

#### Validation of WWER-1000 MELCOR model

**Oleksandr Kotsuba, SSTC NRS** 



- 1. VVER-1000/320 unit description
- 2. MELCOR model description
- 3. MELCOR model validation activities



## Unit description

- Investigated unit VVER-1000/320:
  - Reactor
  - 4 circulating loops with horizontal SGs, MCP
  - 163 fuel assemblies (61 with control rods)

Main thermal-hydraulic characteristics of the reactor

Parameter	Value
Reactor power, MWt	3000
Reactor pressure, abs., kgf/cm <sup>2</sup> (MPa)	160±3 (15.7±0.3)
Reactor outlet temperature, °C	320
Reactor heat-up, °C	30.3
Reactor flow, m <sup>3</sup> /h	84800+4000-4800
SG pressure, abs., kgf/cm <sup>2</sup> (MPa)	64±2 (6.28±0.2)
SG level, mm	2550±50



Figure 1. VVER-1000 primary system configuration



#### **Unit description**

- Containment compartments:
  - reinforced concrete containment structures, including prestressed tendons system and hermetic steel liner
  - locks, hatches and components installed in the concrete containment
  - various types of penetrations
  - parts of pipelines, which serve as containment components
  - isolation devices
- Containment is designed to withstand the internal pressure of 4.9 bar (abs.) and temperature of 150°C;
- Design containment leakage is 0.3% vol./day



Figure 2. VVER-1000 containment



- VVER-1000/320 MELCOR model consists:
  - core model
  - primary circuit model
  - secondary circuit model
  - containment
  - hydrogen recombiners
  - filtered containment venting system
  - safety systems

5

#### Reactor core model



4 radial rings

25 axial sections (10 fuel section)

Figure 3. Reactor core model nodalization



#### Hydraulic model of reactor



- 7 control volumes for reactor model
- 9 flow paths for reactor model
- 4 flow paths for hydroaccumulators



#### Primary circuit model



Single/triple RCS loop:

- 4 control volumes
- 6 flow paths

Figure 5. Primary circuit single loop nodalization

8

Secondary circuit model



Figure 6. Nodalization of the secondary circuit

- 10 control volumes (include single/triple SG)
- 14 flow paths



#### Containment model



Figure 7. Containment nodalization diagram

- 21 control volume
- 51 flow paths

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

Recombiners model

In ZNPP the NIS type of PARs are implemented as a measure for hydrogen detonation prevention. The parameters of built-in MELCOR PAR model are adjusted in accordance with NIS-PAR-44H characteristics and the location of PARs in containment.

Parameters of PAR model are determined iteratively using a simplified calculation model with constant atmosphere parameters.

Capacity for NIS-PAR type 22H was determined in proportion to the number of cartridges compared to the NIS-PAR-44H.

For NIS-PAR types 22KKH and 44KKH with additional exhaust sections 1000mm (KKH), the capacity of each module is increased 1.25 times.

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

Figure 8. NIS PAR type

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### FCVS model

FCVS is modeled by connection 777 ('Filtr\_tube') between containment control volume 310 ('VENT\_TL1') and additional environment control volume 500 ('ENVIR\_2')

#### **FCVS** characteristics

Retention rate of aerosols > 99.99 % Retention rate of iodine > 99.0 % FCVS tube diameter – Dn 300 mm Tube FCVS level (midpoint) connection to containment – 35.71 m FCVS design rate – 7.41 kg/sec at  $P_{CNT}$ = 5 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>, Steam 86%, Air 14%

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

Figure 9. AREVA Wet Filter Method

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

Validation scenarios

- 1. MELCOR 1.8.5-2.1 comparative calculation of the "total station blackout" severe accident scenario
- 2. Simulation of RNPP Unit 3 incident "Pressurizer Pilot-operated Relief Valve (PORV) Stuck Open during Tests"
- 3. Simulation of RNPP Unit 3 periodic containment integrity test to confirm correct adjustment of the containment leakage flow rate
- 4. Scenario 4: Recombines model validation of NIS PAR type 44H model capacity
- 5. Scenario 5: FCVS model flow rate validation

Scenario 1: Comparative calculation of the "total station blackout» severe accident scenario

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 10. RCS pressure

Figure 11. Reactor core water level

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

Scenario 1: Comparative calculation of the "total station blackout» severe accident scenario

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 12. Cladding temperature

Figure 13. In-vessel hydrogen mass generation

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

Scenario 1: Conclusions

Both code versions produce similar results

Differences are observed after core damage and melt relocation explained by more detailed representation of reactor bottom part in WWER-1000/V-320 model for MELCOR 2.1

- subdivision of lower part of reactor core into several axial segments
- modelling of additional ring for downcomer
- RPV bottom detalization

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

Scenario 2: RNPP Unit 3 PRZ PORV Stuck Open during Tests

This incident occurred at RNPP Unit 3 in 2009 during routine start-up tests of PRZ PORV operation by primary circuit pressure increase

Malfunction of the pilot valve after PRZ PORV opening resulted in continuous loss of primary circuit coolant with actuation of

- high pressure injection system (HPIS)
- low pressure injection system (LPIS)
- injection from hydroaccumulators

Main operator actions during the incident were aimed in a control of safety injection pumps operation and cooling-down of the secondary circuit.

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

Figure 14. PRZ PORV

![](_page_16_Picture_10.jpeg)

Scenario 2: RNPP Unit 3 PRZ PORV Stuck Open during Tests

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 15. RC Pressure

Figure 16. PRZ water Level

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

Scenario 2: RNPP Unit 3 PRZ PORV Stuck Open during Tests

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 17. Coolant Temperature in Cold Legs

Figure 18. Coolant Temperature in Hot Legs

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

Scenario 2: RNPP Unit 3 PRZ PORV Stuck Open during Tests

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 19. SG1, 2, 3 Pressure

Figure 20. SG4 Pressure

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

Scenario 2: RNPP Unit 3 PRZ PORV Stuck Open during Tests

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 21. TQ13, 23, 33 HPIS Mass Flow Rate

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

Scenario 2: RNPP Unit 3 PRZ PORV Stuck Open during Tests

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 22. TQ12, 22, 32 LPIS Mass Flow Rate

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

Scenario 2: Conclusions

Comparison of calculation results with plant measured data demonstrates good correspondence for the main primary and secondary circuit parameters

Differences in low pressure safety injection are explained by slightly lower calculated RCS pressure following the trip of last operating high pressure safety injection pump, that is caused by simplified modelling of RCS loops (simulation of three RCS loops by one "triple" loop in the model)

Scenario 3: RNPP Unit 3 periodic containment integrity test

RNPP Unit 3 containment integrity tests

- carried out on August 24, 2019 to determine the actual containment leakage rate
- the containment pressure decrease rate was measured starting from initial 1.7 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup> abs.

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

Figure 23. VVER-1000 reactor building

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

Scenario 3: RNPP Unit 3 periodic containment integrity test

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 24. Containment pressure

Figure 25. Containment pressure (fragment)

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

Scenario 3: RNPP Unit 3 periodic containment integrity test

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 26. Containment temperature

Figure 27. Containment temperature (fragment)

Conclusion: The results of calculation confirm correctness of containment leakage flow rate adjustment in the model

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

Scenario 4: Recombines model validation of NIS PAR type 44H capacity

Validation of NIS-PAR-44H models is performed for constant (time-independent) initial conditions in the control volume in order to determine/confirm the correctness capacity of MELCOR NIS-PAR-44H model and design.

Scenario 4: Recombines model validation of NIS PAR type 44H capacity

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 28. Hydrogen (X.4) concentration (mole fration)

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

Figure 29. Calculated and design capacity of one NIS-PAR-44H (ex. Temperature 130°C, Pressure 0.3 MPa, Hydrogen concentration 8%)

Conclusion: The results of this calculation confirm correctness of NIS PAR type 44H productivity adjustment in the model

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

Scenario 5: Validation of FCVS model

Validation of FCVS model is performed for constant (time-independent) initial conditions

- temperature 149.25 °C
- pressure 5 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>
- steam concentration 86%
- air concentration 14%

by iterative adjustment of loss coefficient for connection 777 ('Filtr\_tube') to fit the design rate of 7.41 kg/sec

![](_page_28_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### Scenario 5: Validation of FCVS model

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

5 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>, Steam / air concentrations 86% / 14%)

## Conclusion: The results of calculation confirm correctness of FCVS flow rate adjustment in the model

## 3. Conclusions

Based on the results of validation calculations of 1–5 scenarios it be concluded that can WWER-1000/V-320 thermal hydraulic model for MELCOR 2.1/2.2 code is adjusted to plant design characteristics, allows to reproduce plant response in transients and can be used for calculations of transients and accidents in support of regulatory review of safety analyses documentation

For more accurate modeling of processes with LPIS operation further improvement of computational model (modelling of 4 independent circuit loops) is needed

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Thank you for your attention!

#### Subscribe:

#### sstc.com.ua

- f <u>sstc.com.ua</u>

#### SSTC NRS

nrs@sstc.com.ua

![](_page_31_Picture_7.jpeg)