

I2 Scrubbing Simulations with Modified SPARC/MELCOR :

Influence of Iodine Chemistry

EMUG Meeting Brno CZ, 7–11 April 2025

Adolf Rýdl (INSET) & Taizo Kanai (CRIEPI) rydl.adolf@inset.com

http://petr.olsak.net/ctustyle.html



OUTLINE

- parametric benchmark calculations with improved, old version of MELCOR/SPARC, to test the tool (within the frame of the IPRESCA project)
- simulations of CRIEPI experiments on I2 scrubbing

NUGENIA IPRESCA Project benchmark with MELCOR/SPARC

- objectives of the parametric benchmark for gaseous iodine scrubbing
 - "to clarify important sensitivity parameters for gaseous iodine scrubbing"
 - "to assess current analytical tools" in terms of *qualitative* predictive capabilities
 - additional goal of ours: check the iodine chemistry set (equilibrium) in SPARC (MELCOR/ SPARC) —mainly because of the pH dependence modeling for I2 scrubbing
- only 12 modeled no organic iodide scrubbing looked at in our work —can be done later
- major sensitivity parameters studied
 - influence of iodine chemistry (pH, reaction kinetics, ...)
 - mass transfer parameters (mass transfer coefficients, I2 partition coeff)
 - selected thermalhydraulic variables (bubble dynamics not studied here)



modified SPARC and equilibrium chemistry at the bubble-water interface

- small omissions in the MELCOR_186 implementation of the SPARC I2 treatment corrected
- MELCOR/SPARC implementation of the Eggleton model (for equilibrium iodine chemistry) changed in order to relate it to the original Eggleton's work
 - in principle, Eggleton's model works for "normal" chemistry, thermal (non-radical chemistry), not necessarily for radiochemical interactions (where fast reactions of radicals prevail) —thus works for most of today's experiments with iodine, but ...
 - as an equilibrium model, it implies that the equilibrium on the interface bubble-water would not be just a function of Henry's constant of I2, but also a function of the chemical equilibrium parameters, i.e, most notably, of the water pH



Eggleton's chemistry set

$$I_{2} \text{ (gas-bubbles)} \stackrel{K_{1}}{\longleftrightarrow} I_{2} \text{ (aqueous)} \qquad K_{1} = \frac{[I_{2}]_{aq}}{[I_{2}]_{gas}} \qquad (1)$$

$$I_{2} (aq) + I^{-} \stackrel{K_{2}}{\longleftrightarrow} I_{3}^{-} \qquad K_{2} = \frac{[I_{3}^{-}]}{[I_{2}]_{aq}} \qquad (2)$$

$$I_{2} (aq) + H_{2}O \stackrel{K_{3}}{\longleftrightarrow} H^{+} + I^{-} + HOI \qquad K_{3} = \frac{[H^{+}]}{[I_{2}]_{aq}} \qquad (3)$$

$$I_{2}(aq) + H_{2}O \rightleftharpoons H_{2}OI^{+} + I^{-} \qquad K_{4} = \frac{[H_{2}OI^{+}][I^{-}]}{[I_{2}]_{aq}} \qquad (4)$$

 Four, fast reactions (i.e., equilibrium) with corresponding equilibrium constants. One more reaction typically considered in similar analyses, formation of iodate, but not here, because it's relatively slow

$$3 I_{2}_{(aq)} + 3 H_{2}O \quad \overleftarrow{K_{5}} \quad IO_{3}^{-} + 5 I^{-} + 6 H^{+} \quad K_{5} = \frac{[IO_{3}^{-}] \ [I^{-}]^{5} \ [H^{+}]^{6}}{[I_{2}]_{aq}^{3}}$$
(5)

Eggleton's equilibrium model evaluation





- P is overall partition coeff of iodine
- solving analytically for [I⁻], the dependence of P on [I₂](aq) obtained by Eggleton, with temperature dependent K₁-K₄ as parameters
- results of the analysis (here for 25°C) show very strong pH impact
- this wouldn't be the case with standard SPARC nor with standard MELCOR/SPARC

insel definition of the benchmark and our base_case

- benchmark defined (nicely:) in Maruyama "Proposed Program Work for IPRESCA WP3.4 on Iodine Modeling", July 2020
 - [–] 1 m height water column, 500 L water volume, injection of air at the bottom, 1 cm diameter injection nozzle (1.6 g/s air injection rate and \sim 16.5 m/s injection velocity), air and water temp 20°C
 - proposed way of presenting the results



$$N_c(t) = \frac{c_l(t) - c_l^{init}}{c_l^{final} - c_l^{init}}$$

- we modified slightly to $N'_c(t) = \frac{c_l(t) c_l^{init}}{c_l^*(\text{const}) c_l^{init}}$ —we never really got to any equilibria
- the chosen I2 concentration in the bubbles $1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}$ $(1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol of } I_2/\text{ dm}^3)$, and for pH=9 and 20°C is $c_l^*/c_g^* \approx 300 \Rightarrow c_l^*(\text{const}) = 300 \times 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M} = 3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}$

pH dependence



inse





pH dependence in terms of instantaneous DF



* A.Rýdl: IPRESCA Benchmark: I2 Scrubbing and Modified Approach to its pH Dependence Modeling in SPARC (NURETH-19, 2022)



temperature dependence and steam content







simulations of the CRIEPI 12 scrubbing tests

- so we believed that chemistry —manifesting itself mostly as the water pH effect— plays a role
 and we believed that the necessary adjustments had been made to our tool
- hence, among CRIEPI I2 scrubbing tests we tried to pick up some where the pH influence had been studied

series of tests to study the effect of submergence simulated too --this was utter failure :)

 however, we found out that in order to capture —at least qualitatively the pH dependence, the (relatively slow) chemical changes in water had to be modeled too (in addition to the bubble-water interface equilibria, solely calculated by SPARC, as in the parametric calculations)

complex aqueous interactions, with the overall scheme

 MELCOR is capable — in its lodine Package— of modeling water (radio)chemical reactions (more than 200 elemental reactions, INSPECT database)

 $|^{-} \rightleftharpoons |_{2}$

 debug version of MELCOR_1.8.6 employed, some small changes to the coding necessary (e.g. pH tables)

CRIEPI experiments



- in the tests selected for this work : submergence of 2 m, highly alkaline pool with no chemically interacting additives (e.g. thiosulphate), \sim 30–40°C
 - 1000 L/min air (~60°C), with about 1000 ppm iodine (volumetric) —relatively high concentration



DF values and calculated concentrations of selected iodine species in water

- Test#3-2: measured and calculated values of I2 Decontamination
 Factors as a function of pH (all in highly alkaline range —challenge to the code)
 - Iodine Package model very complex —overall balances of all iodine species still somewhat messy in our calculations





DF values and calculated concentrations of selected iodine species in water

 Test#3-3: Measured and calculated values of I2 Decontamination Factors as a function of pH (in alkaline range). And sensitivity calculation for pH dropping to acidic values





Figure 5. Test#3-3: Concentrations of selected iodine forms in water calculated by MELCOR



Figure 6. Sensitivity calculation (with boundary conditions taken from Test#3-3): Concentrations of selected iodine forms in water calculated by MELCOR ("with chemistry"), for linear decrease of pH from pH=9 to 5 during the test



Conclusions

- for IPRESCA benchmark, modified SPARC code in MELCOR_186 employed for calculations of I2 scrubbing
- modifications primarily dealt with iodine equilibrium chemistry (pH dependent) at the bubble-water interface in this respect, modified version of the code is profoundly different from standard version of MELCOR/SPARC
- sensitivity analyses showed the expected patterns in most calculations, qualitative influence on iodine DF
 - typically for pH: higher water pH gives significantly higher DF —checked experimentally with CRIEPI tests
- for sensible qualitative simulations of experiments aqueous chemistry modeling needs to be included for bulk water phase
 - longer-term changes in water have profound effect on I2 scrubbing : I2 concentration gradients changing
- MELCOR/SPARC (slightly adapted) can be used for I2 scrubbing modeling —numerous issues still to be tackled (and thermalhydraulics aside:)
- planning to incorporate CH3I (Org I) scrubbing too —problems perhaps mainly with the relevant organic aqueous chemistry as such



Děkuji za pozornost



Děkuji za pozornost

Thank you!