edition.

Christian, J.H., Klug, C.A., Devore, M., Villa-Aleman, E., Foley, B.J., Groden, N., Baldwin, A.T. & Wellons, M.S. (2021): Characterizing the solid hydrolysis product, $\text{UF}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{2.5}$, generated from neat water reactions with UF_4 at room temperature. *Dalton Transactions* 50, 2462–2471. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0DT03944F>

Coombs, D.S., Alberti, A., Armbruster, T., Artioli, G., Grice, J.D., Liebau, F., Nickel, E.H., Peacor, D.R., Rinaldi, R., Ross, M., Sheppard, R.A. & Vezzalini, G. (1997): Recommended nomenclature for zeolite minerals recommended nomenclature for zeolite minerals: report of the subcommittee on zeolites of the international mineralogical association, commission on new minerals and mineral names. *The Canadian Mineralogist* 35, 1571–1606.

Cox, J.D., Wagman, D.D. & Medvedev, V.A. (Vadim A. (1989): *CODATA key values for thermodynamics*. Hemisphere Pub. Corp, New York.

Desnoyers, J.E., de Visser, C., Perron, G. & Picker, P. (1976): Reexamination of the heat capacities obtained by flow microcalorimetry. Recommendation for the use of a chemical standard. *Journal of Solution Chemistry* 1976 5:9 5, 605–616. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00648218>

Dubler, E., Beck, L., Linowsky, L. & Jameson, G.B. (1981): Structure of mercury(II) hydrogenphosphate. *urn:issn:0567-7408* 37, 2214–2217. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S0567740881008418>

Effenberger, H. & Pertlik, F. (1986a): Schultenit, PbHAsO_4 , und PbHPO_4 : Synthesen und Kristallstrukturen nebst einer Diskussion zur Symmetrie. *TMPM Tscherma's Mineralogische und Petrographische Mitteilungen* 35, 157–166. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01082083>

Effenberger, H. & Pertlik, F. (1986b): Four monazite type structures: comparison of SrCrO_4 , SrSeO_4 , PbCrO_4 (crocoite), and PbSeO_4 . *Zeitschrift für Kristallographie - Crystalline Materials* 176, 75–84. <https://doi.org/10.1524/ZKRI.1986.176.12.75>

Fabrichnaya, O.B., Saxena, S.K., Richet, P. & Westrum, E.F. (2004): Thermodynamic Data, Models, and Phase Diagrams in Multicomponent Oxide Systems. Data and Knowledge in a Changing World. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-10504-7>

Fitch, A.N. & Cole, M. (1991): The structure of $\text{KUO}_2\text{PO}_4 \cdot 3\text{D}_2\text{O}$ refined from neutron and synchrotron-radiation powder diffraction data. *Materials Research Bulletin* 26, 407–414. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408\(91\)90055-Q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(91)90055-Q)

Fortier, J.L., Leduc, P.A. & Desnoyers, J.E. (1974a): Thermodynamic properties of alkali halides. II. Enthalpies of dilution and heat capacities in water at 25°C. *Journal of Solution Chemistry* 3, 323–349. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00648229>

Fortier, J.L., Philip, P.R. & Desnoyers, J.E. (1974b): Thermodynamic properties of alkali halides. III. Volumes and heat capacities of transfer from H_2O to D_2O at 25°C. *Journal of Solution Chemistry* 3, 523–538. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00648137>

Gailhanou, H., Blanc, P., Rogez, J., Mikaelian, G., Horiuchi, K., Yamamura, Y., Saito, K., Kawaji, H., Warmont, F., Grenèche, J.M., Vieillard, P., Fialips, C.I., Giffaut, E. & Gaucher, E.C. (2013): Thermodynamic properties of saponite, nontronite, and vermiculite derived from calorimetric measurements. *American Mineralogist* 98, 1834–

1847. <https://doi.org/10.2138/AM.2013.4344>

- Gailhanou, H., Blanc, P., Rogez, J., Mikaelian, G., Kawaji, H., Olives, J., Amouric, M., Denoyel, R., Bourrelly, S., Montouillout, V., Vieillard, P., Fialips, C.I., Michau, N. & Gaucher, E.C. (2012): Thermodynamic properties of illite, smectite and beidellite by calorimetric methods: Enthalpies of formation, heat capacities, entropies and Gibbs free energies of formation. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 89, 279–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GCA.2012.04.048>
- Glasser, L. & Jenkins, H.D.B. (2016): Predictive thermodynamics for ionic solids and liquids. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* 18, 21226–21240. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c6cp00235h>
- Goode, J.M.A. (1953): Redetermination of the lattice parameters of polonium; tech. rep.; Mound Lab., Miamisburgh, Ohio.
- Gravereau, P. & Hardy, A. (1972): La Série M-M'(XO₄)_z.nH₂O: Structure Cristalline de KFe(CrO₄)_z.2H₂O, Nouveau Type dans la Série des Chromates des Fer Dihydratés. *Acta Cryst* 28, 2333.
- Grenthe, I., Fuger, J., Konings, R.J.M., Lemire, R.J., Muller, A.B., Nguyen-Trung, C. & Hans, W. (1992): Chemical thermodynamics 1. Chemical Thermodynamics of Uranium.
- Grigo'ev, M.S., Charushnikova, I.A., Krot, N.N., Yanovskii, A.I. & Struchkov, Y.T. (1997): Crystal Structure of Neptunium(IV) Oxalate Hexahydrate Np(C₂O₄)₂.6H₂O. *Radiochemistry* 39, 420–423.
- Gu, Y., Gammons, C.H. & Bloom, M.S. (1994): A one-term extrapolation method for estimating equilibrium constants of aqueous reactions at elevated temperatures. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 58, 3545–3560. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(94\)90149-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(94)90149-X)
- Guggenheim, E.A. & Turgeon, J.C. (1955): Specific interaction of ions. *Transactions of the Faraday Society* 51, 747–761. <https://doi.org/10.1039/TF9555100747>
- Gunnarsson, I. & Arnórsson, S. (2000): Amorphous silica solubility and the thermodynamic properties of H₄SiO₄ in the range of 0° to 350°C at Psat. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 64, 2295–2307. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037\(99\)00426-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(99)00426-3)
- Gurvich, L.V., Veyts, I.V. & Alcock, C.B.. (1989): Thermodynamic properties of individual substances.
- Hao, Y., Kegler, P., Albrecht-Schmitt, T.E., Wang, S., Dong, Q. & Alekseev, E. V. (2020): Two-Dimensional Uranyl Borates: From Conventional to Extreme Synthetic Conditions. *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry* 2020, 407–416. <https://doi.org/10.1002/EJIC.201901239>
- Haynes, W.M. (Ed.) (2016): *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315380476>
- Herdtwick, E., Kornprobst, T., Sieber, R., Straver, L. & Plank, J. (2011): Crystal Structure, Synthesis, and Properties of tri-Calcium di-Citrate tetra-Hydrate [Ca₃(C₆H₅O₇)₂(H₂O)₂].2H₂O. *Zeitschrift für anorganische und allgemeine Chemie* 637, 655–659. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ZAAC.201100088>
- Hill, R.J. (1985): Refinement of the structure of orthorhombic PbO (massicot) by Rietveld analysis of neutron powder diffraction data. *urn:issn:0108-2701* 41, 1281–1284.

<https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108270185007454>

- Hnedkovsky, L. & Hefter, G. (2021): Densities and Apparent Molar Volumes of Aqueous Solutions of NaClO₄, KClO₄, and KCl at Temperatures from 293 to 343 K. *Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data* 66, 3645–3658. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jced.1c00495>
- Hovey, J.K., Hepler, L.G. & Tremaine, P.R. (1988): Thermodynamics of aqueous EDTA systems: Apparent and partial molar heat capacities and volumes of aqueous EDTA 4–, HEDTA 3–, H₂ EDTA 2–, NaEDTA 3–, and KEDTA 3– at 25 °C. Relaxation effects in mixed aqueous electrolyte solutions and calculations of t. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* 66, 881–896. <https://doi.org/10.1139/v88-151>
- Hovey, J.K. & Tremaine, P.R. (1986): Thermodynamics of aqueous aluminum: Standard partial molar heat capacities of Al³⁺ from 10 to 55°C. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 50, 453–459. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(86\)90198-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(86)90198-5)
- Hummel, W., Berner, U., Curti, E., Pearson, F.J. & Thoenen, T. (2002): Nagra/PSI Chemical Thermodynamic Data Base 01/01. *Radiochimica Acta* 90, 805–813. https://doi.org/10.1524/ract.2002.90.9-11_2002.805
- Hummel, W. & Thoenen, T. (2023): The PSI Chemical Thermodynamic Database 2020. Nagra Technical Report, NTB 21-03.
- Huttner, K. & Knappe, S. (1930): Zur Raum-Chemie der Cyan-Abkömmlinge der flüchtigen Hydride. *Zeitschrift für anorganische und allgemeine Chemie* 190, 27–37. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ZAAC.19301900103>
- Ijdo, D.J.W., Akerboom, S. & Bontenbal, A. (2015): Crystal structure of α- and β-Na₂U₂O₇: From Rietveld refinement using powder neutron diffraction data. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry* 221, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JSSC.2014.09.011>
- Jain, A., Ong, S.P., Hautier, G., Chen, W., Richards, W.D., Dacek, S., Cholia, S., Gunter, D., Skinner, D., Ceder, G. & Persson, K.A. (2013): Commentary: The materials project: A materials genome approach to accelerating materials innovation. *APL Materials* 1, 11002. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4812323/119685>
- Jenkins, I.L., Moore, F.H. & Waterman, M.J. (1965): X-ray powder crystallographic data on plutonium and other oxalates—II: Plutonium(IV) oxalate dihydrate, uranium(IV) oxalate hexahydrate, uranium(IV) oxalate dihydrate and thorium oxalate dihydrate. *Journal of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry* 27, 81–87. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1902\(65\)80194-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1902(65)80194-4)
- Kampf, A.R., Plášil, J., Nash, B.P., Němec, I. & Marty, J. (2020): Uroxite and metauroxite, the first two uranyl oxalate minerals. *Mineralogical Magazine* 84, 131–141. <https://doi.org/10.1180/MGM.2019.57>
- Keppler, U. (1970): Die Struktur der Tieftemperaturform des Bleiphosphates, Pb₃(P₄)₂. *Zeitschrift für Kristallographie - Crystalline Materials* 132, 228–235. <https://doi.org/10.1524/ZKRI.1970.132.16.228>
- Kulik, D.A., Wagner, T., Dmytrieva, S. V., Kosakowski, G., Hingerl, F.F., Chudnenko, K. V. & Berner, U.R. (2013): GEM-Selektor geochemical modeling package: Revised algorithm and GEMS3K numerical kernel for coupled simulation codes. *Computational Geosciences* 17, 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10596-012-9310-6>
- Kusachi, I., Henmi, C. & Kobayashi, S. (1995): Takedaite, a new mineral from Fuka,

- Okayama Prefecture, Japan. *Mineralogical Magazine* 59, 549–552. <https://doi.org/10.1180/minmag.1995.059.396.15>
- Larson, J.W., Zeeb, K.G. & Hepler, L.G. (1982): Heat capacities and volumes of dissociation of phosphoric acid (1st, 2nd, and 3rd), bicarbonate ion, and bisulfate ion in aqueous solution. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* 60, 2141–2150. <https://doi.org/10.1139/v82-306>
- Lemire, R., Taylor, P., Schlenz, H. & Palmer, D. (2020): Chemical Thermodynamics of Iron, Part 2.
- Locock, A.J. & Burns, P.C. (2003): Crystal structures and synthesis of the copper-dominant members of the autunite and meta-autunite groups: torbernite, zeunerite, metatorbernite and metazeunerite. *The Canadian Mineralogist* 41, 489–502.
- Locock, A.J. & Burns, P.C. (2002): The Crystal Structure of Triuranyl Diphosphate Tetrahydrate. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry* 163, 275–280. <https://doi.org/10.1006/JSSC.2001.9407>
- Locock, A.J., Burns, P.C., Duke, M.J.M. & Flynn, T.M. (2004): Monovalent cations in structures of the meta-autunite group. *Canadian Mineralogist* 42, 973–996. <https://doi.org/10.2113/gscanmin.42.4.973>
- Locock, A.J., Burns, P.C. & Flynn, T.M. (2005): Structures of strontium- and barium-dominant compounds that contain the autunite-type sheet. *The Canadian Mineralogist* 43, 721–733. <https://doi.org/10.2113/GSCANMIN.43.2.721>
- Lothenbach, B., Kulik, D.A., Matschei, T., Balonis, M., Baquerizo, L., Dilnesa, B., Miron, G.D. & Myers, R.J. (2018): Cemdata18: A chemical thermodynamic database for hydrated Portland cements and alkali-activated materials. *Cement and Concrete Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2018.04.018>
- Ma, B. & Lothenbach, B. (2020a): Synthesis, characterization, and thermodynamic study of selected Na-based zeolites. *Cement and Concrete Research* 135, 106111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2020.106111>
- Ma, B. & Lothenbach, B. (2020b): Thermodynamic study of cement/rock interactions using experimentally generated solubility data of zeolites. *Cement and Concrete Research* 135, 106149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2020.106149>
- Marcus, Y. (1994): A simple empirical model describing the thermodynamics of hydration of ions of widely varying charges, sizes, and shapes. *Biophysical Chemistry* 51, 111–127. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-4622\(94\)00051-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-4622(94)00051-4)
- Marcus, Y. (1993): Thermodynamics of Solvation of Ions Part 6.-The Standard Partial Molar Volumes of Aqueous Ions at 298.15 K. *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday trans* 89, 713–718.
- Mercury, L., Vieillard, P. & Tardy, Y. (2001): Thermodynamics of ice polymorphs and ‘ice-like’ water in hydrates and hydroxides. *Applied Geochemistry* 16, 161–181. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927\(00\)00025-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(00)00025-1)
- Mesbah, A., Clavier, N., Elkaim, E., Szenknect, S. & Dacheux, N. (2017): In pursuit of the rhabdophane crystal structure: from the hydrated monoclinic $\text{LnPO}_4 \cdot 0.667\text{H}_2\text{O}$ to the hexagonal LnPO_4 (Ln = Nd, Sm, Gd, Eu and Dy). *Journal of Solid State Chemistry* 249, 221–227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JSSC.2017.03.004>
- Michiba, K., Tahara, T., Nakai, I., Miyawaki, R. & Matsubara, S. (2011): Crystal structure of

- hexagonal RE(CO₃)OH. *Zeitschrift für Kristallographie* 226, 518–530.
<https://doi.org/10.1524/ZKRI.2011.1222/MACHINEREADABLECITATION/RIS>
- Miller, S.A. & Taylor, J.C. (1986): The crystal structure of saleeite, Mg[UO₂PO₄]₂ · 10H₂O. *Zeitschrift für Kristallographie - Crystalline Materials* 177, 247–254.
<https://doi.org/10.1524/ZKRI.1986.177.14.247>
- Millero, F.J. (2014): Estimation of the partial molar volumes of ions in mixed electrolyte solutions using the Pitzer equations. *Journal of Solution Chemistry* 43, 1448–1465.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10953-014-0213-0>
- Millero, F.J. (1971): The molal volumes of electrolytes. *Chemical Reviews* 71, 147–176.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/CR60270A001>
- Millero, F.J., Hoff, E. V. & Kahn, L. (1972): The effect of pressure on the ionization of water at various temperatures from molal-volume data1. *Journal of Solution Chemistry* 1, 309–327. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00715990>
- Milligan, W.O., Beasley, M.L., Lloyd, M.H. & Haire, R.G. (1968): Crystalline americium trihydroxide. *urn:issn:0567-7408* 24, 979–980.
<https://doi.org/10.1107/S0567740868003535>
- Miron, G.D., Kulik, D.A. & Thoenen, T. (2020): Generating isocoulombic reactions as a tool for systematic evaluation of temperature trends of thermodynamic properties: Application to aquocomplexes of lanthanides and actinides. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 286, 119–142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2020.07.020>
- Moore, A.E. & Taylor, H.F.W. (1970): Crystal structure of ettringite. *urn:issn:0567-7408* 26, 386–393. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S0567740870002443>
- Naumov, G.B., Ryzhenko, B.N. & Khodakovskiy, I.L. (1974): *Handbook of Thermodynamic Data. U.S. Geol. Surv.WRD 74 001*. U.S. Geol. Surv.WRD 74 001.
- Nriagu, J.O. (1984): Formation and Stability of Base Metal Phosphates in Soils and Sediments. *Phosphate Minerals* 318–329. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-61736-2_10
- Olin, A., Nolang, B., Osadchii, E.G., Ohman, L.-O. & Rosen, E. (2005): Chemical Thermodynamics of Selenium. *October* 894.
- Olofsson, I. V., Spitzer, J.J. & Hepler, L.G. (1978): Apparent molar heat capacities and volumes of aqueous electrolytes at 25 °C: Na₂SO₄, K₂SO₄, Na₂S₂O₃, Na₂S₂O₈, K₂S₂O₈, K₂CrO₄, Na₂MoO₄, and Na₂WO₄. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* 56, 1871–1873. <https://doi.org/10.1139/v78-304>
- Pankratz, L.B. (1994): Thermodynamic Properties of Carbides, Nitrides, and Other Selected Substances.
- Pankratz, L.B. (1984): Thermodynamic properties of halides. *Bulletin - United States, Bureau of Mines*.
- Pankratz, L.B., Stuve, J.M. & Gokcen, N.A. (1984): Thermodynamic Data for Mineral Technology.
- Peng, Y., Tang, S., Huang, J., Tang, C., Wang, L., & Liu, Y. (2022): Fractal Analysis on Pore Structure and Modeling of Hydration of Magnesium Phosphate Cement Paste. *Fractal and Fractional* 6, 337. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract6060337>

- Perron, G., Desnoyers, J.E. & Millero, F.J. (1975): Apparent Molal Volumes and Heat Capacities of some Sulfates and Carbonates in Water at 25 °C. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* 53, 1134–1138. <https://doi.org/10.1139/v75-157>
- Perron, G., Roux, A. & Desnoyers, J.E. (1981): Heat capacities and volumes of NaCl, MgCl₂, CaCl₂, and NiCl₂ up to 6 molal in water. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* 59, 3049–3054. <https://doi.org/10.1139/v81-446>
- Plášil, J. (1999): minerals Mineralogy, Crystallography and Structural Complexity of Natural Uranyl Silicates. *Na Slovance*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/min8120551>
- Plášil, J., Fejfarová, K., Čejka, J., Dušek, M. & Sejkora, J. (2013): Revision of the crystal structure and chemical formula of haiweeite, Ca(UO₂)₂(Si₅O₁₂)(OH)₂·6H₂O. *American Mineralogist* 98, 718–723. <https://doi.org/10.2138/am.2013.4284>
- Plášil, J., Sejkora, J., Čejka, J., Škácha, P., Goliáš, V. & Ederová, J. (2010): Characterization of phosphate-rich metalodèvite from PŘíbram, czech republic. *Canadian Mineralogist* 48, 113–122. <https://doi.org/10.3749/canmin.48.1.113>
- Plyasunov, A. V. & Bugaev, I.A. (2024): An Assessment of Reproduction of ΔrHo, ΔrCΔpo, ΔrVo for Water Ionization with Existing Formulations for the Ionization Constant of Water. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data* 53, 13101. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0180321/2933163>
- Pogue, R. & Atkinson, G. (1988): Solution Thermodynamics of First-Row Transition Elements. 1. Apparent Molal Volumes of Aqueous NiCl₂, Ni(ClO₄)₂, CuCl₂, and Cu(ClO₄)₂ from 15 to 55 °C. *Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data* 33, 370–376. <https://doi.org/10.1021/je00053a040>
- Popa, K., Raison, P.E., Martel, L., Martin, P.M., Prieur, D., Solari, P.L., Bouëxière, D., Konings, R.J.M. & Somers, J. (2015): Structural investigations of PuIII phosphate by X-ray diffraction, MAS-NMR and XANES spectroscopy. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry* 230, 169–174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JSSC.2015.07.002>
- Rand, M.H., Palmer, D.A., Fuger, J. & Gajda, T. (2024): *Selected ancillary compounds of interest to radioactive waste management. Chemical Thermodynamics volume 15. NEA TDB.*
- Roberts, W.L., Campbell, T.J., Rapp, G.R. & Wilson, W.E. (1990): Encyclopedia of minerals. Second edition. *Encyclopedia of minerals. Second edition.*
- Robie, R. & Hemingway, B.S. (1995): Thermodynamic Properties of Minerals and Related Substances at 298.15K and 1 Bar, U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin.
- Robie, R.A. & Bethke, P.M. (1962): Molar volumes and densities of minerals. *Trace Elements Investigations*. <https://doi.org/10.3133/70159012>
- Ross, V. (1956): Studies of uranium minerals (XXII): Synthetic calcium and lead uranyl phosphate minerals. *American Mineralogist* 41, 915–926.
- Roux, A., Musbally, G.M., Perron, G., Desnoyers, J.E., Singh, P.P., Woolley, E.M. & Hepler, L.G. (1978): Apparent molal heat capacities and volumes of aqueous electrolytes at 25 °C: NaClO₃, NaClO₄, NaNO₃, NaBrO₃, NaIO₃, KClO₃, KBrO₃, KIO₃, NH₄NO₃, NH₄Cl, and NH₄ClO₄. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* 56, 24–28. <https://doi.org/10.1139/v78-004>
- Sassani, D.C. & Shock, E.L. (1998): Solubility and transport of platinum-group elements in

supercritical fluids: summary and estimates of thermodynamic properties for ruthenium, rhodium, palladium, and platinum solids, aqueous ions, and complexes to 1000°C and 5 kbar. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 62, 2643–2671. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037\(98\)00049-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(98)00049-0)

Shankar, J. & Khubchandani, P.G. (1957): Thorium Orthophosphate, Th₃(PO₄)₄. *Analytical Chemistry* 29, 1375. <https://doi.org/10.1021/AC60129A600>

Shock, E.L. & Helgeson, H.C. (1988): Calculation of the thermodynamic and transport properties of aqueous species at high pressures and temperatures: Correlation algorithms for ionic species and equation of state predictions to 5 kb and 1000°C. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 52, 2009–2036. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(88\)90181-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(88)90181-0)

Shock, E.L., Sassani, D.C., Willis, M. & Sverjensky, D.A. (1997): Inorganic species in geologic fluids: Correlations among standard molal thermodynamic properties of aqueous ions and hydroxide complexes. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 61, 907–950. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037\(96\)00339-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(96)00339-0)

Shvarov, Y. (2015): A suite of programs, OptimA, OptimB, OptimC, and OptimS compatible with the Unitherm database, for deriving the thermodynamic properties of aqueous species from solubility, potentiometry and spectroscopy measurements. *Applied Geochemistry* 55, 17–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APGEOCHEM.2014.11.021>

Simonson, J.M., Mesmer, R.E. & Rogers, P.S.Z. (1989): The enthalpy of dilution and apparent molar heat capacity of NaOH(aq) to 523 K and 40 MPa. *The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics* 21, 561–584. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9614\(89\)90172-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9614(89)90172-9)

Spitzer, J.J., Singh, P.P., McCurdy, K.G. & Hepler, L.G. (1978): Apparent molar heat capacities and volumes of aqueous electrolytes: CaCl₂, Cd(NO₃)₂, CoCl₂, Cu(ClO₄)₂, Mg(ClO₄)₂, and NiCl₂. *Journal of Solution Chemistry* 7, 81–86. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00648751>

Surdo, A. Lo, Bernstrom, K., Jonsson, C.A. & Millero, F.J. (1979): Molal volume and adiabatic compressibility of aqueous phosphate solutions at 25 °C. *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 83, 1255–1262. <https://doi.org/10.1021/j100473a005>

Swaddle, T.W. & Mak, M.K.S. (1983): The partial molar volumes of aqueous metal cations: their prediction and relation to volumes of activation for water exchange. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* 61, 473–480. <https://doi.org/10.1139/v83-084>

Tanger, J.C. & Helgeson, H.C. (1988): Calculation of the thermodynamic and transport properties of aqueous species at high pressures and temperatures; revised equations of state for the standard partial molal properties of ions and electrolytes. *American Journal of Science* 288, 19–98. <https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.288.1.19>

Tremaine, P.R., Sway, K. & Barbero, J.A. (1986): The apparent molar heat capacity of aqueous hydrochloric acid from 10 to 140°C. *Journal of Solution Chemistry* 15, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00646308>

Valkonen, J., Losoi, T. & Pajunen, A. (1985): Structure of Calcium Selenite(IV) Monohydrate, CaSeO₃.H₂O. *Acta Cryst* 41, 652–654.

Walker, C.S., Arthur, R.C., Anraku, S., Sasamoto, H. & Mihara, M. (2024): Thermodynamic properties and revised Helgeson-Kirkham-Flowers Equations of State parameters of

the hydrated and dehydrated monomeric silica species at $t = 0.01\text{--}600^\circ\text{C}$, $P = 1\text{--}3000$ bars, $\rho_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 0.35\text{--}1.1 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$, and $l_m = 0 \text{ m}$. *Applied Geochemistry* 106086. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APGEOCHEM.2024.106086>

- Wallner, H., Preis, W. & Gamsjäger, H. (2002): Solid–solute phase equilibria in aqueous solutions: XV [1]. Thermodynamic analysis of the solubility of nickel carbonates. *Thermochimica Acta* 382, 289–296. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-6031\(01\)00754-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-6031(01)00754-7)
- Weil, M. (2003): Investigations in the Systems Ag-Hg-X-O (X = AsV, Se IV, SeVI): Hydrothermal Single Crystal Growth of Ag₃AsO₄, AgHgI₂AsO₄, AgHgIIAsO₄, Ag₂SeO₄ and the Crystal Structure of Ag₂HgII(SeO₃)₂. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung - Section B Journal of Chemical Sciences* 58, 1091–1096. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ZNB-2003-1110/HTML>
- Wieggers, G.A. (1971): The Crystal Structure of the Low-Temperature form of Silver Selenide. *American Mineralogist* 56, 1882–1888.
- Wolery, T.J. (1992): EQ3/6, a software package for geochemical modeling of aqueous systems: Package overview and installation guide (Version 7.0).
- Wyckoff, R.W.G. (1963): *Crystal Structures, Second edition, tome 4*, Interscience Publishers, New York. Interscience Publishers, New York.
- Xu, B., Winnefeld, F., Ma, B., Rentsch, D. & Lothenbach, B. (2022): Influence of aluminum sulfate on properties and hydration of magnesium potassium phosphate cements. *Cement and Concrete Research* 156, 106788. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2022.106788>

6 Selected data

Table 6-1 Molar volumes of minerals (298 K, 0.1 MPa) based on measured densities or crystallographic data.

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
Ag(cr)	Ag	10.27	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Ag ₂ CO ₃ (cr)	Ag ₂ CO ₃	45.38	(Haynes, 2016)
Ag ₂ O(am)	Ag ₂ O	34.18	materialsproject.org
Ag ₂ O(cr)	Ag ₂ O	34.18	materialsproject.org
Ag ₂ S(cr)	Ag ₂ S	34.19	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Ag ₂ Se(alpha)	Ag ₂ Se	36.34	(Wiegers, 1971)
Ag ₂ SeO ₃ (cr)	Ag ₂ SeO ₃	57.12	materialsproject.org
Ag ₂ SeO ₄ (cr)	Ag ₂ SeO ₄	62.17	(Weil, 2003).org
Ag ₂ SO ₄ (s)	Ag ₂ SO ₄	57.42	materialsproject.org
Ag ₃ PO ₄ (s)	Ag ₃ PO ₄	65.50	materialsproject.org
AgBr(cr)	AgBr	28.99	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
AgCl(cr)	AgCl	25.73	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
AgCN(s)	AgCN	43.19	materialsproject.org
AgI(cr)	AgI	41.30	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
AgSeCN(cr)	AgSeCN	56.82	(Huttner and Knappe, 1930)
Gibbsite	Al(OH) ₃	31.96	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Kaolinite	Al ₂ Si ₂ O ₅ (OH) ₄	99.34	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Boehmite	AlOOH	19.54	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
Am(OH)3(cr)	Am(OH)3	46.56	(Milligan et al., 1968)
As(cr)	As	12.96	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
B(cr)	B	4.39	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
B(OH)3(cr)	B(OH)3	43.09	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
B2O3(am)	B2O3	27.26	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
B2O3(cr)	B2O3	27.26	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Ba[(UO2)(AsO4)]2·7H2O(cr)	Ba[(UO2)(AsO4)]2(H2O)7	270.33	(Locock et al., 2005)
Meta-uranocircite_II	Ba[(UO2)(PO4)]2(H2O)6	251.66	(Locock et al., 2005)
Ba3(PO4)2(s)	Ba3(PO4)2	116.43	materialsproject.org
Witherite	BaCO3	45.81	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
BaHPO4(cr)	BaHPO4	58.47	materialsproject.org
BaSeO3(cr)	BaSeO3	53.18	materialsproject.org
BaSeO4(cr)	BaSeO4	57.67	materialsproject.org
Barite	BaSO4	52.10	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Graphite	C	5.30	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Portlandite	Ca(OH)2	33.06	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Whewellite	Ca(Oxa)(H2O)	65.82	mindat.org
Weddelite	Ca(Oxa)(H2O)2	83.65	mindat.org
Ca(Oxa):3H2O(cr)	Ca(Oxa)(H2O)3	97.93	materials.springer.org
Ca[(UO2)(AsO4)]2·10H2O(cr)	Ca[(UO2)(AsO4)]2(H2O)10	262.82	Metauranospinite, mindat.org
Meta-autunite	Ca[(UO2)(PO4)]2(H2O)6	247.37	mindat.org

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
Uranophane	Ca[(UO ₂)(SiO ₃ OH)] ₂ (H ₂ O) ₅	278.05	(Plášil et al., 2013)
Beidellite(Ca)	Ca _{0.17} Al _{2.34} Si _{3.66} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	134.10	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Nontronite(Ca)	Ca _{0.17} Fe _{1.67} Al _{0.67} Si _{3.66} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	133.74	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Montmorillonite(MgCa)	Ca _{0.17} Mg _{0.34} Al _{1.66} Si ₄ O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	135.58	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Saponite(FeCa)	Ca _{0.17} Mg ₂ FeAl _{0.34} Si _{3.66} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	145.15	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Saponite(Ca)	Ca _{0.17} Mg ₃ Al _{0.34} Si _{3.66} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	142.57	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Beidellite_SBld-1	Ca _{0.185} K _{0.104} (Si _{3.574} Al _{0.426}) (Al _{1.812} Mg _{0.09} Fe _{0.112})O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	137.98	(Gailhanou et al., 2012)
Nontronite_Nau-1	Ca _{0.247} K _{0.02} (Si _{3.458} Al _{0.542}) (Fe _{1.688} Al _{0.276} Mg _{0.068})O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	136.38	(Gailhanou et al., 2013)
Mordenite-Ca	Ca _{0.34} Al _{0.68} Si _{5.32} O ₁₂ (H ₂ O) _{2.9}	209.80	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Montmorillonite(HcCa)	Ca _{0.3} Mg _{0.6} Al _{1.4} Si ₄ O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	140.32	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Vermiculite(Ca)	Ca _{0.43} Mg ₃ Al _{0.86} Si _{3.14} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	149.800	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Vermiculite_SO	Ca _{0.445} (Si _{2.778} Al _{1.222}) (Al _{0.192} Mg _{2.468} Fe _{0.254} Ti _{0.018} Mn _{0.007})O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	148.36	(Gailhanou et al., 2013)
Clinoptilolite	Ca _{0.52} Al _{1.04} Si _{4.96} O ₁₂ (H ₂ O) _{3.1}	200.02	webmineral.com
Heulandite_1	Ca _{1.07} Al _{2.14} Si _{6.86} O ₁₈ (H ₂ O) _{4.4}	317.60	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Stilbite	Ca _{1.11} Al _{2.22} Si _{6.78} O ₁₈ (H ₂ O) _{6.8}	333.40	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Ca ₃ (Cit) ₂ :4H ₂ O(cr)	Ca ₃ (Cit) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₄	285.25	(Herdtschek et al., 2011)
Tuite	Ca ₃ (PO ₄) ₂	97.62	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Ca ₃ B ₂ O ₆ (s)	Ca ₃ B ₂ O ₆	76.24	Takedaite, (Kusachi et al., 1995)
Cl-apatite	Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ Cl	163.88	(Naumov et al., 1974)

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
F-apatite	Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ F	157.56	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
OH-apatite	Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ OH	159.60	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Low-silica_P-Ca	CaAl ₂ Si ₂ O ₈ (H ₂ O) _{4.5}	124.75	materialsproject.org
Scolecite	CaAl ₂ Si ₃ O ₁₀ (H ₂ O) ₃	172.30	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Chabazite-Ca	CaAl ₂ Si ₄ O ₁₂ (H ₂ O) ₆	251.16	(Coombs et al., 1997)
CaB ₂ O ₄ (s)	CaB ₂ O ₄	43.65	Calciborite, rruff.geo.arizona.edu
CaB ₄ O ₇ (s)	CaB ₄ O ₇	71.55	materialsproject.org
Aragonite	CaCO ₃	34.15	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Calcite	CaCO ₃	36.93	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Vaterite	CaCO ₃	37.63	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
CaCrO ₄ (s)	CaCrO ₄	49.70	(Roberts et al., 1990)
Fluorite	CaF ₂	24.54	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
CaHK ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ (cr)	CaHK ₃ (PO ₄) ₂	130.95	materialsproject.org
Monetite	CaHPO ₄	46.45	(Nriagu, 1984)
Brushite	CaHPO ₄ (H ₂ O) ₂	73.92	(Nriagu, 1984)
CaMg(CO ₃) ₂ (cr)	CaMg(CO ₃) ₂	64.34	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Powellite	CaMoO ₄	47.00	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
CaSeO ₃ :H ₂ O(cr)	CaSeO ₃ (H ₂ O)	58.16	Burtite, (Valkonen et al., 1985)
CaSn(OH) ₆ (pr)	CaSn(OH) ₆	81.00	mindat.org
Anhydrite	CaSO ₄	46.01	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Gypsum	CaSO ₄ (H ₂ O) ₂	74.69	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
Becquerelite	CaU ₆ O ₁₉ (H ₂ O) ₁₁	386.35	mindat.org
Cd(OH) ₂ (s)	Cd(OH) ₂	29.67	(Mercury et al., 2001)
CdB ₂ O ₄ (s)	CdB ₂ O ₄	38.16	materialsproject.org
Otavite	CdCO ₃	34.30	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
CdS(s)	CdS	29.93	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Cr(cr)	Cr	7.23	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Cr ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ (s)	Cr ₂ (SO ₄) ₃	130.21	(Pankratz, 1994)
Cr ₂ O ₃ (cr)	Cr ₂ O ₃	29.09	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Cr ₂ S ₃ (s)	Cr ₂ S ₃	53.24	materialsproject.org
CrCl ₂ (cr)	CrCl ₂	42.70	(Pankratz, 1984)
CrCl ₃ (cr)	CrCl ₃	57.37	(Pankratz, 1984)
CrO ₂ (cr)	CrO ₂	16.95	(Wolery, 1992)
CrO ₃ (cr)	CrO ₃	35.14	(Wolery, 1992)
CrPO ₄ (green)	CrPO ₄	42.60	materialsproject.org
CrS(s)	CrS	52.04	materialsproject.org
Cu(cr)	Cu	7.11	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Cu(OH) ₂ (s)	Cu(OH) ₂	28.97	(Pankratz et al., 1984)
Metazeunerite	Cu[(UO ₂)(AsO ₄)] ₂ (H ₂ O) ₈	265.00	(Locock and Burns, 2003)
Cu[(UO ₂)(PO ₄)] ₂ ·8H ₂ O(cr)	Cu[(UO ₂)(PO ₄)] ₂ (H ₂ O) ₈	254.11	(Locock and Burns, 2003)
malachite	Cu ₂ CO ₃ (OH) ₂	54.86	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
cuprite	Cu ₂ O	23.44	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
chalcotite	Cu ₂ S	27.48	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
azurite	Cu ₃ (CO ₃) ₂ (OH) ₂	91.01	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
CuCl(s)	CuCl	23.92	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
tenorite	CuO	12.22	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
covellite	CuS	20.42	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
EuF ₃ (cr)	EuF ₃	30.50	materialsproject.org
EuOHCO ₃ (cr)	EuOHCO ₃	88.96	(Michiba et al., 2011)
Fe(alpha)	Fe	7.04	(Fabrichnaya et al., 2004)
""white rust""	Fe(OH) ₂	24.48	(Mercury et al., 2001)
2-line ferrihydrite	Fe(OH) ₃	34.36	(Wolery, 1992)
Metakahlerite	Fe[(UO ₂)(AsO ₄)] ₂ (H ₂ O) ₈	265.76	Metakahlerite, ruff.info
4C pyrrhotite	Fe _{0.875} S	17.49	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Fe _{0.875} Se(cr)	Fe _{0.875} Se	25.88	Tetragonal, materialsproject.org
5C pyrrhotite	Fe _{0.9} S	16.88	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Fe _{1.042} Se(cr)	Fe _{1.042} Se	27.76	Fe _{1.042} Se(cr)
Berthierine(FeIII)	Fe _{2.67} Al _{0.33} (Si _{1.34} Al _{0.66})O ₅ (OH) ₄	103.27	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Berthierine(FeII)	Fe ₂ Al(SiAl)O ₅ (OH) ₄	103.86	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Fe-hibbingite	Fe ₂ Cl(OH) ₃	65.19	mindat.org
hematite	Fe ₂ O ₃	30.27	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
maghemite	Fe ₂ O ₃	29.087	(Wyckoff, 1963)
vivianite	Fe ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₈	185.10	(Wyckoff, 1963)

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
Cronstedtite	Fe ₃ (SiFe)O ₅ (OH) ₄	370.63	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
magnetite	Fe ₃ O ₄	44.52	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
greigite	Fe ₃ S ₄	72.52	mindat.org
Fe ₃ Se ₄ (gamma)	Fe ₃ Se ₄	69.05	Monoclinic, materialsproject.org
siderite	FeCO ₃	29.38	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Chromite	FeCr ₂ O ₄	44.01	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
goethite	FeOOH	20.82	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
lepidocrocite	FeOOH	22.213	(Wyckoff, 1963)
rodolicoite	FePO ₄	64.500	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
FePO ₄ ·2H ₂ O(s)	FePO ₄ (H ₂ O)	64.50	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
mackinawite	FeS	21.082	(Wyckoff, 1963)
troilite	FeS	18.20	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
marcasite	FeS ₂	24.58	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
pyrite	FeS ₂	23.94	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
ferroselite	FeSe ₂	29.94	mindat.org
Sabugalite	HAl(UO ₂) ₄ (PO ₄) ₄ (H ₂ O) ₁₆	680.55	(Locock et al., 2005)
Hg ₂ Cl ₂ (cr)	Hg ₂ Cl ₂	32.94	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
HgHPO ₄ (s)	HgHPO ₄	48.94	(Dubler et al., 1981)
HgO(cr)	HgO	19.32	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
HgS(s)	HgS	28.42	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Ho(OH) ₃ (cr)	Ho(OH) ₃	34.19	Am(OH) ₃ (cr)

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
HoF3(cr)	HoF3	28.13	materialsproject.org
HoOHCO3(cr)	HoOHCO3	40.19	(Michiba et al., 2011)
HoPO4(s)	HoPO4	42.33	materialsproject.org
K(UO2)(AsO4)·3H2O(cr)	K(UO2)(AsO4)(H2O)3	138.16	Abernathyite, (Locock et al., 2004)
K(UO2)(PO4)·3H2O(cr)	K(UO2)(PO4)(H2O)3	129.42	Meta-ankoleite, (Fitch and Cole, 1991)
Boltwoodite	K(UO2)(SiO3OH)(H2O)	101.51	(Plášil, 1999)
Beidellite(K)	K0.34Al2.34Si3.66O10(OH)2	133.22	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Nontronite(K)	K0.34Fe1.67Al0.67Si3.66O10(OH)	132.85	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Montmorillonite(MgK)	K0.34Mg0.34Al1.66Si4O10(OH)2	134.69	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Saponite(FeK)	K0.34Mg2FeAl0.34Si3.66O10(OH)	144.27	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Saponite(K)	K0.34Mg3Al0.34Si3.66O10(OH)2	144.27	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Montmorillonite(HcK)	K0.6Mg0.6Al1.4Si4O10(OH)2	138.75	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Glauconite	K0.75(Mg0.25Fe1.5Al0.25) (Al0.25Si3.75)O10(OH)	139.76	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Illite_IMt-2	K0.762Na0.044(Si3.387Al0.613) (Al1.427Fe0.376Mg0.241)O10(OH)2	139.18	(Gailhanou et al., 2012)
Illite(Al)	K0.85Al2.85Si3.15O10(OH)2	138.98	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Illite(FeII)	K0.85Fe0.25Al2.35Si3.4O10(OH)2	140.67	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Illite(FeIII)	K0.85Fe0.25Al2.6Si3.15O10(OH)2	138.92	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Illite(Mg)	K0.85Mg0.25Al2.35Si3.4O10(OH)2	140.06	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Vermiculite(K)	K0.86Mg3Al0.86Si3.14O10(OH)2	147.560	(Blanc et al., 2015a)

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
KFe(CrO ₄) ₂ ·2H ₂ O(s)	KFe(CrO ₄) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₂	125.26	(Gravereau and Hardy, 1972)
Li(UO ₂)(BO ₃)·1.5H ₂ O(cr)	Li(UO ₂)(BO ₃)(H ₂ O) _{1.5}	92.55	(Hao et al., 2020)
Li(UO ₂)(PO ₄)·4H ₂ O(cr)	Li(UO ₂)(PO ₄)(H ₂ O) ₄	133.13	(Locock et al., 2004)
Brucite	Mg(OH) ₂	24.63	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Glushinskite	Mg(Oxa)(H ₂ O) ₂	80.19	mindat.org
Mg[(UO ₂)(AsO ₄)] ₂ ·10H ₂ O(cr)	Mg[(UO ₂)(AsO ₄)] ₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₀	276.31	Nováčekite, mindat.org
Meta-saleite	Mg[(UO ₂)(PO ₄)] ₂ (H ₂ O) ₈	279.88	Saleeite, (Miller and Taylor, 1986)
Beidellite(Mg)	Mg _{0.17} Al _{2.34} Si _{3.66} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	130.11	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Nontronite(Mg)	Mg _{0.17} Fe _{1.67} Al _{0.67} Si _{3.66} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	129.74	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Montmorillonite(MgMg)	Mg _{0.17} Mg _{0.34} Al _{1.66} Si ₄ O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	131.58	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Saponite(Mg)	Mg _{0.17} Mg ₃ Al _{0.34} Si _{3.66} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	138.58	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Montmorillonite(HcMg)	Mg _{0.3} Mg _{0.6} Al _{1.4} Si ₄ O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	133.27	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Vermiculite(Mg)	Mg _{0.43} Mg ₃ Al _{0.86} Si _{3.14} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	139.690	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Saponite(FeMg)	Mg _{2.17} FeAl _{0.34} Si _{3.66} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	141.16	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Mg ₂ KH(PO ₄) ₂ ·15H ₂ O(cr)	Mg ₂ KH(PO ₄) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₅	303.20	(Peng et al., 2022)
Farringtonite	Mg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂	95.25	(Nriagu, 1984)
Cattite	Mg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₂₂	386.18	Bobierite + 14*(V _{gypsum} -V _{anhydrite})/2
Mg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ ·4H ₂ O(cr)	Mg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₄	128.06	Bobierite – 3*(V _{gypsum} -V _{anhydrite})/2
Bobierite	Mg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₈	185.42	(Nriagu, 1984)
Magnesite	MgCO ₃	28.02	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
MgCr ₂ O ₄ (s)	MgCr ₂ O ₄	43.56	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
Newberyite	MgHPO ₄ (H ₂ O) ₃	83.02	(Nriagu, 1984)
Phosphorröslerite	MgHPO ₄ (H ₂ O) ₇	160.50	(Peng et al., 2022)
MgKPO ₄ :H ₂ O(cr)	MgKPO ₄ (H ₂ O)	70.50	(Peng et al., 2022)
K-struvite	MgKPO ₄ (H ₂ O) ₆	142.50	(Peng et al., 2022)
MgSeO ₃ :6H ₂ O(cr)	MgSeO ₃ (H ₂ O) ₆	124.43	(Andersen et al., 1984)
Pyrochroite	Mn(OH) ₂	24.63	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Mn ₂ O ₃ (cr)	Mn ₂ O ₃	31.37	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Mn ₃ O ₄ (cr)	Mn ₃ O ₄	46.95	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
MnCO ₃ (cr)	MnCO ₃	31.07	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
MnO(cr)	MnO	13.22	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
MnO ₂ (cr)	MnO ₂	16.61	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Manganite	MnOOH	20.08	mindat.org
MnSeO ₃ :2H ₂ O(cr)	MnSeO ₃ (H ₂ O) ₂	66.64	MnH ₂ SeO ₅ , materialsproject.org
MoO ₂ (cr)	MoO ₂	20.64	materialsproject.org
MoO ₃ (cr)	MoO ₃	30.56	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Na(UO ₂)(AsO ₄):3H ₂ O(cr)	Na(UO ₂)(AsO ₄)(H ₂ O) ₃	133.37	(Locock et al., 2004)
Na(UO ₂)(PO ₄):3H ₂ O(cr)	Na(UO ₂)(PO ₄)(H ₂ O) ₃	124.60	(Locock et al., 2004)
Na-boltwoodite	Na(UO ₂)(SiO ₃ OH)(H ₂ O)	98.57	mindat.org
Beidellite(Na)	Na _{0.34} Al _{2.34} Si _{3.66} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	132.49	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Nontronite(Na)	Na _{0.34} Fe _{1.67} Al _{0.67} Si _{3.66} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	132.12	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Montmorillonite(MgNa)	Na _{0.34} Mg _{0.34} Al _{1.66} Si ₄ O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	133.96	(Blanc et al., 2015a)

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
Saponite(FeNa)	Na _{0.34} Mg ₂ FeAl _{0.34} Si _{3.66} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	143.54	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Saponite(Na)	Na _{0.34} Mg ₃ Al _{0.34} Si _{3.66} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	143.54	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Saponite_SapCa-2	Na _{0.394} K _{0.021} Ca _{0.038} (Si _{3.569} Al _{0.397})(Mg _{2.949} Fe _{0.055})O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	141.66	(Gailhanou et al., 2013)
Smectite_MX80	Na _{0.409} K _{0.024} Ca _{0.009} (Si _{3.738} Al _{0.262})(Al _{1.598} Mg _{0.214} Fe _{0.208})O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	134.92	(Gailhanou et al., 2012)
Montmorillonite(HcNa)	Na _{0.6} Mg _{0.6} Al _{1.4} Si ₄ O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	137.47	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Mordenite-Na	Na _{0.72} Al _{0.72} Si _{5.28} O ₁₂ (H ₂ O) _{2.71}	209.80	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Vermiculite(Na)	Na _{0.86} Mg ₃ Al _{0.86} Si _{3.14} O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	303.00	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Phillipsite-NaK	Na _{1.5} KAl _{2.5} Si _{5.5} O ₁₆ (H ₂ O) ₅	138.98	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Phillipsite-Na	Na _{2.5} Al _{2.5} Si _{5.5} O ₁₆ (H ₂ O) ₅	138.98	(Blanc et al., 2015a)
Natrolite	Na ₂ Al ₂ Si ₃ O ₁₀ (H ₂ O) ₂	169.20	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Analcime	Na ₂ Al ₂ Si ₄ O ₁₂ (H ₂ O) ₂	97.40	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Chabazite-Na	Na ₂ Al ₂ Si ₄ O ₁₂ (H ₂ O) ₆	241.68	webmineral.com
Faujasite-Y	Na ₂ Al ₂ Si ₄ O ₁₂ (H ₂ O) ₈	294.84	webmineral.com
Na ₂ B ₄ O ₇ (cr)	Na ₂ B ₄ O ₇	87.49	materialsproject.org
Na ₂ B ₄ O ₇ :10H ₂ O(s)	Na ₂ B ₄ O ₇ (H ₂ O) ₁₀	225.67	materialsproject.org
Na ₂ U ₂ O ₇ :H ₂ O(cr)	Na ₂ U ₂ O ₇ (H ₂ O)	101.88	β-Na ₂ U ₂ O ₇ , (Ijdo et al., 2015)
Na ₆ Th(CO ₃) ₅ :12H ₂ O(cr)	Na ₆ Th(CO ₃) ₅ (H ₂ O) ₁₂	377.11	materials.springer.com
Cancrinite-NO ₃	Na ₈ Al ₆ Si ₆ O ₂₄ (NO ₃) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₄	439.51	mindat.org
Sodalite	Na ₈ Al ₆ Si ₆ O ₂₄ Cl ₂	417.76	mindat.org
Dawsonite	NaAlCO ₃ (OH) ₂	59.30	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
NaBO ₂ (s)	NaBO ₂	25.60	materialsproject.org
Nb ₂ O ₅ (pr)	Nb ₂ O ₅	61.82	materialsproject.org
NH ₄ (UO ₂)(AsO ₄):3H ₂ O(cr)	NH ₄ (UO ₂)(AsO ₄)(H ₂ O) ₃	149.39	Uramarsite, mindat.org
NH ₄ (UO ₂)(PO ₄):3H ₂ O(cr)	NH ₄ (UO ₂)(PO ₄)(H ₂ O) ₃	118.13	Urmaphite, mindat.org
Ni(BO ₂) ₂ (s)	Ni(BO ₂) ₂	32.14	materialsproject.org
Ni(OH) ₂ (cr_beta)	Ni(OH) ₂	23.59	materials.springer.com
<i>Rauchite</i>	<i>Ni[(UO₂)(AsO₄)]₂(H₂O)₁₀</i>	277.36	Metarauchite, mindat.org
NiCO ₃ (cr)	NiCO ₃	27.05	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
NiCO ₃ :5.5H ₂ O(s)	NiCO ₃ (H ₂ O) _{5.5}	110.76	(Wallner et al., 2002)
NiO(cr)	NiO	10.97	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
NiSeO ₃ :2H ₂ O(cr)	NiSeO ₃ (H ₂ O) ₂	65.20	materialsproject.org
Np(Oxa) ₂ :6H ₂ O(cr)	Np(Oxa) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₆	191.61	(Grigo'ev et al., 1997)
NpO ₂ (am_hyd)	NpO ₂	24.02	Crystalline, materialsproject.org
NpO ₂ CO ₃ (cr)	NpO ₂ CO ₃	56.44	Na _{0.5} [NpO ₂ (OH) _{1.5}]·0.5H ₂ O, materials.springer.com
Pa ₂ O ₅ (act)	Pa ₂ O ₅	50.10	materials.springer.com
Pb(cr)	Pb	18.27	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Pb[(UO ₂)(PO ₄)] ₂ :8H ₂ O(cr)	Pb[(UO ₂)(PO ₄)] ₂ (H ₂ O) ₈	280.13	(Ross, 1956)
Pb ₂ (CO ₃)Cl ₂ (s)	Pb ₂ (CO ₃)Cl ₂	87.40	(Roberts et al., 1990)
Parsonite	Pb ₂ (UO ₂)(PO ₄) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₂	146.60	mindat.org
Pb ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ (s)	Pb ₃ (PO ₄) ₂	109.05	(Keppler, 1970)
Pb ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ Cl(s)	Pb ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ Cl	191.03	(Wyckoff, 1963)

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
PbClOH(s)	PbClOH	42.00	(Roberts et al., 1990)
PbCO ₃ (s)	PbCO ₃	40.59	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Crocoite	PbCrO ₄	52.81	(Haynes, 2016)
PbHPO ₄ (s)	PbHPO ₄	53.77	(Effenberger and Pertlik, 1986a).
PbO(s_red)	PbO	23.67	(Baldinozzi et al., 2003)
PbO(s_yellow)	PbO	23.15	(Hill, 1985)
PbS(s)	PbS	31.49	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
PbSO ₄ (cr)	PbSO ₄	47.95	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Pd(cr)	Pd	8.861	(Sassani and Shock, 1998)
PdO(cr)	PdO	14.68	(Sassani and Shock, 1998)
Po(cr)	Po	22.73	(Goode, 1953)
PoO ₂ (s)	PoO ₂	27.08	(Haynes, 2016)
PuO ₂ (am_hyd)	PuO ₂	24.01	(Haynes, 2016)
PuPO ₄ (am_hyd)	PuPO ₄	89.00	(Popa et al., 2015)
S(rhomb)	S	15.51	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Se(cr)	Se	16.42	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Berthierine_ISGS	Si _{1.332} Al _{0.668} (Al _{0.976} Fe _{1.622} Mg _{0.157})O ₅ (OH) ₄	101.16	(Blanc et al., 2014)
Silica(am)	SiO ₂	27.27	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
SiO ₂ (cr)	SiO ₂	22.69	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Sm(OH) ₃ (cr)	Sm(OH) ₃	38.43	hexagonal materialsproject.org
SmF ₃ (cr)	SmF ₃	30.76	Orthorhombic, materialsproject.org

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
SmOHCO ₃ (cr)	SmOHCO ₃	42.74	(Michiba et al., 2011)
Sm-rhabdophane	SmPO ₄ (H ₂ O) _{0.667}	53.96	(Mesbah et al., 2017)
Sn(beta)	Sn	16.29	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
SnO(s)	SnO	21.13	mindat.org
cassiterite	SnO ₂	21.55	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
SnO ₂ (am)	SnO ₂	28.90	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Sr[(UO ₂)(AsO ₄)] ₂ ·8H ₂ O(cr)	Sr[(UO ₂)(AsO ₄)] ₂ (H ₂ O) ₈	309.45	(Locock et al., 2005)
Sr[(UO ₂)(PO ₄)] ₂ ·6H ₂ O(cr)	Sr[(UO ₂)(PO ₄)] ₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₀	283.19	(Locock et al., 2005)
Sr ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ (s)	Sr ₃ (PO ₄) ₂	99.98	materialsproject.org
Strontianite	SrCO ₃	39.01	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
SrCrO ₄ (s)	SrCrO ₄	51.82	(Effenberger and Pertlik, 1986b)
SrHPO ₄ (beta)	SrHPO ₄	53.23	materialsproject.org
SrSeO ₃ (cr)	SrSeO ₃	46.15	materialsproject.org
Celestite	SrSO ₄	46.25	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
TcO ₂ (am_hyd_ag)	TcO ₂	19.27	Crystalline, materialsproject.org
Th ₃ (PO ₄) ₄ (s)	Th ₃ (PO ₄) ₄	285.41	(Shankar and Khubchandani, 1957)
ThF ₄ (cr_hyd)	ThF ₄	50.01	materialsproject.org
ThO ₂ (am_hyd_ag)	ThO ₂	26.40	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
ThO ₂ (am_hyd_fr)	ThO ₂	26.40	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Titanium	Ti	10.63	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
TiO ₂ (am_hyd)	TiO ₂	20.52	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
U(OH)2SO4(cr)	U(OH)2SO4	71.90	materials.springer.com
U(Oxa)2:6H2O(cr)	U(Oxa)2(H2O)6	202.39	(Jenkins et al., 1965)
UF4:2.5H2O(cr)	UF4(H2O)2.5	75.53	(Christian et al., 2021)
UO2(am_hyd)	UO2	24.62	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Rutherfordine	UO2CO3	58.08	mindat.org
Chernikovite	UO2HPO4(H2O)4	134.34	mindat.org
Soddyite	(UO2)2SiO4(H2O)2	131.27	mindat.org
Trögerite	(UO2)3(AsO4)2(H2O)12	271.69	mindat.org, materials.springer.com
(UO2)3(PO4)2:4H2O(cr)	(UO2)3(PO4)2(H2O)4	238.42	(Locock and Burns, 2002)
UO2Oxa:3H2O(cr)	UO2Oxa(H2O)3	98.42	Uroxite, (Kampf et al., 2020)
Metaschoepite	UO3(H2O)2	39.236	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Coffinite	USiO4	64.73	mindat.org
Wulfingite	Zn(OH)2	32.48	mindat.org
Zn[(UO2)(AsO4)]2:8H2O(cr)	Zn(UO2)(AsO4)(H2O)8	256.21	Metalodèveite, mindat.org
Zn[(UO2)(PO4)]2:8H2O(cr)	Zn(UO2)(PO4)(H2O)8	267.68	(Plášil et al., 2010)
Hydrozincite	Zn5(OH)6(CO3)2	139.34	(Wyckoff, 1963)
ZnB2O4(s)	ZnB2O4	41.48	chemicalbook.com
Smithsonite	ZnCO3	28.28	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Zincite	ZnO	14.34	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Sphalerite	ZnS	23.83	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)
Zr(HPO4)2:H2O(cr)	Zr(HPO4)2(H2O)	146.83	(Wyckoff, 1963)

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Reference
Zr(OH)4(am_fr)	Zr(OH)4	49.00	chemicalbook.com
Baddeleyite	ZrO2	21.15	(Robie and Hemingway, 1995)

Table 6-2 Molar volumes of minerals based on estimations from analogue phases, *assumed equal molar volumes, † assumed equal density, and ‡ from average arsenate/phosphate density.

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Analogue
Ac(OH)3(aged)*	Ac(OH)3	46.56	Am(OH)3(cr)
Ac(OH)3(fresh)*	Ac(OH)3	46.56	Am(OH)3(cr)
Ac2(Oxa)3(s)†	Ac2(Oxa)3	267.93	Ac2(C2O4)3·10H2O
Am(OH)3(am)†	Am(OH)3	46.56	rdc_Am(OH)3(cr)
Am2(CO3)3(am_hyd)*	Am2(CO3)3	168.07	La2(CO3)3 · 5H2O
AmOHCO3(am_hyd)*	AmOHCO3	88.96	EuOHCO3
AmOHCO3:0.5H2O(cr)†	AmOHCO3(H2O)0.5	91.47	AmOHCO3
Ca0.5NpO2(OH)2:1.3H2O(cr)†	Ca0.5NpO2(OH)2(H2O)1.3	242.66	Na0.5[NpO2(OH)1.5]·0.5H2, (Fellhauer et al., 2022)
Heulandite_2*	Ca1.07Al2.14Si6.86O18(H2O)4.5	317.60	Heulandite_1
Ca4Al2O6(CrO4):15H2O(s)†	Ca4Al2O6(CrO4)(H2O)15	393.47	(Moore and Taylor, 1970)
Ca4H(PO4)3:2.5H2O(s)†	Ca4H(PO4)3(H2O)2.5	182.63	(Xu et al., 2022)
Ca6(Al(OH)6)2(CrO4)3:26H2O(s)†	Ca6(Al(OH)6)2(CrO4)3(H2O)26	742.83	(Moore and Taylor, 1970)
CaNpO2(OH)2.6Cl0.4:2H2O(cr)†	CaNpO2(OH)2.6Cl0.4(H2O)2	282.60	Ca0.5NpO2(OH)2:1.3H2O(cr)
Cd[(UO2)(AsO4)]2:8H2O(cr)‡	Cd[(UO2)(AsO4)]2(H2O)8	282.74	Average density arsenates
Cd[(UO2)(PO4)]2:10H2O(cr)‡	Cd[(UO2)(PO4)]2(H2O)10	292.16	Average density phosphates
Cd3(PO4)2(s)*	Cd3(PO4)2	109.05	Pb3(PO4)2
Cd5H2(PO4)4:4H2O(s) †	Cd5H2(PO4)4(H2O)4	248.42	Cd5(PO5)4, materialsproject.org
Cr(OH)2(H2PO4)(s)	Cr(OH)2(H2PO4)	79.57	CrP(HO)5, materialsproject.org
CrPO4(purple) †	CrPO4	42.60	CrPO4(green)

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Analogue
Cs(UO ₂)(AsO ₄):2.5H ₂ O(cr)*	Cs(UO ₂)(AsO ₄)(H ₂ O) _{2.5}	134.86	Rb(UO ₂)(AsO ₄)(H ₂ O) _{2.5} , (Locock et al., 2004)
Cs(UO ₂)(BO ₃):H ₂ O(cr)*	Cs(UO ₂)(BO ₃)(H ₂ O)	90.25	Li[(UO ₂)(BO ₃)]·(H ₂ O), (Hao et al., 2020)
Cs(UO ₂)(PO ₄):2.5H ₂ O(cr)*	Cs(UO ₂)(PO ₄)(H ₂ O) _{2.5}	130.40	Rb(UO ₂)(PO ₄)(H ₂ O) _{2.5} , (Locock et al., 2004)
Eu(OH) ₃ (am)*	Eu(OH) ₃	46.56	Am(OH) ₃ (cr)
Eu(OH) ₃ (cr)*	Eu(OH) ₃	46.56	Am(OH) ₃ (cr)
Eu ₂ (CO ₃) ₃ (cr)*	Eu ₂ (CO ₃) ₃	168.07	La ₂ (CO ₃) ₃ ·5H ₂ O, (Çiftçi et al., 2022)
Eu-rhabdophane*	EuPO ₄ (H ₂ O) _{0.667}	53.96	SmPO ₄ w _{0.667} (cr)
Fe ₂ (SeO ₃) ₃ :3H ₂ O(cr)	Fe ₂ (SeO ₃) ₃ (H ₂ O) ₃	242.51	Fe ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ ·7H ₂ O, mindat.org
Fe ₄ (OH) ₈ Cl:nH ₂ O(s) chloride green rust one†	Fe ₄ (OH) ₈ Cl	187.15	Iowaite Mg ₆ Fe ₃ +2(OH) ₁₆ Cl ₂ ·4H ₂ O, mindat.org
Fe ₆ (OH) ₁₂ CO ₃ :nH ₂ O(s) carbonate green rust one†	Fe ₆ (OH) ₁₂ CO ₃	281.30	Mg ₆ Mn ₃ (OH) ₁₆ [CO ₃]·4H ₂ O, Desautelsite, mindat.org
Fe ₆ (OH) ₁₂ SO ₄ :nH ₂ O(s) sulfate green rust two†	Fe ₆ (OH) ₁₂ SO ₄	286.14	Fe ₆ (OH) ₁₂ SO ₄ (H ₂ O) ₈ , (Simon et al., 2003)
Ho ₂ (CO ₃) ₃ (cr)*	Ho ₂ (CO ₃) ₃	168.07	La ₂ (CO ₃) ₃ ·5H ₂ O
K(UO ₂)(BO ₃):H ₂ O(cr)	K(UO ₂)(BO ₃)(H ₂ O)	133.79	average density of phosphate and arsenate phases
Compreignacite†	K ₂ U ₆ O ₁₉ (H ₂ O) ₁₁	391.53	K ₂ (UO ₂) ₆ O ₄ (OH) ₆ ·7H ₂ O, mindat.org

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Analogue
KNpO ₂ CO ₃ (s) †	KNpO ₂ CO ₃	87.82	LiNpO ₂ CO ₃ ·2H ₂ O, (Charushnikova et al., 2004)
Li(UO ₂)(AsO ₄):4H ₂ O(cr) †	Li(UO ₂)(AsO ₄)(H ₂ O) ₄	138.16	K(UO ₂)(AsO ₄)w ₃ (cr)
Mn[(UO ₂)(AsO ₄)] ₂ ·8H ₂ O(cr) ‡	Mn[(UO ₂)(AsO ₄)] ₂ (H ₂ O) ₈	269.04	average density arsenates
Mn[(UO ₂)(PO ₄)] ₂ ·10H ₂ O(cr) ‡	Mn[(UO ₂)(PO ₄)] ₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₀	256.69	average density arsenates
Na(UO ₂)(BO ₃):H ₂ O(cr)	Na(UO ₂)(BO ₃)(H ₂ O)	128.98	average density of phosphate and arsenate phases
Faujasite-X*	Na ₂ Al ₂ Si ₂ 5O ₉ (H ₂ O) _{6.2}	228.95	Faujasite-Y
Low-silica_P-Na*	Na ₂ Al ₂ Si ₂ O ₈ (H ₂ O) _{3.8}	122.42	Low-silica_P-Ca
Na ₂ Np ₂ O ₇ :0.1H ₂ O(cr) †	Na ₂ Np ₂ O ₇ (H ₂ O) _{0.1}	99.04	Na ₂ U ₂ O ₇ w ₁ (cr)
Hydrosodalite†	Na ₈ Al ₆ Si ₆ O ₂₄ (OH) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₂	388.90	Cancrinite-NO ₃
NaNpO ₂ CO ₃ :3.5H ₂ O(cr) †	NaNpO ₂ CO ₃ (H ₂ O) _{3.5}	118.60	NaNpO ₂ PO ₄ (H ₂ O) ₃ , (Forbes and Burns, 2007)
Ni(Oxa):2H ₂ O(cr)*	Ni(Oxa)(H ₂ O) ₂	83.65	Ca(Oxa)w ₂ (cr)
Ni[(UO ₂)(PO ₄)] ₂ ·8H ₂ O(cr) †	Ni[(UO ₂)(PO ₄)] ₂ (H ₂ O) ₈	266.52	Average density phosphates
(NH ₄) ₄ NpO ₂ (CO ₃) ₃ (s) †	NpO ₂ (NH ₄) ₄ (CO ₃) ₃	110.66	(NH ₄)[NpO ₂ (CO ₃)], (Nevolin et al., 2022)
NpO ₂ (OH) ₂ :H ₂ O(cr_hex) †	NpO ₂ (OH) ₂ (H ₂ O)	231.08	(Fellhauer et al., 2022)
Pb[(UO ₂)(AsO ₄)] ₂ ·10H ₂ O(cr) ‡	Pb[(UO ₂)(AsO ₄)] ₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₀	317.17	average density arsenates
Po(SO ₄) ₂ :H ₂ O(s)*	Po(SO ₄) ₂ (H ₂ O)	134.58	Pu(SO ₄) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₄ , (Wilson, 2011)
Pu(OH) ₃ (am)*	Pu(OH) ₃	46.56	Am(OH) ₃ (cr)
Pu(Oxa) ₂ :6H ₂ O(cr)*	Pu(Oxa) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₆	202.39	U(Oxa) ₂ w ₆ (cr)

Name	Formula	V_m° (cm ³ mol ⁻¹)	Analogue
Pu ₂ (Oxa) ₃ :10H ₂ O(cr)*	Pu ₂ (Oxa) ₃ (H ₂ O) ₁₀	267.93	Ac ₂ (C ₂ O ₄) ₃ ·10H ₂ O, (Weigel and Hauske, 1977)
PuO ₂ CO ₃ (cr)*	PuO ₂ CO ₃	56.44	NpO ₂ CO ₃ (cr)
(PuO ₂) ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ :4(H ₂ O)(am)*	(PuO ₂) ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₄	238.42	(UO ₂) ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ :4H ₂ O(cr)
RaCO ₃ (cr)*	RaCO ₃	46.32	BaCO ₃ , mindat.org
RaSO ₄ (cr) †	RaSO ₄	55.66	Ra _{0.76} Ba _{0.24} SO ₄ , (Matyskin et al., 2017)
Ripidolite_Cca-2†	Si _{2.633} Al _{1.367} (Al _{1.116} Fe _{1.927} Mg _{2.952} Mn _{0.012})(Ca _{0.011})O ₁₀ (OH) ₈	158.77	Chlinochlore, mindat.org
Sm ₂ (CO ₃) ₃ (cr)*	Sm ₂ (CO ₃) ₃	168.07	La ₂ (CO ₃) ₃ ·5H ₂ O, (Çiftçi et al., 2022)
TcO ₂ (am_hyd_fr) †	TcO ₂	19.27	TcO ₂ (am_hyd_ag)
Hydrogen_uranospinite†	UO ₂ HAsO ₄ (H ₂ O) ₄	135.78	Trögerite, mindat.org
Zn ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ :4H ₂ O(s)*	Zn ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₄	109.05	Pb ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ , (Keppler, 1970)

Table 6-3 List of minerals present in the PSI/Nagra database with no molar volumes.

Name	Formula
AmO ₂ OH(am)	AmO ₂ OH
Ca(H ₃ Isa) ₂ (cr)	Ca(H ₃ Isa) ₂
Cr(OH) ₂ (cr)	Cr(OH) ₂
Cr(OH) ₃ (cr)	Cr(OH) ₃
H ₄ Edta(cr)	H ₄ Edta
(HgOH) ₃ PO ₄ (s)	Hg ₃ (OH) ₃ PO ₄
Hg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ (s)	Hg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂
HgCO ₃ (HgO) ₂ (s)	HgCO ₃ (HgO) ₂
K ₃ NpO ₂ (CO ₃) ₂ (s)	K ₃ NpO ₂ (CO ₃) ₂
K ₄ NpO ₂ (CO ₃) ₃ (s)	K ₄ NpO ₂ (CO ₃) ₃
KFe ₃ (CrO ₄) ₂ (OH) ₆ (cr)	KFe ₃ (CrO ₄) ₂ (OH) ₆
Linda_type_A	Na _{1.98} Al _{1.98} Si _{2.02} O ₈ (H ₂ O) _{5.31}
Molecular_sieve_4Å	Na ₂ Al ₂ Si ₂ O ₈ (H ₂ O) _{4.5}
Na ₃ NpO ₂ (CO ₃) ₂ (cr)	Na ₃ NpO ₂ (CO ₃) ₂
Na ₇ HNb ₆ O ₁₉ :15H ₂ O(cr)	Na ₇ HNb ₆ O ₁₉ (H ₂ O) ₁₅
NaAm(CO ₃) ₂ :5H ₂ O(cr)	NaAm(CO ₃) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₅
NaAmO ₂ CO ₃ (s)	NaAmO ₂ CO ₃
Ni ₃ (AsO ₃) ₂ :xH ₂ O(s)	Ni ₃ (AsO ₃) ₂
Ni ₃ (AsO ₄) ₂ :8H ₂ O(s)	Ni ₃ (AsO ₄) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₈
NpO ₂ OH(am)	NpO ₂ OH
PbB ₂ O ₄ (s)	PbB ₂ O ₄

Name	Formula
PoSO ₄ (s)	PoSO ₄
Pu(HPO ₄) ₂ (am_hyd)	Pu(HPO ₄) ₂
PuO ₂ (OH) ₂ (am_hyd)	PuO ₂ (OH) ₂
PuO ₂ OH(am)	PuO ₂ OH

Table 6-4 Selected thermodynamic properties of aqueous ions (298 K, 0.1 MPa). Values in regular typeface font left unchanged from TDB 2020, values in bold typeface font were changed in this report (details in text).

name	formula	$\Delta_f G_m^\circ$ kJ·mol ⁻¹	±	$\Delta_f H_m^\circ$ kJ·mol ⁻¹	±	S_m° J·K ⁻¹ ·mol ⁻¹	±
H ₂ O(l)	H ₂ O	-237.140 ^a	0.041	-285.830 ^a	0.040	69.950 ^a	0.030
Cl ⁻	Cl ⁻	-131.217 ^a	0.117	-167.080 ^a	0.100	56.600 ^a	0.200
Na ⁺	Na ⁺	-261.953 ^a	0.096	-240.340 ^a	0.060	58.450 ^a	0.150
K ⁺	K ⁺	-282.510 ^a	0.116	-252.140 ^a	0.080	101.200 ^a	0.200
Ca ²⁺	Ca+2	-552.806 ^a	1.050	-543.00 ^a	1.000	-56.200 ^a	1.000
Mg ²⁺	Mg+2	-455.703 ^b	0.786	-467.000 ^a	0.600	-135.900 ^b	1.700
Fe ²⁺	Fe+2	-90.720 ^c	0.640	-90.295 ^c	0.600	-102.171 ^c	3.000
Fe ³⁺	Fe+3	-16.226 ^c	0.650	-50.056 ^c	0.973	-282.404 ^c	3.927
Al ³⁺	Al+3	-487.738 ^d	1.300	-539.941 ^d	2.600	-342.800 ^e	5.000
OH ⁻	OH ⁻	-157.220 ^a	0.072	-230.015 ^a	0.040	-10.900 ^a	0.200
F ⁻	F ⁻	-281.523 ^a	0.692	-335.350 ^a	0.650	-13.800 ^a	0.800
ClO ₄ ⁻	ClO ₄ ⁻	-7.890 ^a	0.600	-128.100 ^a	0.400	184.000 ^a	1.500
NO ₃ ⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	-110.794 ^a	0.417	-206.850 ^a	0.400	146.700 ^a	0.400
HS ⁻	HS ⁻	12.252 ^a	2.115	-16.300 ^a	1.500	67.000 ^a	5.000
HCO ₃ ⁻	HCO ₃ ⁻	-586.845 ^a	0.251	-689.930 ^a	0.200	98.400 ^a	0.400
CO ₃ ²⁻	CO ₃ ²⁻	-527.900 ^a	0.390	-675.230 ^a	0.250	-50.000 ^a	1.000
SO ₄ ²⁻	SO ₄ ²⁻	-744.004 ^a	0.418	-909.340 ^a	0.400	18.500 ^a	0.400
HPO ₄ ²⁻	HPO ₄ ²⁻	-1095.985 ^a	1.567	-1299.0 ^a	1.500	-33.500 ^a	1.500
PO ₄ ³⁻	PO ₄ ³⁻	-1025.491 ^a	1.576	-1284.40 ^a	4.085	-220.970 ^a	12.846
Si(OH) ₄ (aq)	Si(OH) ₄	-1309.183 ^e	1.120	-1461.90 ^d	1.400	178.260 ^d	3.000

^a CODATA (Cox et al., 1989) consistent with NEA TDB (Grenthe et al., 1992), ^b Rand et al. (2024), ^c Lemire et al. (2020), ^d this work see text, ^e evaluated in TDB 2020,

Table 6-5 Partial molar heat capacities, molar volumes and HKF model coefficients (298 K, 0.1 MPa) of aqueous ions selected in this work, not available in previous TDB releases. Values of $C_{p,m}^{\circ}$ and V_m° are calculated from Eq. 3-3 and 3-4.

name	formula	$C_{p,m}^{\circ}$ J·K ⁻¹ ·mol ⁻¹	V_m° cm ³ ·mol ⁻¹	$a_1 \cdot 10$ cal·mol ⁻¹ ·bar ⁻¹	$a_2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ cal·mol ⁻¹	a_3 cal·K·mol ⁻¹ ·bar ⁻¹	$a_4 \cdot 10^{-4}$ cal·K·mol ⁻¹	C_1 cal·K ⁻¹ ·mol ⁻¹	$C_2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ cal·K·mol ⁻¹	$\omega^{\circ} \cdot 10^{-5}$ cal·mol ⁻¹
H ₂ O(l)	H ₂ O	75.351 ^a	18.0684 ^a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cl ⁻	Cl ⁻	-123.115 ^b	17.786 ^b	4.032 ^b	4.801 ^b	5.563 ^b	-2.847 ^b	-4.40 ^b	-5.714 ^b	1.456 ^b
Na ⁺	Na ⁺	37.976 ^b	-1.107 ^b	1.839 ^b	-2.285 ^b	3.256 ^b	-2.726 ^b	18.18 ^b	-2.981 ^b	0.3306 ^b
K ⁺	K ⁺	8.306 ^b	9.068 ^b	3.559 ^b	-1.473 ^b	5.435 ^b	-2.712 ^b	7.40 ^b	-1.791 ^b	0.1927 ^b
Ca ²⁺	Ca+2	-31.469 ^b	-18.061 ^c	-0.1947 ^c	-7.252 ^c	5.297 ^c	-2.479 ^c	9.00 ^b	-2.522 ^b	1.237 ^b
Mg ²⁺	Mg+2	-22.314 ^b	-21.544 ^c	-0.822 ^c	-8.599 ^c	8.39 ^c	-2.39 ^c	20.80 ^b	-5.892 ^b	1.537 ^b
Fe ²⁺	Fe+2	-23.000 ^d	-22.249 ^e	-0.8 ^f	-9.735 ^f	9.58 ^f	-2.377 ^f	16.018 ^f	-4.1544 ^f	1.419 ^{ff}
Fe ³⁺	Fe+3	-108.000 ^d	-37.000 ^e	-2.389 ^f	-13.614 ^f	11.109 ^f	-2.216 ^f	15.820 ^f	-8.293 ^f	2.69 ^{ff}
Al ³⁺	Al+3	-134.500 ^c	-44.399 ^c	-3.34 ^c	-17.11 ^c	14.99 ^c	-2.072 ^c	10.700 ^c	-8.06 ^c	2.871 ^c
OH ⁻	OH ⁻	-137.128 ^b	-4.180 ^c	1.253 ^c	0.0738 ^c	1.842 ^c	-2.782 ^c	4.150 ^b	-10.35 ^b	1.725 ^b
F ⁻	F ⁻	-113.887 ^b	-1.319 ^c	0.687 ^c	1.359 ^c	7.603 ^c	-2.835 ^c	4.460 ^b	-7.488 ^b	1.787 ^b
ClO ₄ ⁻	ClO ₄ ⁻	-24.423 ^e	44.206 ^e	8.141 ^e	15.57 ^e	-7.808 ^e	-3.423 ^e	16.450 ^e	-6.57 ^e	0.97 ^e
NO ₃ ⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	-67.285 ^c	29.000 ^c	7.316 ^c	6.782 ^c	-4.684 ^c	-3.059 ^c	7.700 ^c	-6.725 ^c	1.098 ^c
HS ⁻	HS ⁻	-92.683 ^b	20.650 ^b	5.012 ^b	4.98 ^b	3.475 ^b	-2.985 ^b	3.410 ^b	-6.046 ^b	1.441 ^b
HCO ₃ ⁻	HCO ₃ ⁻	-45.6 ^g	24.601 ^c	7.562 ^c	1.151 ^c	1.235 ^c	-2.827 ^c	11.510 ^{gg}	-5.255 ^{gg}	1.273 ^{gg}
CO ₃ ²⁻	CO ₃ -2	-290.778 ^c	-5.020 ^c	2.852 ^c	-3.984 ^c	6.414 ^c	-2.614 ^c	-3.321 ^c	-17.192 ^c	3.391 ^c
SO ₄ ²⁻	SO ₄ -2	-267.447 ^b	13.879 ^c	8.301 ^c	-1.985 ^c	-6.212 ^c	-2.697 ^c	1.640 ^b	-18.0 ^b	3.146 ^b
HPO ₄ ²⁻	HPO ₄ -2	-244.221 ^c	5.380 ^c	3.632 ^c	1.084 ^c	5.323 ^c	-2.824 ^c	2.736 ^c	-14.91 ^c	3.344 ^c
PO ₄ ³⁻	PO ₄ -3	-480.750 ^c	-30.601 ^c	-0.526 ^c	-9.066 ^c	9.313 ^c	-2.404 ^c	-9.480 ^c	-26.44 ^c	5.611 ^c
Si(OH) ₄ (aq)	Si(OH) ₄	195.0 ^h	55.869 ^h	11.022 ^h	9.85 ^h	2.579 ^h	-3.186 ^h	54.440 ^h	-3.259 ^h	0.1312 ^h

^a CODATA, ^b Tanger and Helgeson (1988), ^c Shock and Helgeson (1988), ^d Lemire et al. (2020), ^e Shock et al. (1997), ^f this work Eqs. 3-5 to 3-10, ^{ff} Eq.3-11 and ion radius of Fe²⁺ 0.78 (Å), Fe³⁺ 0.65 (Å) (Marcus, 1994), ^g this work from electrolyte data see text, ^{gg} this work Eqs. 3-9, 3-10, ^h this work from solubility data see text

Table 6-6 Standard thermodynamic properties of gas species (298 K, 0.1 MPa).

name	formula	$\Delta_f G_m^\circ$ kJ·mol ⁻¹	±	$\Delta_f H_m^\circ$ kJ·mol ⁻¹	±	S_m° J·K ⁻¹ ·mol ⁻¹	±
CH ₄ (g)	CH ₄	-50.530 ^b	0.200	-74.600 ^b	0.200	186.371 ^b	0.100
CO ₂ (g)	CO ₂	394.373 ^a	0.133	-393.510 ^a	0.130	213.785 ^a	0.010
H ₂ (g)	H ₂	0.000 ^a	0.000	0.000 ^a	0.000	130.680 ^a	0.003
H ₂ S(g)	H ₂ S	-33.443 ^a	0.500	-20.600 ^a	0.500	205.810 ^a	0.050
H ₂ Se(g)	H ₂ Se	15.217 ^c	2.000	29.000 ^c	2.000	219.000 ^c	0.100
Hg(g)	Hg	31.842 ^a	0.054	61.380 ^a	0.040	174.971 ^a	0.005
N ₂ (g)	N ₂	0.000 ^a	0.000	0.000 ^a	0.000	191.609 ^a	0.004
O ₂ (g)	O ₂	0.000 ^a	0.000	0.000 ^a	0.000	205.152 ^a	0.005
H ₂ O(g)	H ₂ O	-228.584 ^a	0.04	-241.826 ^a	0.04	188.835 ^a	0.01

^a CODATA (Cox et al., 1989) consistent with NEA TDB (Grenthe et al., 1992), ^b Gurvich et al. (1989), ^c Olin et al. (2005)

Table 6-7 Selected temperature coefficients for heat capacities in this work according to the formula: $C_{p,m}^{\circ} = a_0 + a_1 \cdot T + a_2 \cdot T^2 + a_4 \cdot T^2 + a_5 \cdot T^3 + a_6 \cdot T^4 + a_8 \cdot T^{-1}$, where T is the temperature (K), not available in previous TDB releases.

name	formula	$C_{p,m}^{\circ a}$ J·K ⁻¹ ·mol ⁻¹	a_0	a_1	a_2	a_4	a_5	a_6	a_8	T min (K)	T max (K)
CH ₄ (g)	CH ₄	35.648 ^b	-7.03029E-01	1.08477E-01	6.78565E+05	-4.25216E-05	5.86279E-09			298	1300
CO ₂ (g)	CO ₂	37.130 ^b	2.49974E+01	5.51870E-02	-1.36638E+05	-3.36914E-05	7.94839E-09			298	1200
H ₂ (g)	H ₂	28.837 ^b	3.30662E+01	-1.13634E-02	-1.58558E+05	1.14328E-05	-2.77287E-09			298	1000
H ₂ S(g)	H ₂ S	34.197 ^b	2.68841E+01	1.86781E-02	1.35882E+05	3.43420E-06	-3.37870E-09			298	1400
H ₂ Se(g)	H ₂ Se	34.720 ^c	2.32030E+01	3.23156E-02	1.46472E+05	-8.89220E-06	0.00000E+00		3.05500E+02	298	1500
Hg(g)	Hg	20.798 ^b	2.06724E+01	1.79353E-04	7.01300E+03	-8.01200E-08	1.05470E-11		0.00000E+00	630	6000
N ₂ (g)	N ₂	29.124 ^d	5.05750E+01	-7.09304E-02	1.83782E+05	1.15127E-04	-8.00338E-08	2.095E-11	-3.17486E+03	200	1000
O ₂ (g)	O ₂	29.383 ^b	3.13223E+01	-2.02353E-02	-7.37400E+03	5.78664E-05	-3.65062E-08			100	700
H ₂ O(g)	H ₂ O	33.591 ^e	2.70570E+01	1.75840E-02	2.76960E+05	-2.50970E-06	-2.76560E+01*			298	2500

^a calculated with $C_{p,m}^{\circ}$ temperature function for $T = 298.15$ K, ^b Chase (1998), ^c Olin et al. (2005), ^d Gurvich et al. (1989), ^e Robie and Hemingway (1995) * $a_3 \cdot T^{-0.5}$